



An exploratory study on the influence of orange juice on gut microbiota using a dynamic colonic model



Ana Luiza Rocha Faria Duque^a, Magali Monteiro^a, Maria Angela Tallarico Adorno^b,
Isabel Kimiko Sakamoto^b, Katia Sivieri^{a,*}

^a Department of Food and Nutrition, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, São Paulo State University-UNESP, Araraquara, SP, Brazil

^b Department of Hydraulics and Sanitation, School of Engineering of São Carlos, University of São Paulo-USP, São Carlos, SP, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 January 2016

Received in revised form 18 March 2016

Accepted 24 March 2016

Available online 26 March 2016

Keywords:

Citrus juice

SHIME®

Intestinal microbiota

Colonic fermentation

ABSTRACT

The aim of this research was to evaluate the influence of fresh orange juice (FOJ) and pasteurized orange juice (POJ) on gut microbiota using the Simulator of the Human Intestinal Microbial Ecosystem (SHIME®) in a long-term experiment. SHIME® vessels were used to investigate orange juice fermentation throughout the colon and to assess changes in microbial composition and fermentation metabolites (short-chain fatty acids, or –SCFA, and ammonium). Antioxidant activity of the SHIME® vessels and juice was also evaluated. The FOJ increased ($p \leq 0.05$) *Lactobacillus* spp., *Enterococcus* spp., *Bifidobacterium* spp., and *Clostridium* spp. and reduced ($p \leq 0.05$) enterobacteria. The POJ increased ($p \leq 0.05$) *Lactobacillus* spp. and reduced ($p \leq 0.05$) enterobacteria. The PCR-DGGE analysis showed a reduction in total bacteria population richness values. The FOJ and POJ increased ($p \leq 0.05$) butyric, acetic, and propionic acid concentrations, whereas ammonium production was reduced. High values of antioxidant activity were observed as a result of the FOJ and POJ treatments. Principal component analysis indicated that both POJ and FOJ juices had a positive influence on gut microbiota. The FOJ and POJ were found to exhibit selective prebiotic activity, particularly in terms of gut microbiota. This finding is in agreement with increases in both SCFAs and commensal bacteria, as well as with decreases in ammonium levels, though total bacteria richness values were reduced.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Gut microbiota represents a complex and diversified system of microorganisms that colonize the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, particularly in the colon region within the large intestine. The favorable conditions of this part of the GI tract include slow gut transit time, the availability of nutrients, and favorable pH (Frick & Autenrieth, 2013; Payne, Zihler, Chassard, & Lacroix, 2012). There are approximately 10^{12} bacterial cells per gram of luminal content inhabiting the colon. Estimates of the number of bacterial species present in the gut microbiota vary from 500 to 1150 (Frick & Autenrieth, 2013).

The gut microbiota has a direct impact on host's health, since this system plays an important role in immunological, physiological, and metabolic processes in the human body (Gerritsen, Smidt, Rijkers, & De Vos, 2011). This microbiota is involved in the synthesis of vitamins B and K, in the resistance of the colonization of pathogenic microorganisms, and in the synthesis of fermentation products that supply energy to the epithelium of the colon, such as short-chain fatty acids, or

SCFAs (Davila et al., 2013; Gerritsen et al., 2011; Sekirov, Russell, Antunes, & Finlay, 2010). The gut microbiota is also responsible for regulating the immune system by promoting the maturation of immune cells and by maintaining the motor functions of the gastrointestinal tract (Clemente, Ursell, Parfrey, & Knight, 2012; Round & Mazmanian, 2009).

Both the composition and the metabolism of the gut microbiota are strongly influenced by diet. Many studies indicate that nutritional intervention may selectively modify certain groups of bacteria (Russell et al., 2011; Scott, Gratz, Sheridan, Flint, & Duncan, 2013; Walker et al., 2011). Gut microbiota can be modulated using probiotic microorganisms, prebiotic fibers, or symbiotic combinations (Bianchi et al., 2014; Chaikham & Apichartsrangkoon, 2014; Costabile et al., 2015; Pereira-Caro, Oliver, et al., 2015). However, few studies have been performed to evaluate the impact of fruits or fruit juices on the modulation of gut microbiota (Mosele et al., 2015; Sánchez-Patán et al., 2015).

The importance of orange juice consumption has long been established. It is a source of vitamin C, flavonoids, and carotenoids and also contains folic acid, potassium, and fibers (Stella, Ferrarezi, Santos, & Monteiro, 2011; Stinco et al., 2012). Orange juice consumption has been associated with a reduced risk of chronic diseases, largely because of the presence of bioactive compounds such as ascorbic acid, carotenoids, and flavonoids (Aptekmann & Cesar, 2013; Ghanim et al., 2010;

* Corresponding author at: Department of Food and Nutrition, School of Pharmaceutical Science, São Paulo State University-UNESP, Rodovia Araraquara-Jaú Km 1, 14801-902, Araraquara, SP, Brazil.

E-mail address: sivierik@fcar.unesp.br (K. Sivieri).

Morand et al., 2011). It also contributes to vascular health and to reduce in atherogenesis. Ascorbic acid is considered the main antioxidant compound of orange juice (Ness, Khaw, Bingham, & Day, 1996; Simon, 1992). Flavonoids — particularly hesperidin and narirutin — also exhibit antioxidant activity (Tripoli, La Guardia, Giammanco, Di Majo, & Giammanco, 2007), anti-inflammatory properties (Milenkovic, Deval, Dubray, Mazur, & Morand, 2011), lipid-lowering properties (Monforte et al., 1995), and anticarcinogenic properties (Birt, Hendrich, & Wang, 2001; Yang, Landau, Huang, & Newmark, 2001). Carotenoids exhibit provitamin A activity and also reduce the risk of developing macular degeneration (Krinsky & Johnson, 2005).

The bioactive compounds present in orange juice — particularly polyphenols — may also be associated with the metabolism of gut microbiota (Laparra & Sanz, 2010; Pereira-Caro, Oliver, et al., 2015; Pereira-Caro, Borges, et al., 2015). Some studies have shown that gut microbiota transforms phenolic compounds into bioactive metabolites. It therefore contributes to intestinal homeostasis, stimulates the growth of beneficial bacteria (*Lactobacillus* spp. and *Bifidobacterium* spp.), and inhibits pathogenic bacteria. In these ways, it has a prebiotic effect (Dueñas et al., 2015; Guglielmetti et al., 2013; Parkar, Trower, & Stevenson, 2013).

The objective of this study was to evaluate the influence of fresh orange juice (FOJ) and pasteurized orange juice (POJ) on gut microbiota using the Simulator of the Human Intestinal Microbial Ecosystem (SHIME®). The SHIME® is an *in vitro* model that dynamically simulates the human gastrointestinal tract and has proven useful for nutrition studies that analyze the composition and activity of the gut microbial community (De Boever, Deplancke, & Verstraete, 2000; Possemiers, Marzorati, Verstraete, & Van de Wiele, 2010; Sivieri et al., 2013; Van de Wiele, Boon, Possemiers, Jacobs, & Verstraete, 2007).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Orange juice

The FOJ and POJ were prepared from Pêra-Rio orange, a Brazilian variety, responsible for most of the exportation. The FOJ was extracted using a JBT 391 B extractor at the JBT FoodTech pilot plant in Araraquara, SP, Brazil. The POJ was supplied by a citrus industry from Araraquara region, SP, Brazil. This juice was pasteurized at 95 °C for 30 s (Bisconsin-Junior, Rosenthal, & Monteiro, 2014). The juices were frozen and kept at −18 °C until use.

2.2. Physicochemical characteristics of the orange juice

Soluble solids, pH, titratable acidity, ascorbic acid, reducing sugars, and total sugars were determined following the methods described in the AOAC (2012). All analyses were performed in triplicate.

2.3. Simulator of Human Intestinal Microbial Ecosystem (SHIME®)

The SHIME® (registered trademark from Ghent University and ProDigest) is a simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem in which environmental conditions (pH, retention time, and temperature) are controlled. The SHIME® consists of five double-jacketed vessels representing the stomach, small intestine and ascending colon (AC), the transverse colon (TC) and the descending colon (DC) of the human gastrointestinal tract (Molly, Woestyne, De Smet, & Verstraete, 1994). The overall retention time of the last three vessels, simulating the large intestine, was 76 h. The pH, retention time, and volumetric capacity corresponding to each vessel are based on the methodology described by Possemiers, Verthé, Uyttendaele, and Verstraete (2004).

The vessels operated at 37 °C and were stirred continuously using a magnetic stirrer. The inside of each vessel was kept in anaerobiosis through the daily injection of N₂ for 30 min, and the pH of each portion

of the tract was automatically adjusted with the addition of 0.5 N NaOH or 0.5 N HCl (Molly et al., 1994; Possemiers et al., 2004).

2.3.1. Carbohydrate-based medium composition

The carbohydrate-based medium used in the SHIME® was prepared in distilled water. It was made of 3 g/L of starch (Unilever, Brazil), 2 g/L of pectin (Sigma, USA), 4 g/L of type III mucin from porcine stomach (Sigma, USA), 1 g/L of xylan (Sigma, USA), 1 g/L of peptone (Acumedia, USA), 1 g/L of arabinogalactan (Sigma, USA), 0.4 g/L of glucose (Synth, Brazil), 3 g/L of yeast extract (Acumedia, USA), and 0.5 g/L of L-cysteine (Sigma, USA) following Possemiers et al. (2004).

2.3.2. Fecal inoculum

The fecal inoculum was prepared using a fecal sample from an adult volunteer who had not taken antibiotics for 2 years prior to the experiment. A 20-gram fecal sample was collected. The sample was diluted in 200 mL of phosphate buffer containing 0.05 mol/L of Na₂HPO₄, 0.05 mol/L of NaH₂PO₄, and 0.1% sodium thioglycolate (C₂H₃NaO₂S); pH was 6.5. The diluted sample was homogenized in a sample homogenizer (Model No. 130, Nova Ética, Brazil) for 10 min and centrifuged for 5 min at 3000 rpm. Next, 40 mL of supernatant were added to each of the three simulated colon vessels (AC, TC, and DC) and each vessel was then filled with the carbohydrate-based medium (Possemiers et al., 2010).

2.3.3. Experimental protocol

The SHIME® experiment was performed continually for 8 weeks. During the control period, the carbohydrate-based medium (210 mL) was added to the system twice a day for 14 days so that the microbial community could be adapted to the physicochemical and nutritional conditions that dominate the different parts of the colon, and also so that a stable microbial community could be formed (Van de Wiele et al., 2007). After two weeks of adaptation, the treatment periods were initiated (FOJ and POJ). The microbial communities present in the last three vessels of the culture system were fed, twice a day, with the carbohydrate-based medium (105 mL) and juices (105 mL). The treatments lasted for 14 days. At the end of each treatment, a seven-day washout period was initiated. During this period, the carbohydrate-based medium (210 mL) was added into the SHIME®. As shown in Fig. 1, the experimental conditions used in the SHIME® were consistent with the methodology described by Chaikham and Apichartsrangkoon (2014).

2.4. Microbiological analysis

The number of colony forming units log CFU/mL SHIME® fluid was determined by plating serial dilutions of samples of sterile 0.1% peptone water on selective culture media according previously described by Bianchi et al. (2014). Numbers of total aerobic bacteria and facultative anaerobic bacteria were determined by plating on Standard Methods agar and incubation at 37 °C/48 h aerobically or anaerobically, respectively. MRS agar with incubation at 37 °C/48 h, anaerobically, was used to determine the number of lactobacilli. BIM-25 agar with incubation at 37 °C/72 h, anaerobically, was used to determine the number of *Bifidobacterium* spp. *Clostridium* spp. was enumerated anaerobically using Reinforced Clostridial agar at 37 °C/48 h. *Enterococcus* spp. was enumerated aerobically using KF Streptococcus agar at 37 °C/48 h. MacConkey agar was used as selective media for enterobacteria with incubation at 37 °C/48 h, anaerobically.

2.4.1. Analysis using Polymerase Chain Reaction-Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE)

The PCR-DGGE of the total bacteria was performed in order to determine the effect of the treatments on the microbial community of the colon. The DNA was extracted from the simulated colon vessels samples (AC, TC, and DC) using the QIAamp Fast DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's protocol, though with changes to the initial sample quantity (200 mg per 2 mL) and an ATE




Fig. 1. Experimental protocol using the Simulator of the Human Intestinal Microbial Ecosystem (SHIME®).

buffer (200 μ L per 50 μ L). The DNA was quantified using a NanoVue™ Plus spectrophotometer (GE Healthcare, USA).

The primers used to replicate the DNA were 968FGC (5′-CGC CCG GGG CGC GCC CCG GGC GGG GCG GGG GCA CGG GGG GAA CGC GAA GAA CCT TAC-3′) and 1401R (5′-CGG TGT GTA CAA GAC CC-3′) (Nübel et al., 1996). DNA polymerization was performed using GoTaq® Green Master Mix (Promega, USA). The samples were amplified in a thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, USA) using the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 7 min; 35 denaturation cycles at 94 °C for 45 s, annealing at 56 °C for 45 s, extension at 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min, followed by cooling at 4 °C.

Electrophoresis was performed using 8% polyacrylamide gel with a 45–65% denaturing gradient for 16 h at 75 V in a 1 $\times$ TAE buffer at constant temperature of 60 °C, as described by Reis, Carosia, Sakamoto, Varesche, and Silva (2015). The gels were stained using ethidium bromide following Sanguinetti, Dias Neto, and Simpson (1994), scanned (400 dpi), and analyzed using the BioNumerics software, version 6.0 (Applied Maths, Belgium).

The distance matrices for each DGGE were based on the Jaccard similarity coefficient. These matrices were used for the cluster analysis. The analysis was performed using the BioNumerics software, version 6.0.

2.4.2. Ecological analysis of the total bacteria population

The DGGE fingerprinting technique is commonly used to represent the genetic structure and diversity of a microbial community from a specific environment (Marzorati, Wittebolle, Boon, Daffonchio, & Verstraete, 2008; Muyzer & Smalla, 1998). The ecological analysis (richness and functional organization) was performed following Marzorati et al. (2008). Richness (Rr) is correlated with band distribution patterns in the DGGE and with the percentage of the gel denaturing gradient necessary to represent the total diversity of the sample. This concept may be expressed mathematically when the Rr index is defined as $(N^2 \times Dg)$, where N represents the total number of standard bands and Dg represents the denaturing gradient between the first and last band of the pattern.

The Pareto–Lorenz distribution curves were created in order to graphically represent the structure of bacterial communities based on DGGE profiles (Lorenz, 1905; Mertens, Boon, & Verstraete, 2005; Wittebolle, Vervaeke, Verstraete, & Boon, 2008). For each DGGE lane, the respective bands are ranked from high to low, based on their intensities. The cumulative normalized number of bands is used as x-axis, and their respective cumulative normalized intensities represent the y-axis. The more the PL curve deviates from the 45° diagonal (the theoretical perfect evenness line), the less evenness can be observed in the structure of the studied community. The latter means that a smaller fraction of the different species is present in dominant numbers (Dejonghe, Boon, Seghers, Top, & Verstraete, 2001; Mertens et al., 2005; Wittebolle et al., 2008).

2.5. Ammonium analysis

Ammonium levels were determined using a specific ion meter (Model No. 710A, Orion) coupled with the ammonia electrode ion

selective meter (Model No. 95–12, Orion). The samples from the colon vessels (25 mL) were added to 0.5 mL of an ammonia pH adjusting ionic strength adjuster solution (Orion), and the ammonium level was measured three times (Bianchi et al., 2014).

2.6. Short-Chain Fatty Acid (SCFA) analysis

Samples were collected weekly from the simulated colon vessels (AC, TC, and DC) and were stored at -20 °C. The samples (2 mL) were centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 5 min, and 100 μ L of the supernatant was diluted in 1.900 μ L of ultrapure water. Afterwards, 1 g of NaCl was added, as well as 100 μ L of crotonic acid, 70 μ L of isobutanol, and 200 μ L of 2 M H_2SO_4 . The SCFAs were analyzed using a 2010-Model gas chromatograph (Shimadzu, Japan) equipped with a split/splitless injector, a flame ionization detector, and a CombiPAL automated sampler for headspace analysis. The SCFAs were separated using a HP-INNOWAX column (30 m $\times$ 0.25 mm $\times$ 0.25 μ m) (Agilent Technologies, USA). The carrier gas was hydrogen and the flow rate was 1.45 mL/min. The temperature of both the injector and detector was 240 °C (Adorno, Hirasawa, & Varesche, 2014).

2.7. Antioxidant activity

2.7.1. Extraction of antioxidant compounds from orange juice

The antioxidant compounds were extracted from the orange juice following the method described by Asami, Hong, Barrett, and Mitchell (2003). Orange juice (5 mL) and a methanol:water solution (80:20, v/v) (10 mL) were vortexed for 1 min, placed in an ultrasonic bath at room temperature for 15 min, and centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was filtered (Whatman filter paper, No. 1), and the extraction was repeated using the precipitate, under the same conditions. The supernatants were collected and combined in a volumetric flask. Juice extraction was performed in triplicate.

2.7.2. Preparing the samples from the SHIME®

Samples from the simulated colon vessels (AC, TC, and DC) (20 mL) were collected in each period of analysis and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was filtered (Whatman filter paper, No. 1) and stored in a freezer at -20 °C until use.

2.7.3. ABTS⁺ assay

The antioxidant activity of the juices and the antioxidant activity of the samples from the simulated colon vessels were determined using ABTS⁺ assay, based on the method described by Rufino et al. (2010). ABTS radical cation (ABTS⁺) was obtained from the reaction of 5 mL of ABTS solution (7 mM) with 88 μ L of potassium persulfate solution (140 mM). The solution was left in the dark for 16 h to guarantee the complete formation of the ABTS⁺. The ABTS⁺ solution was diluted with ethanol until an absorbance of 0.66 ± 0.01 at 750 nm. The orange juice extracts and the samples from colon vessels (AC, TC, and DC) were used to prepare diluted solutions. Three orange juice:ethanol solutions (1:5; 3:5 v/v, and whole extract), and three colon vessel sample:water solutions (1:3; 2:3 v/v, and whole supernatant) were used to determine

antioxidant activity. The orange juice and colon vessel sample solutions (30 μ L) were added to 3.0 mL of the diluted ABTS⁺ solution. After 6 min, the decrease in absorbance was read at 750 nm against a blank (ethanol) using an Evolution 220 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). Calibration curves were built with Trolox (100–600 μ mol/L). The analyses were performed in triplicate.

2.8. Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA), Tukey's test, and Student's t-test were applied to the data ($p \leq 0.05$) using the StatGraphics Centurion 15.0 software (StatPoint Inc., USA). For Principal Component Analysis (PCA), SCFA and ammonium production, antioxidant activity, and *Lactobacillus* spp., *Bifidobacterium* spp., and enterobacteria counts were fixed in columns (variables), and the experimental periods were organized into lines (cases). The data was standardized before the analyses. The PCA analysis was performed with correlation matrix and without factor rotation using the Statistica 10.0 software (StatSoft Inc., USA).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Physicochemical characteristics of orange juice

The physicochemical characteristics of the FOJ and POJ are in Table 1. The physicochemical characteristics of the FOJ and POJ were in accordance with the requirements of the Brazilian law (Brasil, 2000). The results were consistent with those from ten brands of ready-to-drink orange juice and nectar, as reported by Stella et al. (2011), and those of fresh orange juice, described by Bisconsin-Junior et al. (2014).

3.2. Microbiological analysis

In the FOJ treatment, 1 log CFU increase in *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Enterococcus* spp. populations was observed ($p \leq 0.05$) in all the three regions of the colon. The largest *Lactobacillus* spp. count was observed in the simulated AC (Table 2). According to Sivieri, Bianchi, Tallarico, and Rossi (2011), the pH of the ascending colon is between 5.6 and 5.9, a range that favors the growth of this genus. In addition, the greatest amount of saccharolytic bacteria (such as *Lactobacillus* spp.) occurs in this part of the colon due to the greater quantity of carbohydrates available in this compartment (Besten et al., 2013). 1 log CFU increase ($p \leq 0.05$) in *Bifidobacterium* spp. population was observed in the simulated TC and DC. In addition, 1 log CFU increase ($p \leq 0.05$) in *Clostridium* spp. was found in the simulated AC and TC. Total aerobes and facultative anaerobes were found to have increased by 1 log CFU ($p \leq 0.05$) in the simulated AC. 1 log CFU reduction in the enterobacteria population ($p \leq 0.05$) was observed in the AC relative to the control period. In the POJ treatment, there was 1 log CFU increase ($p \leq 0.05$) in the *Lactobacillus* spp. population and in total aerobes in the simulated DC. Meanwhile, there was 2 log CFU reduction in the enterobacteria population in the AC and 1 log CFU reduction in the TC relative to washout 1 (Table 2).

During the orange juice treatments, an increase in *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Bifidobacterium* spp. populations and a decrease in enterobacteria population were observed, showing the effect on the gut microbiota.

Table 1
Physicochemical characteristics of fresh orange juice (FOJ) and pasteurized orange juice (POJ).

Parameter	FOJ	POJ
Soluble solids (°Brix)	12.56 $\pm$ 0.05	10.93 $\pm$ 0.05
Titrateable acidity (g of citric acid/100 mL)	0.70 $\pm$ 0.02	0.47 $\pm$ 0.00
pH	3.22 $\pm$ 0.02	3.62 $\pm$ 0.01
Reducing sugars (g glucose/100 mL)	4.32 $\pm$ 0.08	3.53 $\pm$ 0.02
Total sugars (g glucose/100 mL)	8.47 $\pm$ 0.10	7.36 $\pm$ 0.08
Ascorbic acid (mg/100 mL)	43.13 $\pm$ 0.44	34.18 $\pm$ 0.25

Average $\pm$ standard deviation (n = 3).

Table 2

Microbial counts (log CFU/mL) of different groups of bacteria from the vessels mimicking the ascending colon (AC), the transverse colon (TC), and the descending colon (DC) as a result of treatments with fresh orange juice (FOJ) and pasteurized orange juice (POJ).

Microbial groups	Experimental period	AC	TC	DC
<i>Lactobacillus</i> spp.	Control	7.51 ^d $\pm$ 0.15	7.45 ^c $\pm$ 0.02	7.46 ^c $\pm$ 0.05
	FOJ	8.84 ^a $\pm$ 0.02	8.60 ^a $\pm$ 0.19	8.51 ^a $\pm$ 0.03
	Washout 1	7.81 ^c $\pm$ 0.03	7.95 ^b $\pm$ 0.05	7.39 ^c $\pm$ 0.09
	POJ	8.58 ^b $\pm$ 0.08	8.52 ^a $\pm$ 0.01	8.50 ^a $\pm$ 0.10
<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp.	Washout 2	7.92 ^c $\pm$ 0.22	7.39 ^c $\pm$ 0.09	7.70 ^b $\pm$ 0.01
	Control	7.52 ^c $\pm$ 0.03	7.15 ^c $\pm$ 0.01	7.23 ^c $\pm$ 0.01
	FOJ	7.84 ^b $\pm$ 0.05	8.30 ^a $\pm$ 0.04	8.21 ^a $\pm$ 0.07
	Washout 1	7.90 ^b $\pm$ 0.04	8.00 ^b $\pm$ 0.01	7.38 ^b $\pm$ 0.02
<i>Clostridium</i> spp.	POJ	8.10 ^a $\pm$ 0.04	8.34 ^a $\pm$ 0.04	8.25 ^a $\pm$ 0.08
	Washout 2	7.59 ^c $\pm$ 0.11	6.35 ^d $\pm$ 0.35	7.15 ^c $\pm$ 0.15
	Control	7.74 ^e $\pm$ 0.03	7.30 ^d $\pm$ 0.10	7.53 ^d $\pm$ 0.06
	FOJ	8.81 ^a $\pm$ 0.03	8.38 ^b $\pm$ 0.02	8.46 ^{ab} $\pm$ 0.03
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	Washout 1	8.44 ^c $\pm$ 0.02	8.57 ^a $\pm$ 0.01	8.11 ^c $\pm$ 0.03
	POJ	8.61 ^b $\pm$ 0.02	8.44 ^b $\pm$ 0.04	8.40 ^b $\pm$ 0.08
	Washout 2	8.20 ^d $\pm$ 0.05	8.06 ^c $\pm$ 0.02	8.52 ^a $\pm$ 0.09
	Control	5.17 ^d $\pm$ 0.09	5.16 ^d $\pm$ 0.01	5.26 ^e $\pm$ 0.06
Enterobacteria	FOJ	6.85 ^c $\pm$ 0.03	6.77 ^c $\pm$ 0.03	6.33 ^c $\pm$ 0.03
	Washout 1	7.39 ^b $\pm$ 0.09	7.39 ^b $\pm$ 0.39	7.00 ^b $\pm$ 0.02
	POJ	8.14 ^a $\pm$ 0.04	7.38 ^b $\pm$ 0.09	7.66 ^a $\pm$ 0.05
	Washout 2	7.39 ^b $\pm$ 0.07	8.72 ^a $\pm$ 0.07	6.00 ^d $\pm$ 0.00
Total Aerobes	Control	5.74 ^a $\pm$ 0.44	6.59 ^a $\pm$ 0.04	6.53 ^d $\pm$ 0.03
	FOJ	4.35 ^b $\pm$ 0.05	5.92 ^c $\pm$ 0.05	6.47 ^d $\pm$ 0.05
	Washout 1	5.63 ^a $\pm$ 0.15	6.51 ^b $\pm$ 0.01	6.90 ^c $\pm$ 0.04
	POJ	3.39 ^c $\pm$ 0.09	5.18 ^d $\pm$ 0.07	7.10 ^b $\pm$ 0.03
Facultative Anaerobes	Washout 2	2.87 ^d $\pm$ 0.03	5.01 ^e $\pm$ 0.01	7.68 ^a $\pm$ 0.14
	Control	7.75 ^e $\pm$ 0.04	7.61 ^c $\pm$ 0.02	7.59 ^c $\pm$ 0.01
	FOJ	8.83 ^b $\pm$ 0.04	8.46 ^c $\pm$ 0.06	8.37 ^a $\pm$ 0.07
	Washout 1	9.00 ^a $\pm$ 0.07	8.19 ^b $\pm$ 0.11	7.39 ^d $\pm$ 0.09
Enterobacteria	POJ	8.47 ^c $\pm$ 0.02	8.34 ^{ab} $\pm$ 0.06	8.44 ^a $\pm$ 0.05
	Washout 2	7.87 ^d $\pm$ 0.09	7.15 ^d $\pm$ 0.15	7.74 ^b $\pm$ 0.04
	Control	7.68 ^e $\pm$ 0.04	7.64 ^c $\pm$ 0.09	7.57 ^c $\pm$ 0.01
	FOJ	8.77 ^a $\pm$ 0.01	8.12 ^b $\pm$ 0.05	8.29 ^a $\pm$ 0.01
Total Aerobes	Washout 1	8.04 ^c $\pm$ 0.04	8.01 ^b $\pm$ 0.17	7.76 ^b $\pm$ 0.07
	POJ	8.41 ^b $\pm$ 0.03	8.43 ^a $\pm$ 0.01	8.32 ^a $\pm$ 0.04
	Washout 2	7.90 ^d $\pm$ 0.02	7.15 ^d $\pm$ 0.15	7.69 ^{bc} $\pm$ 0.21

Average $\pm$ standard deviation (n = 3).

Averages with different lower-case letters in the same column differ significantly according to the Tukey's test ($p \leq 0.05$).

Studies involving gut microbiota and the ingestion of orange juice are still rare; however, some authors have suggested a relationship between a diet rich in polyphenols and an increase in *Lactobacillus* spp., *Bifidobacterium* spp., and *Enterococcus* spp. diversity (Cueva et al., 2013; Molan, Liu & Kruger, 2010; Valdés et al., 2015; Viveros et al., 2011).

The increase in *Bifidobacterium* spp. and *Lactobacillus* spp. populations in the colon provides benefits to intestinal health, including the regulation of the immune system and the production of short-chain fatty acids. These populations can also exhibit antimicrobial capacities through bacteriocin production, which enables the reduction of pathogenic bacteria populations (Jacobsen et al., 1999; Mitsuka, 1990).

The reduction in the enterobacteria population is also considered beneficial to the body; when present in high quantities, enterobacteria exhibit greater pathogenic potential (Montesi et al., 2005). Sánchez-Patán et al. (2015) also observed a significant reduction in the enterobacteria population after *in vitro* batch incubations with cranberry and grape seed extracts in the ascending and descending colons, showing that fruits rich in polyphenols may inhibit some genera of colonic microbiota such as enterobacteria.

Elevated *Clostridium* spp. counts are not necessarily associated with negative effects on health. Some species of the genus *Clostridium* are associated with the production of short-chain fatty acids, which are beneficial to health (Possemiers et al., 2010; Sivieri et al., 2013). However, other species are involved in the inflammatory processes of gastrointestinal disorders because of their metabolic activity and pathogenic nature (Guarner & Malagelada, 2003; Montesi et al., 2005).

3.2.1. PCR-DGGE

The analysis of the cluster generated by the DGGE band profile revealed that the samples from the simulated AC vessel were separated into three different clusters (Fig. 2A). Cluster 1 (C1) was represented by the total bacteria in the treatments with FOJ and POJ (50% similarity), Cluster 2 (C2) included the total bacteria in washout periods 1 and 2 (50% similarity), and Cluster 3 (C3) was represented by the total bacteria in the control period. The same cluster division was observed in the simulated TC, though with different similarity values: the clusters were formed by FOJ and POJ (56% similarity), washout 1 and washout 2 (74% similarity), and the control period (Fig. 2B). In the case of the simulated DC, total bacteria in the control period and washout 1 formed one cluster with 73% similarity and another cluster with 77% similarity between the two juice treatments and washout 2 (Fig. 2C).

In the simulated AC and TC vessels, the treatments with FOJ and POJ were clustered separately from the control and washout periods, respectively, indicating that there were changes in total bacteria composition induced by the orange juice treatments. This result is supported by the decrease in the number of PCR-DGGE bands during the orange juice treatments; however, when the juice administration was suspended (the washout periods), an increase in the number of bands was observed. Similar results were observed by [Kemperman et al. \(2013\)](#), who reported a reduction in the number of bands representing total bacteria after treatment with black tea extract and red wine grape extract using the SHIME® model. One of the advantages of PCR-DGGE analysis is the ability to visually monitor the profile and changes that occur in the microbial community when different treatments are applied ([Chaikham, Apichartsrangkoon, Jirattananarangsri, & Van de Wiele, 2012](#); [Muyzer & Smalla, 1998](#)).

3.2.2. Ecological analysis of the total bacteria population

The richness values of the simulated AC vessel in the treatments with FOJ and POJ were 18.58 and 19.01, respectively; after the

treatments, there was an increase in richness in the washout 1 (58.21) and the washout 2 (42.77). The simulated TC vessel was found to have a higher richness value in the POJ treatment (58.21). The richness values of the simulated DC vessel in the two juice treatments and in washout 1 were very similar (39.20). The highest value observed in this colon vessel was in the control period (48.40) (Fig. 3).

According to [Marzorati et al. \(2008\)](#), richness values between 10 and 30 correspond to a microbial community of average richness, while richness values above 30 represent a highly rich microbial community typical of very habitable environments and high diversity. Thus, it can be said that the simulated TC and DC provide a healthier environment than the simulated AC, which results in a large number of total bacteria species in these colon vessels. Meanwhile, the richness values of the simulated AC decreased in the juice treatments, a finding which likely reflects colonization by fewer species. This result suggests that, in the simulated AC, the juice treatments had a reducing effect on the total bacteria.

[Kemperman et al. \(2013\)](#) observed that the polyphenols present in black tea and red wine grape extracts affected microbial composition and promoted a reduction in richness values in the three colon vessels that represented the ascending, transverse, and descending colons in the SHIME® model. The authors attributed these results to the selective effect of the polyphenols and suggested that dominant species may express metabolic pathways for degradation of polyphenols or may be resistant to the antimicrobial effect of the phenolic compounds.

Another parameter used to evaluate the structure and functionality of the total bacteria population was functional organization through the construction of a Pareto–Lorenz (PL) curve. The treatments with FOJ and POJ were not found to influence the functionality of the total bacteria population in the three regions of the colon. The average functionality coefficient of the total bacteria population was 32%, close to the 25% Pareto–Lorenz curve (Fig. 4). In ecological terms, this represents high community uniformity, but it does not present a well-defined internal structure in




Fig. 2. Cluster analysis of the DGGE profiles of total bacteria from the vessels mimicking the ascending colon (AC), the transverse colon (TC), and the descending colon (DC) as a result of treatments with fresh orange juice (FOJ) and pasteurized orange juice (POJ). C1: Cluster 1; C2: Cluster 2; C3: Cluster 3.




Fig. 3. Richness level of the total bacteria population from the vessels mimicking the ascending colon (AC), the transverse colon (TC), and the descending colon (DC) as a result of treatments with fresh orange juice (FOJ) and pasteurized orange juice (POJ).

terms of dominant species. This community is characterized as a community with low functional organization (Marzorati et al., 2008).

3.3. Ammonium

There was a reduction ($p \leq 0.05$) in ammonium production in the treatments with FOJ and POJ (Table 3). This reduction was positive, since ammonium correspond to one of the products of the protein degradation performed by the gut bacteria, which is considered a metabolite that negatively affects intestinal health (Davila et al., 2013; Montalto, D'onofrio, Gallo, Cazzato, & Gasbarrini, 2009). When present at high concentrations, ammonium have the capacity to change the morphology of epithelial cells of the gut and to act as tumor promoters in the colon (Hughes, Magee, & Bingham, 2000; Scott et al., 2013).

Similar results were found by Chaikham and Apichartsrangkoon (2014), who observed a reduction in the concentration of ammonium in all of the colon vessels in the SHIME® model in a treatment with pasteurized longan juice.

When the colon vessels were compared to each other, an increase ($p \leq 0.05$) in the ammonium concentration was observed along the simulated colon vessels (AC to DC); the experimental period as a whole was considered except for washout 2 (Table 3). According to Macfarlane, Gibson, and Cummings (1992), the ammonium concentration in the intestinal lumen increases progressively from the ascending colon to the descending colon due to the higher rate of protein fermentation in the descending colon relative to the ascending colon. However, Smith and Macfarlane (1998) attributed the lower ammonium production in the ascending colon to low pH and high availability of carbohydrates in the region.




Fig. 4. Pareto-Lorenz curve from the vessels mimicking the ascending colon (AC), the transverse colon (TC), and the descending colon (DC) as a result of treatments with fresh orange juice (FOJ) and pasteurized orange juice (POJ).

Table 3

Ammonium concentration (mmol/L) from the vessels mimicking the ascending colon (AC), the transverse colon (TC), and the descending colon (DC) as a result of treatments with fresh orange juice (FOJ) and pasteurized orange juice (POJ).

Experimental period	AC	TC	DC
Control	13.77 ^{cc} ± 0.09	19.98 ^{cb} ± 0.09	23.78 ^{ba} ± 0.17
FOJ	0.38 ^{dc} ± 0.01	3.51 ^{db} ± 0.05	4.60 ^{da} ± 0.03
Washout 1	30.18 ^{ac} ± 0.26	34.85 ^{ab} ± 0.38	38.65 ^{aa} ± 0.23
POJ	0.39 ^{dc} ± 0.01	0.62 ^{eb} ± 0.02	3.42 ^{ea} ± 0.03
Washout 2	24.76 ^{bb} ± 0.28	29.16 ^{ba} ± 0.17	20.21 ^{cc} ± 0.54

Average ± standard deviation (n = 3).

Averages with different lower-case letters in the same column differ significantly according to the Tukey's test (p ≤ 0.05).

Averages with different upper-case letters in the same line differ significantly according to the Tukey's test (p ≤ 0.05).

3.4. Short-Chain Fatty Acids (SCFAs)

In the FOJ treatment, a substantial increase (p ≤ 0.05) in acetic acid and butyric acid was observed in all of the colon vessels, as well as an increase (p ≤ 0.05) in propionic acid in the simulated TC relative to the control period (Table 4). In the POJ treatment, an increase (p ≤ 0.05) in acetic acid was observed in all of the colon vessels, as well as an increase (p ≤ 0.05) in propionic acid and butyric acid in the simulated colon vessels TC and DC relative to washout 1. Total SCFA production in the FOJ treatment was greater (p ≤ 0.05) than in the POJ treatment in the simulated AC and DC vessels, but no difference was observed in the simulated TC (p > 0.05) (Table 4).

The acetate, the most abundant SCFA in the colon, may increase cholesterol synthesis after absorption. Meanwhile, the propionate may reduce lipogenesis and inhibit serum cholesterol synthesis (Hijova & Chmelarova, 2007; Hosseini, Grootaert, Verstraete, & Van de Wiele, 2011). The butyrate is considered the main energy substrate used by the epithelial cells of the colon; it supplies 70% of these cells' energy needs (Montalto et al., 2009). The butyrate also reduces the risk of colon cancer, intensifies water and sodium absorption, and stimulates blood flow to the intestinal mucosa (Mortensen & Clausen, 1996; Velázquez, Lederer, & Rombeau, 1996).

Table 4

Short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) concentration (mmol/L) from the vessels mimicking the ascending colon (AC), the transverse colon (TC), and the descending colon (DC) as a result of treatments with fresh orange juice (FOJ) and pasteurized orange juice (POJ).

SCFA	Experimental period	AC	TC	DC
Acetic acid	Control	27.18 ^d ± 0.39	64.98 ^c ± 0.58	69.77 ^c ± 8.29
	FOJ	155.76 ^a ± 10.64	184.14 ^a ± 9.74	179.73 ^a ± 16.19
	Washout 1	63.13 ^c ± 23.38	97.20 ^b ± 2.23	95.60 ^b ± 11.04
	POJ	124.00 ^b ± 16.92	153.28 ^a ± 39.78	150.87 ^a ± 27.20
	Washout 2	56.22 ^c ± 5.74	91.85 ^b ± 26.13	102.05 ^b ± 10.59
	POJ	14.40 ^a ± 1.25	20.82 ^a ± 2.07	22.89 ^a ± 0.97
Propionic acid	Control	7.32 ^c ± 0.22	12.18 ^c ± 0.75	12.75 ^d ± 0.00
	FOJ	4.66 ^d ± 0.75	15.57 ^b ± 1.60	13.24 ^d ± 0.55
	Washout 1	14.59 ^a ± 1.95	10.60 ^c ± 0.34	18.30 ^b ± 0.81
	POJ	14.40 ^a ± 1.25	20.82 ^a ± 2.07	22.89 ^a ± 0.97
	Washout 2	10.16 ^b ± 0.28	16.48 ^b ± 0.76	16.50 ^c ± 1.29
	POJ	11.76 ^c ± 0.24	63.36 ^{ab} ± 5.02	65.79 ^b ± 1.92
Butyric acid	Control	6.45 ^d ± 0.84	11.90 ^c ± 1.48	12.13 ^d ± 2.98
	FOJ	21.62 ^a ± 0.68	74.21 ^a ± 14.49	81.77 ^a ± 3.35
	Washout 1	15.31 ^b ± 1.28	6.89 ^c ± 0.09	10.18 ^d ± 0.00
	POJ	11.76 ^c ± 0.24	63.36 ^{ab} ± 5.02	65.79 ^b ± 1.92
	Washout 2	2.35 ^e ± 0.18	55.62 ^b ± 1.63	48.59 ^c ± 4.64
	POJ	182.05 ^a ± 11.60	273.92 ^a ± 12.04	274.75 ^a ± 17.99
Total SCFA	Control	40.95 ^d ± 0.95	89.06 ^d ± 1.94	94.65 ^d ± 8.94
	FOJ	182.05 ^a ± 11.60	273.92 ^a ± 12.04	274.75 ^a ± 17.99
	Washout 1	93.02 ^c ± 24.48	114.69 ^c ± 1.98	124.09 ^d ± 11.85
	POJ	150.16 ^b ± 17.31	237.45 ^a ± 36.56	239.55 ^b ± 25.62
	Washout 2	68.73 ^c ± 6.18	163.94 ^b ± 25.23	167.14 ^c ± 14.72

Average ± standard deviation (n = 3).

Averages with different lower-case letters in the same column differ significantly according to the Tukey's test (p ≤ 0.05).

SCFA production may be influenced by the number and species of microorganisms present in the colon, as well as the substrate available (Macfarlane & Macfarlane, 2003). In the current study, increases in *Lactobacillus* spp., *Bifidobacterium* spp., and *Clostridium* spp. populations were observed in the treatments with FOJ and POJ. Some studies have shown that these genera are involved in SCFA production and that they play an important role in both the physiology of the colon and metabolism (Hijova & Chmelarova, 2007; Possemiers et al., 2010). An increase in SCFAs may also be attributed to carbon sources from the orange juice, since carbon serves as a substrate in the fermentation of gut bacteria and effectively participates in the SCFA production in the colon (Chaikham et al., 2012). In addition, orange juice flavanones — particularly hesperidin and narirutin metabolized into hesperitin and naringenin — are responsible for the production of propionic acid and acetic acid (Pereira-Caro, Borges, et al., 2015). According to Pereira-Caro, Borges, et al. (2015) colonic microbiota affect the overall bioavailability of orange juice (poly)phenols through the production of hydroxy- and methoxyphenylpropionic acids.

Chaikham and Apichartsrangkoon (2014) evaluated the influence of pasteurized longan juice on gut microbiota using the SHIME® model and observed an increase (p ≤ 0.05) in SCFA concentration (acetate, propionate, and butyrate) in all of the colons in their juice treatment. Meanwhile, in the study performed by Costabile et al. (2015), there were no changes in SCFA concentrations in the case of a reconstituted orange juice treatment using a three-stage *in vitro* colonic model system.

SCFA and ammonium analyses are typically used to evaluate microbial metabolism. Thus, the results of this study show that the treatments with FOJ and POJ stimulated microbial metabolism, with a significant decrease in ammonium and an increase in SCFA. These results suggest a positive influence on gut microbiota.

3.5. Antioxidant activity

The antioxidant activity of the FOJ was 3014.70 μmol of Trolox/L and the POJ, 3024.00 μmol of Trolox/L, similar to those reported by Bisconsin-Junior, Alvarenga, Rosenthal, and Monteiro (2015) for fresh orange juice, and higher than those found by Stella et al. (2011).

Fig. 5 shows the antioxidant activity of the samples from the simulated colon vessels (AC, TC, and DC) during the treatments with FOJ and POJ. In all regions of the colon, the antioxidant activity of samples from the treatments with FOJ and POJ was higher than that of the control period and washout 1, respectively. A difference was observed (p ≤ 0.05) in antioxidant activity resulting from the two orange juice treatments in the DC vessel, while there was no difference in the AC or TC vessels (p > 0.05) (Fig. 5).




Fig. 5. Antioxidant activity from the vessels mimicking the ascending colon (AC), the transverse colon (TC), and the descending colon (DC) as a result of treatments with fresh orange juice (FOJ) and pasteurized orange juice (POJ). Averages with different letters differ significantly according to the Student's t-test (p ≤ 0.05).




Fig. 6. Principal component analysis (projection of the variables (A); projection of the samples (B)) in the SHIME® model. AC: ascending colon. TC: transverse colon. DC: descending colon. C: control. FOJ: fresh orange juice. W1: washout 1. POJ: pasteurized orange juice. W2: washout 2.

There was a slight reduction in antioxidant activity in the samples from the simulated colon vessels during the orange juice treatments relative to the antioxidant activity of the juices. Even so, the antioxidant activity of the samples from the simulated colon vessels remained high, suggesting that much of the phenolic compound content in the orange juice is not absorbed in the duodenum; it is therefore bioavailable after fermentation by gut microbiota (Gil-Izquierdo, Gil, & Ferreres, 2002).

3.6. Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

The PCA revealed similarities and differences during the experimental period in which the SHIME® was used, explaining 78.17% of the total variation of the data (Fig. 6). Principal component 1 explained 55.89% of the variation in the data, which was described by the *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Bifidobacterium* spp. populations, the antioxidant activity, acetic acid production, butyric acid production, and ammonium level. Principal component 2 explained 22.28% of the variation in the data and was itself explained by propionic acid levels and by the enterobacteria population (Fig. 6A). The control and washout periods samples (C-AC, C-TC, C-DC, W1-AC, W1-TC, W1-DC, W2-AC, W2-TC, and W2-DC) were described by the increase in ammonium production. The two juice treatments in the respective colon vessels (FOJ-AC, FOJ-TC, FOJ-DC, POJ-AC, POJ-TC, and POJ-DC) were described by the increase in *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Bifidobacterium* spp. populations, the antioxidant activity, acetic acid production, and butyric acid production (Fig. 6B). These results suggest that both fresh and pasteurized orange juice had a positive effect on gut microbiota through the improved metabolic activity of the microbiota in different regions of the colon.

4. Conclusions

The long-term experiment in the SHIME® showed that both the fresh and pasteurized orange juice treatments changed the composition of the microbial community by increasing *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Bifidobacterium* spp. populations and reducing the enterobacteria population. On the other hand, the PCR-DGGE analysis indicated a reduction in total bacteria population richness values, though not necessarily with a negative impact on gut microbiota: increases in both SCFA production and antioxidant activity were observed, as well as decreases in ammonium levels. These results indicate that orange juice has a selective and prebiotic effect on gut microbiota. This exploratory study on the

influence of orange juice over gut microbiota using SHIME® model should be deeper. Further studies should be performed to better understand the effects of interactions among the bioactive compounds from orange juice and their metabolites on human gut microbiota.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from CAPES (Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Level) and FAPESP (São Paulo Research Foundation). FAPESP Process number **09/53878-8**.

References

- Adorno, M. A. T., Hirasawa, J. S., & Varesche, M. B. A. (2014). Development and validation of two methods to quantify volatile acids (C2-C6) by GC/FID: Headspace (automatic and manual) and liquid-liquid extraction (LLE). *American Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 5, 406–414.
- AOAC (2012). *Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists* (19th ed.). Gaithersburg, MD, USA: AOAC International.
- Aptekmann, N. P., & Cesar, T. B. (2013). Long-term orange juice consumption is associated with low LDL-cholesterol and apolipoprotein B in normal and moderately hypercholesterolemic subjects. *Lipids in Health and Disease*, 12(119), 1–10.
- Asami, D. K., Hong, Y. J., Barrett, D. M., & Mitchell, A. E. (2003). Comparison of the total phenolic and ascorbic acid content of freeze-dried and air-dried marionberry, strawberry, and corn grown using conventional, organic, and sustainable agricultural practices. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 51, 1237–1241.
- Besten, G., Eunen, K., Groen, A. K., Venema, K., Reijngoud, D., & Bakker, B. M. (2013). The role of short-chain fatty acids in the interplay between diet, gut microbiota, and host energy metabolism. *Journal of Lipid Research*, 54(9), 2325–2340.
- Bianchi, F., Rossi, E. A., Sakamoto, I. K., Adorno, M. A. T., Van de Wiele, T., & Sivieri, K. (2014). Beneficial effects of fermented vegetal beverages on human gastrointestinal microbial ecosystem in a simulator. *Food Research International*, 64, 43–52.
- Birt, D. F., Hendrich, S., & Wang, W. (2001). Dietary agents in cancer prevention: Flavonoids and isoflavonoids. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 90, 157–177.
- Bisconsin-Junior, A., Rosenthal, A., & Monteiro, M. (2014). Optimisation of high hydrostatic pressure processing of Pera Rio orange juice. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 7, 1670–1677.
- Bisconsin-Junior, A., Alvarenga, J. F. R., Rosenthal, A., & Monteiro, M. (2015). Effect of high hydrostatic pressure on ascorbic acid, phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of Pera Rio orange juice. *Journal of Food Processing & Technology*, 6(2), 1–7.
- Brasil (2000). *Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento. Instrução Normativa N° 1, de 07 de janeiro de 2000. Aprova o Regulamento Técnico Geral para fixação dos Padrões de Identidade e Qualidade para Polpa de Fruta*. Brasília, Brazil.
- Chaikham, P., & Apichartsrangkoon, A. (2014). Effects of encapsulated *Lactobacillus acidophilus* along with pasteurized longan juice on the colon microbiota residing in a dynamic simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 98, 485–495.
- Chaikham, P., Apichartsrangkoon, A., Jirattananangsr, W., & Van de Wiele, T. (2012). Influence of encapsulated probiotics combined with pressurized longan juice on colon microflora and their metabolic activities on the exposure to simulated dynamic gastrointestinal tract. *Food Research International*, 49, 133–142.

- Clemente, J. C., Ursell, L. K., Parfrey, L. W., & Knight, R. (2012). The impact of the gut microbiota on human health: An integrative view. *Cell*, 148, 1258–1270.
- Costabile, A., Walton, G. E., Tzortzis, G., Vulevic, J., Charalampopoulos, D., & Gibson, G. R. (2015). Effects of orange juice formulation on prebiotic functionality using an in vitro colonic model system. *PLoS One*, 10(3), 1–12.
- Cueva, C., Sánchez-Patán, F., Monagas, M., Walton, G. E., Gibson, G. R., Martín-Álvarez, P. J., ... Moreno-Arribas, M. V. (2013). In vitro fermentation of grape seed flavan-3-ol fractions by human faecal microbiota: Changes in microbial groups and phenolic metabolites. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 83(3), 792–805.
- Davila, A. M., Blachier, F., Gotteland, M., Andriamihaja, M., Benetti, P. H., Sanz, Y., & Tomé, D. (2013). Intestinal luminal nitrogen metabolism: Role of the gut microbiota and consequences for the host. *Pharmacological Research*, 68, 95–107.
- De Boever, P., Deplancke, B., & Verstraete, W. (2000). Fermentation by gut microbiota cultured in a simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem is improved by supplementing a soygerm powder. *Journal of Nutrition*, 130, 2599–2606.
- Dejonghe, W., Boon, N., Seghers, D., Top, E. M., & Verstraete, W. (2001). Bioaugmentation of soils by increasing microbial richness: Missing links. *Environmental Microbiology*, 3(10), 649–657.
- Dueñas, M., Muñoz-González, I., Cueva, C., Jiménez-Girón, A., Sánchez-Patán, F., Santos-Buelga, C., ... Bartolomé, B. (2015). A survey of modulation of gut microbiota by dietary polyphenols. *BioMed Research International*, 1–15.
- Frick, J. S., & Autenrieth, I. B. (2013). The gut microflora and its variety of roles in health and disease. *Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology*, 358, 273–289.
- Gerritsen, J., Smidt, H., Rijkers, G. T., & De Vos, W. M. (2011). Intestinal microbiota in human health and disease: The impact of probiotics. *Genes & Nutrition*, 6, 209–240.
- Ghanim, H., Sia, C. L., Upadhyay, M., Korzeniewski, K., Viswanathan, P., Abuaysheh, S., ... Dandona, P. (2010). Orange juice neutralizes the proinflammatory effect of a high-fat, high-carbohydrate meal and prevents endotoxin increase and Toll-like receptor expression. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 91, 940–949.
- Gil-Izquierdo, A., Gil, M. I., & Ferreres, F. (2002). Effect of processing techniques at industrial scale on orange juice antioxidant and beneficial health compounds. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 50(18), 5107–5114.
- Guarner, F., & Malagelada, J. R. (2003). Gut flora in health and disease. *The Lancet*, 361, 512–519.
- Guglielmetti, S., Fracassetti, D., Taverniti, V., Del Bo', C., Vendrame, S., Klimis-Zacas, D., ... Porrini, M. (2013). Differential modulation of human intestinal *Bifidobacterium* populations after consumption of a wild blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium*) drink. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 61(34), 8134–8140.
- Hijova, E., & Chmelarova, A. (2007). Short chain fatty acids and colonic health. *Bratislavské Lekárske Listy*, 108(8), 354–358.
- Hosseini, E., Grootaert, C., Verstraete, W., & Van de Wiele, T. (2011). Propionate as a health-promoting microbial metabolite in the human gut. *Nutrition Reviews*, 69(5), 245–258.
- Hughes, R., Magee, E. A., & Bingham, S. (2000). Protein degradation in the large intestine: Relevance to colorectal cancer. *Current Issues in Intestinal Microbiology*, 1(2), 51–58.
- Jacobsen, C. N., Nielsen, V. R., Hayford, A. E., Møller, P. L., Michaelsen, K. F., Paerregaard, A., ... Jakobsen, M. (1999). Screening of probiotic activities of forty-seven strains of *Lactobacillus* spp. by in vitro techniques and evaluation of the colonization ability of five selected strains in humans. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 65(11), 4949–4956.
- Kemperman, R. A., Gross, G., Mondot, S., Possemiers, S., Marzorati, M., Van de Wiele, T., ... Vaughan, E. E. (2013). Impact of polyphenols from black tea and red wine/grape juice on a gut model microbiome. *Food Research International*, 53, 659–669.
- Krinsky, N. I., & Johnson, E. J. (2005). Carotenoid actions and their relation to health and disease. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*, 26, 459–516.
- Laparra, J. M., & Sanz, Y. (2010). Interactions of gut microbiota with functional food components and nutraceuticals. *Pharmacological Research*, 61, 219–225.
- Molan, A. L., Liu, Z., & Kruger, M. (2010). The ability of blackcurrant extracts to positively modulate key markers of gastrointestinal function in rats. *World Journal Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 26, 1735–1743.
- Lorenz, M. O. (1905). Methods of measuring the concentration of wealth. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 9, 209–219.
- Macfarlane, S., & Macfarlane, G. T. (2003). Regulation of short-chain fatty acid production. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 62, 67–72.
- Macfarlane, G. T., Gibson, G. R., & Cummings, J. H. (1992). Comparison of fermentation reactions in different regions of the human colon. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 72(1), 57–64.
- Marzorati, M., Wittebolle, L., Boon, N., Daffonchio, D., & Verstraete, W. (2008). How to get more out of molecular fingerprints: Practical tools for microbial ecology. *Environmental Microbiology*, 10(6), 1571–1581.
- Mertens, B., Boon, N., & Verstraete, W. (2005). Stereospecific effect of hexachlorocyclohexane on activity and structure of soil methanotrophic communities. *Environmental Microbiology*, 7, 660–669.
- Milenkovic, D., Deval, C., Dubray, C., Mazur, A., & Morand, C. (2011). Hesperidin displays relevant role in the nutrigenomic effect of orange juice on blood leukocytes in human volunteers: A randomized controlled cross-over study. *PLoS One*, 6, 1–9.
- Mitsuoka, T. (1990). *Bifidobacteria* and their role in human health. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 6, 236–268.
- Molly, K., Woestyne, M. V., De Smet, I., & Verstraete, W. (1994). Validation of the Simulator of the Human Intestinal Microbial Ecosystem (SHIME) reactor using microorganism associated activities. *Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease*, 7(4), 191–200.
- Monforte, M. T., Trovato, A., Kirjavainen, S., Forestieri, A. M., Galati, E. M., & Lo Curto, R. B. (1995). Biological effects of hesperidin in a citrus flavonoid hypolipidemic activity on experimental hypercholesterolemia in rat. *Farmacologia*, 50(9), 595–599.
- Montalto, M., D'onofrio, F., Gallo, A., Cazzato, A., & Gasbarrini, G. (2009). Intestinal microbiota and its functions. *Digestive and Liver Disease Supplements*, 3, 30–34.
- Montesi, A., García-Albiach, R., Pozuelo, M. J., Pintado, C., Goni, I., & Rotger, R. (2005). Molecular and microbiological analysis of caecal microbiota in rats fed with diets supplemented either with prebiotics or probiotics. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 98, 281–289.
- Morand, C., Dubray, C., Milenkovic, D., Lioger, D., Martin, J. F., Scalbert, A., & Mazur, A. (2011). Hesperidin contributes to the vascular protective effects of orange juice: A randomized crossover study in healthy volunteers. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 93, 73–80.
- Mortensen, P. B., & Clausen, M. R. (1996). Short-chain fatty acids in the human colon: Relation to gastrointestinal. *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology*, 31, 132–148.
- Mosele, J. I., Gosálbes, M., Macià, A., Rubió, L., Vázquez-Castellanos, J. F., Jiménez Hernández, N., ... Motilva, M. J. (2015). Effect of daily intake of pomegranate juice on fecal microbiota and feces metabolites from healthy volunteers. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*, 59(10), 1942–1953.
- Muyzer, G., & Smalla, K. (1998). Application of denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) and temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TGGE) in microbial ecology. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, 73, 127–141.
- Ness, A. R., Khaw, K. T., Bingham, S., & Day, N. E. (1996). Vitamin C status and serum lipids. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 50, 724–729.
- Nübel, U., Engelen, B., Felske, A., Snaird, J., Wieshuber, A., Amann, R. I., ... Backhaus, H. (1996). Sequence heterogeneities of genes encoding 16S rRNAs in *Paenibacillus polymyxa* detected by temperature gradient gel electrophoresis. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 178(19), 5636–5643.
- Parkar, S. G., Trower, T. M., & Stevenson, D. E. (2013). Fecal microbial metabolism of polyphenols and its effects on human gut microbiota. *Anaerobe*, 23, 12–19.
- Payne, A. N., Zihler, A., Chassard, C., & Lacroix, C. (2012). Advances and perspectives in in vitro human gut fermentation modeling. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 30(1), 17–25.
- Pereira-Caro, G., Borges, C., Ky, I., Ribas, A., Calani, L., Del Rio, D., ... Crozier, A. (2015). In vitro colonic catabolism of orange juice (poly)phenols. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*, 59, 465–475.
- Pereira-Caro, G., Oliver, C. M., Weerakkody, R., Singh, T., Conlon, M., Borges, G., ... Augustin, M. A. (2015). Chronic administration of a microencapsulated probiotic enhances the bioavailability of orange juice flavanones in humans. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 84, 206–214.
- Possemiers, S., Verthé, K., Uyttendaele, S., & Verstraete, W. (2004). PCR-DGGE-based quantification of stability of the microbial community in a simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 49, 495–507.
- Possemiers, S., Marzorati, M., Verstraete, W., & Van de Wiele, T. (2010). Bacteria and chocolate: A successful combination for probiotic delivery. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 141, 97–103.
- Reis, C. M., Carosia, M. F., Sakamoto, I. K., Varesche, M. B. A., & Silva, E. L. (2015). Evaluation of hydrogen and methane production from sugarcane vinasse in an anaerobic fluidized bed reactor. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 40, 8498–8509.
- Round, J. L., & Mazmanian, S. K. (2009). The gut microbiota shapes intestinal immune responses during health and disease. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 9, 313–323.
- Rufino, M. S. M., Alves, R. E., Brito, E. S., Pérez-Jiménez, J., Saura-Calixto, F., & Macini-Filho, J. (2010). Bioactive compounds and antioxidant capacities of 18 non-traditional tropical fruits from Brazil. *Food Chemistry*, 121, 996–1002.
- Russell, W. R., Gratz, S. W., Duncan, S. H., Holtrop, G., Ince, J., Scobbie, L., ... Flint, H. J. (2011). High-protein, reduced-carbohydrate weight-loss diets promote metabolite profiles likely to be detrimental to colonic health. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 93, 1062–1072.
- Sánchez-Patán, F., Barroso, E., Van de Wiele, T., Jiménez-Girón, A., Martín-Álvarez, P. J., Moreno-Arribas, V. M., ... Bartolomé, B. (2015). Comparative in vitro fermentations of cranberry and grape seed polyphenols with colonic microbiota. *Food Chemistry*, 183, 273–282.
- Sanguinetti, C. J., Dias Neto, E., & Simpson, A. J. G. (1994). Rapid silver staining and recovery of PCR products separated on polyacrylamide gels. *BioTechniques*, 17, 915–919.
- Scott, K. P., Gratz, S. W., Sheridan, P. O., Flint, H. J., & Duncan, S. H. (2013). The influence of diet on the gut microbiota. *Pharmacological Research*, 69, 52–60.
- Sekirov, I., Russell, S. L., Antunes, L. C. M., & Finlay, B. B. (2010). Gut microbiota in health and disease. *Physiological Reviews*, 90, 859–904.
- Simon, J. A. (1992). Vitamin C and cardiovascular disease: A review. *The Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 11, 107–125.
- Sivieri, K., Bianchi, F., Tallarico, M. A., & Rossi, E. A. (2011). Fermentation by gut microbiota cultured in a simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem is improved by probiotic *Enterococcus faecium* CRL 183. *Functional Foods in Health and Disease*, 10, 389–402.
- Sivieri, K., Morales, M. L. V., Adorno, M. A. T., Sakamoto, I. K., Saad, S. M. I., & Rossi, E. A. (2013). *Lactobacillus acidophilus* CRL 1014 improved "gut health" in the SHIME reactor. *BMC Gastroenterology*, 13(100), 1–9.
- Smith, E. A., & Macfarlane, G. T. (1998). Enumeration of amino acid fermenting bacteria in the human large intestine: Effects of pH and starch on peptide metabolism and dissimilation of amino acids. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 25(4), 355–368.
- Stella, S. P., Ferrarezi, A. C., Santos, K. O., & Monteiro, M. (2011). Antioxidant activity of commercial ready-to-drink orange juice and nectar. *Journal Food Science*, 76(3), 392–397.
- Stinco, C. M., Fernández-Vázquez, R., Escudero-Gilete, M., Heredia, F. J., Meléndez-Martínez, A. J., & Vicario, I. M. (2012). Effect of orange juice's processing on the color, particle size, and bioaccessibility of carotenoids. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 60, 1447–1455.
- Tripoli, E., La Guardia, M., Giammanco, S., Di Majo, D., & Giammanco, M. (2007). Citrus flavonoids: Molecular structure, biological activity and nutritional properties: A review. *Food Chemistry*, 104, 466–479.
- Valdés, L., Cuervo, A., Salazar, N., Ruas-Madiedo, P., Gueimondea, M., & González, S. (2015). The relationship between phenolic compounds from diet and microbiota: Impact on human health. *Food & Function*, 6, 2424–2439.

- Van de Wiele, T., Boon, N., Possemiers, S., Jacobs, H., & Verstraete, W. (2007). Inulin-type fructans of longer degree of polymerization exert more pronounced in vitro prebiotic effects. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 102, 452–460.
- Velázquez, O. C., Lederer, H. M., & Rombeau, J. L. (1996). Butyrate and the colonocyte. Implications for neoplasia. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, 41(4), 727–739.
- Viveros, A., Chamorro, S., Pizarro, M., Arija, I., Centeno, C., & Brenes, A. (2011). Effects of dietary polyphenol-rich grape products on intestinal microflora and gut morphology in broiler chicks. *Poultry Science*, 90, 566–578.
- Walker, A. W., Ince, J., Duncan, S. H., Webster, L. M., Holtrop, G., Ze, X., ... Flint, H. J. (2011). Dominant and diet-responsive groups of bacteria within the human colonic microbiota. *The ISME Journal*, 5, 220–230.
- Wittebolle, L., Vervaeren, H., Verstraete, W., & Boon, N. (2008). Quantifying community dynamics of nitrifiers in functionally stable reactors. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 74, 286–293.
- Yang, C. S., Landau, J. M., Huang, M. T., & Newmark, H. L. (2001). Inhibition of carcinogenesis by dietary polyphenolic compounds. *Annual Review of Nutrition*, 21, 381–406.