

# RESSALVA

Atendendo solicitação do(a)  
autor(a), o texto completo desta  
tese será disponibilizado  
somente a partir de 27/09/2026.

Universidade Estadual Paulista “Júlio de Mesquita Filho”  
Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia

**NEW PREDICTIVE FACTORS IDENTIFIED BY MOLECULAR  
STUDY IN CHEMORESISTANT HUMAN AND CANINE  
MAMMARY CARCINOMA CELLS**

PATRÍCIA DE FARIA LAINETTI

Botucatu, SP  
September – 2024

Universidade Estadual Paulista “Júlio de Mesquita Filho”  
Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia

**NEW PREDICTIVE FACTORS IDENTIFIED BY MOLECULAR  
STUDY IN CHEMORESISTANT HUMAN AND CANINE  
MAMMARY CARCINOMA CELLS**

Thesis presented to the Postgraduate  
Program in Animal Biotechnology to  
obtain the title of Ph.D.

Patrícia de Faria Lainetti

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Carlos Eduardo  
Fonseca Alves

Co-Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Valeria Grieco

Botucatu, SP  
September – 2024

FICHA CATALOGRÁFICA ELABORADA PELA SEÇÃO TÉC. AQUIS. TRATAMENTO DA INFORM.  
DIVISÃO TÉCNICA DE BIBLIOTECA E DOCUMENTAÇÃO - CÂMPUS DE BOTUCATU - UNESP

BIBLIOTECÁRIA RESPONSÁVEL: ROSANGELA APARECIDA LOBO-CRB 8/7500

Lainetti, Patrícia de Faria.

New predictive factors identified by molecular study in chemoresistant human and canine mammary carcinoma cells / Patrícia de Faria Lainetti. - Botucatu, 2024

Tese (doutorado) - Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia

Orientador: Carlos Eduardo Fonseca Alves

Coorientador: Valeria Grieco

Capes: 50500007

1. Cães - Doenças. 2. Mamas - Câncer. 3. Polimorfismo de nucleotídeo único. 4. Resistência à drogas. 5. Técnicas de cultura de células.

Palavras-chave: Cão; Carcinoma mamário; Cultivo celular; Resistência quimioterápica; SNP.

Author name: Patrícia de Faria Lainetti

Title: NEW PREDICTIVE FACTORS IDENTIFIED BY MOLECULAR STUDY IN CHEMORESISTANT HUMAN AND CANINE MAMMARY CARCINOMA CELLS

### **EXAMINATION BOARD**

Prof. Dr. Carlos Eduardo Fonseca Alves

President and Supervisor

Department of Veterinary Surgery and Animal Reproduction, FMVZ - UNESP - Botucatu/SP

Profa. Dra. Valeria Grieco

Member and Supervisor (Co-tutela)

Department of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Sciences – UNIMI – Milan/Italy

Profa. Dra. Fabiana Ferreira de Souza

Member

Department of Veterinary Surgery and Animal Reproduction, FMVZ - UNESP - Botucatu/SP

Profa. Dra. Flávia Karina Delella

Member

Department of Veterinary Surgery and Animal Reproduction, FMVZ - UNESP - Botucatu/SP

Profa. Dra. Sarah Santiloni Cury

Member

Department of Chemical and Biological Sciences, IBB - UNESP - Botucatu/SP

Ph.D. defense date: September 27, 2024.

**DEDICATE** to my parents (Elizabeth and Paulo), my sister (Fabiana) and my partner (Antonio), whose dedication and unconditional encouragement have always been a constant source of support for me.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

To my supervisor, prof. Dr. Carlos Eduardo Fonseca Alves, for his guidance, patience and support throughout my academic journey. His knowledge and advice were fundamental. I am also grateful for all the opportunities that have been offered to me over the years.

To my supervisor prof. Dr. Valeria Grieco, for agreeing to guide me from another country, welcoming me with open arms and for all the guidance she gave me. I would also like to thank you for all your help with my Ph.D. and internship, my time in Italy was unforgettable.

My sincere gratitude goes to my parents, Elizabeth Brigagão de Faria Lainetti and Paulo Ernesto de Oliveira Lainetti, and my sister, Fabiana de Faria Lainetti, for their unconditional love, constant support and encouragement at every step of this journey. Your presence and support were essential for me to achieve this goal.

To my love and patient partner of journey, Antonio Fernando Leis Filho, I express my deep gratitude for all the support you have offered me over these years. Your presence has always brought lightness and joy to my life, and without you, this moment would not have the same meaning.

To prof. Dr. Fabiana Ferreira de Souza, my sincere thanks for always being available and helping me when I needed it most. I am grateful for your patience and the valuable lessons you shared with me.

To prof. Dr. Cristina Lecchi and prof. Dr. Fabrizio Ceciliani, who welcomed me so well and helped me so much during my time abroad.

To Márcio Carvalho, for all his help in the laboratory, always with a lot of good will and patience.

To prof. Dr. Renée Laufer Amorim and prof. Dr. Flávia Karina Delella for all their help and support in carrying out this project.

To friends who, from near or far, were essential to the moments of joy and lightness during this journey.

To the very helpful employees of all the departments I worked in during these 5 years. The work would certainly be much more difficult without you. Thanks for all the help!

To all the people who in some way contributed to this work being carried out.

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001.

To São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) for the scholarship granted and funding for the research carried out, process no. 2019/24001-2.

To the scholarship of my sandwich Ph.D. by CAPES PrInt process nº. 88887.696588/2022-00.

To CNPq for the scholarship granted, process nº. 141223/2020-0.

*“If you have knowledge, let others light their candles in it.”*

Margaret Fuller

## RESUMO

LAINETTI, P.F. **ESTUDO MOLECULAR PARA IDENTIFICAÇÃO DE NOVOS FATORES PREDITIVOS EM CÉLULAS MAMÁRIAS HUMANAS E CANINAS QUIMIORRESISTENTES**. Botucatu – SP. 2024. 114p. Defesa (Doutorado) – Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, Campus Botucatu, Universidade Estadual Paulista.

As afecções mamárias em cadelas ocorrem de maneira muito frequente em animais não castrados e com idade mais avançada. A maioria desses tumores é classificado como maligno e a ocorrência de metástases em linfonodos e órgãos distantes pode chegar a 30%. Os tumores mamários em cadelas compartilham semelhanças com os tumores em mulheres, incluindo sua ocorrência espontânea e maior incidência em indivíduos mais velhos, além de características clínicas e moleculares similares. Genes envolvidos no desenvolvimento do câncer atuam de maneira semelhante em ambas as espécies. Embora a remoção cirúrgica seja o tratamento padrão, a quimioterapia é discutida como opção complementar. No entanto, a resistência ao tratamento é um desafio, podendo estar relacionada a mecanismos genéticos adquiridos ou pré-existentes nos tumores. As mutações genéticas, como a presença de SNPs and CNVs no DNA, podem explicar a falha terapêutica. Este estudo tem como objetivo identificar alterações genéticas associadas à resistência à quimioterapia em células de carcinomas mamários de cadelas, avaliar a presença de SNPs nessas amostras, além de relacionar a expressão dos miR-29b, miR-146b, miR-191 e miR-375 com 36 amostras independentes de tumores mamários em bloco de parafina. Durante a pesquisa, foram estabelecidas culturas celulares de duas amostras, UNESP-CM70 e UNESP-CMR1, que foram aplicadas em camundongos para avaliar sua capacidade tumorigênica. Outras 3 culturas celulares, previamente caracterizadas, também foram utilizadas para os experimentos, as células UNESP-CM1, UNESP-CM4 e UNESP-CM5. A expressão dos genes *MDR1*, *BCRP*, *MRP1* e *MRP3*, relacionados à resistência à quimioterapia, foi avaliada nas células pela técnica de RT-qPCR. O teste de metabolismo celular (MTT) foi realizado com a doxorrubicina para definir a concentração inibitória mínima ( $IC_{50}$ ) antes e depois da indução à resistência

terapêutica com a doxorrubicina. O DNA das células, após o tratamento, foi avaliado pela técnica de SNP array. A avaliação dos miRNAs (miR-29b, miR-146b, miR-191, miR-375) foi realizada por RT-qPCR em 36 amostras, divididas em 3 grupos, grau I, grau II e grau III. Apenas a células UNESP-CM70 foi capaz de induzir o crescimento *in vivo*. A IC<sub>50</sub> foi determinada para cada tipo de célula, no grupo controle e no grupo tratado. As IC<sub>50</sub> foram UNESP-CM1 CTRL (7.8 µM), UNESP-CM1 DOX (0.21 µM), UNESP-CM4 CTRL (12.54 µM), UNESP-CM1 DOX (2.9 µM), UNESP-CM5 CTRL (0.04 µM), UNESP-CM5 DOX (0.57 µM), UNESP-CM70 CTRL (1.67 µM), UNESP-CM70 DOX (6.3 µM), UNESP-CMR1 CTRL (0.5 µM) e UNESP-CMR1 DOX (0.63 µM). Os resultados da RT-qPCR identificaram que célula UNESP-CMR1 apresentou maior expressão dos genes avaliados em comparação com a outras células. Foram encontrados dois genes relacionados às mutações de SNPs, os genes ALK e o RALGAPA2, que estão associados à progressão, invasão tumoral e metástase. Os miR-29b, miR-146b e miR-375 foram encontradas menos expressos no grupo grau III quando comparado com os grupos grau I e grau II. Os miRNAs podem estar relacionados com genes responsáveis por vias de sinalização PI3K-AKT, de adesão focal e na interação com a matriz extra celular.

**Palavras-chave:** cão, carcinoma mamário, SNP, resistência quimioterápica, cultivo celular

## ABSTRACT

LAINETTI, P.F. **NEW PREDICTIVE FACTORS IDENTIFIED BY MOLECULAR STUDY IN CHEMORESISTANT HUMAN AND CANINE MAMMARY CARCINOMA CELLS**. Botucatu – SP. 2024. 114p. Defesa (Doutorado) – Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, Campus Botucatu, Universidade Estadual Paulista.

Mammary disorders in dogs occur very frequently in non-neutered and older animals. The majority of these tumors are classified as malignant and the occurrence of metastases in lymph nodes and distant organs can reach 30% of all cases. Canine mammary tumors (CMTs) share similarities with women's Breast Cancer (BC), including their spontaneous occurrence and higher incidence in older individuals, in addition to similar clinical and molecular characteristics. Although surgical removal is the standard treatment, chemotherapy is discussed as an adjuvant treatment. However, resistance to treatment is a challenge and may be related to acquired or pre-existing genetic mechanisms in tumors. Genetic mutations, such as the presence of SNPs and CNVs in DNA and miRNAs dysregulation can be involved in CMTs and BC resistance. In human BC, several studies have investigated the genetic and epigenic mechanism of chemoresistance. However, little is known about this mechanism in CMTs development and progression. Therefore, this study aimed to identify genetic changes and miRNA dysregulation associated with resistance to chemotherapy in mammary carcinoma cells from dogs and model to human BC. In the first step of this research, five cell lines were used and *MDR1*, *BCRP*, *MRP1*, *MRP2* and *MRP3* gene expression were assessed to evaluate the baseline expression of these multiple drug resistance genes. Then, these cells were subjected to an induced chemoresistance protocol using doxorubicin. The cell DNA was collected prior and after inducing treatment and subjected to a SNP microarray platform. In this step, we established a standard protocol for inducing MCTs cancer cell lines chemoresistance and identified several SNPs related to chemoresistance *in vitro*. Only UNESP-CM70 cells were able to induce growth *in vivo*. The  $IC_{50}$  was determined for each cell type, in the control group and in the treated group. The  $IC_{50}$ s were UNESP-CM1 (CTRL = 7.8  $\mu$ M; DOX = 0.21  $\mu$ M),

UNESP-CM4 (CTRL = 12.54  $\mu$ M; DOX = 2.9  $\mu$ M), UNESP-CM5 (CTRL = 0.04  $\mu$ M; DOX = 0.57  $\mu$ M), UNESP-CM70 (CTRL = 1.67  $\mu$ M; DOX = 6.3  $\mu$ M), UNESP-CMR1 (CTRL = 0.5  $\mu$ M; DOX = 0.63  $\mu$ M). Two genes related to the SNP mutations were found, *ALK* and *RALGAPA2*, which are associated with tumor progression, invasion, and metastasis. Then, we evaluated miRNAs (miR-29b, miR-146b, miR-191, miR-375) RT-qPCR in 36 CMTs tissue samples. The miR-29b, miR-146b and miR-375 were found to be downregulated in group grade III when compared to the others groups. These miRNAs may be related to genes responsible for PI3K-AKT signaling pathways, focal adhesion and interaction with the extra-cellular matrix. It was possible to conclude that SNP mutations in *ALK* and *RALGAPA2* genes were identified during CMT chemoresistance induction and miRNA downregulation was associated with high grade CMTs.

**Keywords:** dog, mammary carcinoma, SNP, chemotherapy resistance, cell culture

## LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

### Chapter 1

<b>Figure 1.</b> Representation of tumor response and cellular behavior.....	19
<b>Table 1.</b> TNM system classifications (Adapted from Owen 1980 and CASSALI et al., 2020).....	8
<b>Table 2.</b> Stages of the TNM system (Adapted from OWEN 1980; CASSALI et al., 2020).....	8

### Chapter 2

<b>Figure 1.</b> Experimental design of performed experiments.....	45
<b>Figure 2.</b> Nude mouse (BALB/c nude, C.Cg-Foxn1nu lineage) with tumor in the left flank region, after 2 months of inoculation with the UNESP-CM70 cell.....	54
<b>Figure 3.</b> RT-qPCR results of the MRP1 (A), <i>MDR1</i> (B), <i>BCRP</i> (C) and <i>MRP3</i> (D) genes from UNESP-CMR1, UNESP-CM70 and UNESP-CM4 cells.....	56
<b>Figure 4.</b> Minimum inhibitory concentration (IC <sub>50</sub> ) at doses of 0.1; 1; 5; 10; 15 and 20 µM/well in different cells cultured in vitro.....	58
<b>Figure 5.</b> Cell viability after exposure to doses of 0.1; 1; 5; 10; 15 and 20 µM/well of doxorubicin in the different cells cultured in vitro in the control group.....	59
<b>Figure 6.</b> Cell viability after exposure to doses of 0.1; 1; 5; 10; 15 and 20 µM/well of doxorubicin in the different cells cultured in vitro in the group that was induced chemoresistance with doxorubicin (DOX).....	60
<b>Figure 7.</b> Result shown in the PCA graph, it is not possible to observe a clustering of the treated groups and the control group.....	61
<b>Figure 8.</b> PCA analysis of different cell types in groups with the respective treated cells.....	62
<b>Table 1.</b> Oligonucleotide sequence of the genes used for RT-qPCR.....	47
<b>Table 2.</b> Clinical data of samples used for cell culture (CM: mammary carcinoma).....	53
<b>Table 3.</b> Summary of gene expression and doxorubicin IC <sub>50</sub> dose.....	50

<b>Table 4.</b> Clinical data of samples used for cell culture (CM: mammary carcinoma).....	62
---	----

### Chapter 3

<b>Figure 1.</b> Expression of miRNAs in canine mammary gland tumors.....	92
<b>Figure 2.</b> Number of genes found in the miRWalk database.....	93
<b>Figure 3.</b> Venn diagram showing the number of genes that are potential targets of differentially expressed miRNAs in Grade 1, Grade 2 and Grade 3 groups.....	94
<b>Figure 4.</b> Gene ontology of the biological process (A), cellular component (B) and molecular function (C) of the predicted target genes by miRNAs, evaluated by the DAVID software.....	95
<b>Figure 5.</b> Analysis performed on the ShinyGO database to evaluate the pathways most affected by mRNAs that are potential targets of the evaluated miRNAs.....	96
<b>Figure 6.</b> Altered ECM-receptor interaction pathway evaluation in the KEGG platform. Proteins in red are altered and are related to the evaluated target genes.....	96
<b>Figure 7.</b> STRING Protein-protein-interaction (PPI) network of predicted mRNA target.....	97
<b>Table 1.</b> Patients information regarding breed, age, tumor localization, histopathological diagnosis and grading.....	83
<b>Table 2.</b> Sequence of selected miRNAs and the gene to which they relate.....	87
<b>Table 3.</b> miRNA importance when up or down expressed.....	90

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS, ACRONYMS and SYMBOLS**

**ABC:** *ATP-binding cassette*

**BCRP:** Breast cancer resistant protein

**BCRP:** Gene encoding breast cancer resistance protein

**cDNA:** Complementary DNA

**CNV:** *Copy number variation*

**DMEM:** *Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium*

**DMSO:** Dimethylsulfoxide or Dimethyl Sulfoxide

**DNA:** Deoxyribonucleic acid

**ER:** Estrogen receptor

**HER2:** Epidermal growth factor receptor 2

**MDR1:** P-glycoprotein

**MDR1:** Gene encoding P-glycoprotein

**MRP1:** Multidrug resistance protein 1

**MRP1:** Gene encoding multidrug resistance protein 1

**MRP2:** Multidrug resistance protein 2

**MRP2:** Gene encoding multidrug resistance protein 2

**MRP3:** Multidrug resistance protein 3

**MRP3:** Gene encoding multidrug resistance protein 3

**MTT:** *3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide* ou ensaio de avaliação da atividade metabólica celular

**PARP:** *Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase*

**PBS:** *Phosphate-buffered saline*

**PCR:** *Polymerase Chain Reaction*

**PET:** Positron emission tomography

**PR:** Progesterone receptor

**RNA:** Ribonucleic Acid

**RT-qPCR:** *Real Time quantitative PCR*

**FBS:** Fetal Bovine Serum

**SNP:** *Small nucleotide polymorphism*

**SYMBOLS**

°C: Degrees Celsius

h: Time

%: Percent

μM: Micromolar ( $10^{-6}$  mol/L)

μL: Microliter

min: Minutes

mL: Milliliter

L: Liter

s: Second

nmol: Nanomole

## SUMMARY

<b>CHAPTER 1</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION AND JUSTIFICATIVE</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	<b>4</b>
2.1. Mammary gland neoplasms.....	4
2.2. Histopathological classification and grading of mammary tumors .....	5
2.3. Clinical signs and diagnosis.....	5
2.4. Metastases .....	7
2.5. Staging and prognostic factors .....	7
2.6. Treatment .....	9
2.7. Experimental model .....	11
2.8. Therapeutic resistance .....	12
2.9. Doxorubicin.....	14
2.10. SNPs/CNVs and chemotherapy resistance .....	16
2.11. <i>In vitro</i> chemoresistance.....	18
2.12. miRNA .....	19
<b>3. CONSIDERATIONS</b> .....	<b>20</b>
<b>4. HYPOTHESIS AND OBJECTIVES</b> .....	<b>21</b>
4.1. Hypothesis .....	21
4.2. General aim .....	21
4.3. Specific aims.....	22
<b>5. REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>23</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2</b> .....	<b>32</b>
<b>Manuscript I*</b> .....	<b>40</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>41</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b> .....	<b>42</b>
<b>2. Methods</b> .....	<b>43</b>
2.1. <i>Reagents</i> .....	43
2.2. <i>Ethical aspects</i> .....	43
2.3. <i>Experimental design</i> .....	44
2.4. <i>Acquisition of mammary carcinoma samples</i> .....	45
2.5. <i>Cell culture</i> .....	45
2.6. <i>Cell certification</i> .....	45
2.7. <i>Assessment of tumorigenicity</i> .....	45

2.8. RT-qPCR .....	46
2.9. Doxorubicin treatment and evaluation of cellular metabolic activity .....	48
2.10. Chemoresistance induction .....	49
2.11. DNA Extraction and SNP/CNV Analysis – Genotyping Array .....	49
2.12. Identification of SNPs/CNVs .....	50
2.13. Statistical analysis .....	50
<b>3. RESULTS .....</b>	<b>51</b>
3.1. Cell culture .....	51
3.2. Tumorigenicity assessment .....	54
3.3. RT-qPCR .....	54
3.4. Doxorubicin treatment and evaluation of cellular metabolic activity .....	57
3.5. DNA Extraction and SNP/CNV Analysis – Genotyping Array and identification of SNPs/CNVs .....	59
<b>4. DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>5. CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>CHAPTER 3 .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Manuscript II* .....</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>Abstract .....</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>2. Methodology .....</b>	<b>82</b>
2.1. Samples selection .....	82
2.2. miRNA extraction from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples .....	86
2.3. Reverse transcription and real time quantitative-PCR (qPCR) .....	86
<b>3. Statistical analysis .....</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>4. Results .....</b>	<b>89</b>
4.1. Patients survey and tumor histology .....	89
4.2. miRNAs expression .....	89
4.3. Forecasting miRNA targets and analyzing pathway enrichment .....	93
<b>5. Discussion .....</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>6. Conclusion .....</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>CHAPTER 4 .....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>1. FINAL CONSIDERATIONS .....</b>	<b>109</b>

<b>2. ACTIVITIES DURING Ph.D.</b> .....	<b>110</b>
2.1. <i>Courses</i> .....	110
2.2. <i>Transferable skills</i> .....	110
2.3. <i>Published papers during Ph.D.</i> .....	112

1

# CHAPTER 1

## 1 1. INTRODUCTION

2 Mammary neoplasms represent approximately 50% of tumors identified  
3 in female dogs, the majority of which are malignant (SORENMO et al., 2003;  
4 SLEECKX et al., 2011). Tumors in female dogs have similarities to tumors in  
5 women, including their spontaneous occurrence and increased incidence with  
6 age (SALAS et al., 2015). Furthermore, features such as disease course, clinical  
7 stages, lymph node invasion, and important molecular markers in carcinogenesis  
8 are similar in both species (PEÑA et al., 2014; ABDELMEGEED; MOHAMMED,  
9 2018; NGUYEN et al., 2018).

10 Genes involved in cancer development act similarly in human and canine  
11 mammary neoplasms (SHEARIN et al., 2010; KASZAK et al., 2018). In addition,  
12 both species are exposed to similar carcinogens due to their shared environment  
13 which results in similar risk factors and molecular alterations. Several types of  
14 neoplasia are also found in both humans and dogs including lymphoma, lung  
15 carcinoma, and osteosarcoma (YU; CHEAH, 2017).

16 Chemotherapy as an adjuvant treatment for mammary tumors in dogs is  
17 still a subject of debate since surgical removal of the tumors is considered the  
18 standard treatment (CASSALI et al., 2020; HORNFELDT et al., 2023). Although  
19 there are different chemotherapy protocols for dogs with mammary tumors, there  
20 is no standard protocol with proven efficacy in tumor remission, adjuvant therapy,  
21 and increased survival, making the use of chemotherapy for these tumors up for  
22 debate (TRAN, MOORE, FRIMBERGER, 2016; TURNA et al., 2023).

23 Lack of response to treatment can occur due to several factors including  
24 acquired or pre-existing mechanisms. Acquired mechanisms are usually a result  
25 of exposure to chemotherapy drugs, whereas pre-existing mechanisms refer to  
26 tumor characteristics that can be altered by the tumor microenvironment (LOVITT  
27 et al., 2018; BUKOWSKI et al., 2020). In women, approximately 15% of patients  
28 with breast cancer develop metastases within three years after diagnosis of the  
29 primary tumor, and metastases are the leading cause of death in these cases  
30 (WEIGELT et al., 2005). Although there is an initial response to chemotherapy in  
31 women and dogs some mammary tumors develop resistance to the most  
32 commonly used drugs highlighting the need for new therapeutic options (KLEIN  
33 et al., 2019).

34 Understanding the mechanisms of resistance to chemotherapy is crucial

1 to determine when and how to apply antineoplastic therapy. However, knowledge  
2 on this topic in dogs is limited. Chemotherapy is recommended for animals with  
3 more aggressive tumors, metastases or tumor recurrences, but its efficacy is still  
4 uncertain since many patients have recurrences after treatment (SIMON et al.,  
5 2006; LEVI et al., 2016).

6 Morphological, behavioral, and clinical similarities between mammary  
7 tumors in dogs and women in addition to the high genomic homology between  
8 the species make these tumors a good model for the study of mammary  
9 carcinoma in women. Furthermore, with the discovery of new drugs, it is possible  
10 to initially test them in dogs which serve as preclinical models for women.  
11 Therefore, both groups benefit from comparative studies. Women also face  
12 challenges related to chemotherapy resistance and adjuvant therapies currently  
13 target molecular targets. However, even with a focused therapy for these targets  
14 some tumors still develop resistance and become metastatic. For that reason, the  
15 discovery of new molecular targets is important to provide more treatment options  
16 (HERNANDEZ; GONZALEZ, 2013; GU et al., 2016).

17 On that account, this study aimed to identify and validate genetic  
18 alterations associated with resistance to chemotherapy in mammary carcinoma  
19 cells from dogs. After validating these alterations, we will investigate the potential  
20 of different therapeutic targets in cultures of mammary carcinoma from dogs, to  
21 identify drugs that can be associated with the treatment of chemotherapy-  
22 resistant tumors. It is worth mentioning that there is a lack of information on  
23 chemotherapy resistance in canine mammary tumors except for an article  
24 published by Zambrano-Estrada et al. (2018) which relates the use of doxorubicin  
25 in combination with molecular iodine (I<sub>2</sub>) to increased survival in dogs with  
26 mammary tumors. In our searches in national and international databases, we  
27 found no other articles that describe comparative data on chemotherapy  
28 resistance between human and canine mammary tumors.

29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank for the support of the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES), Brazil (financing code 001), São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) and CAPES PrInt.

**Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

**Author Contributions**

Conceptualization (C.L.; P.F.L.; V.G.), data curation (P.F.L.; A.F.L.F.), formal analysis (P.F.L.; A.F.L.F.; C.L.), funding acquisition (C.L.; F.C.; V.G.), investigation (P.F.L.; A.F.L.F.; C.Z.; E.S.), methodology (C.L.), project administration (P.F.L.), supervision (V.G.; C.L.; C.E.F.A.), writing – original draft (P.F.L.), writing – review & editing (V.G.; C.L.; F.C.; C.E.F.A.; A.F.L.F.; C.Z.).

**Funding**

FAPESP (n° 2019/24001-2), CNPq (n° 141223/2020-0) and CAPES PrInt (n° 88887.696588/2022-00).

## References

- Bao, Y., Wang, L., Shi, L., Yun, F., Liu, X., Chen, Y., Chen, C., Ren, Y., & Jia, Y. (2019). Transcriptome profiling revealed multiple genes and ECM-receptor interaction pathways that may be associated with breast cancer. *Cellular & molecular biology letters*, 24, 38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11658-019-0162-0>
- Bartel D. P. (2004). MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. *Cell*, 116(2), 281–297. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674\(04\)00045-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(04)00045-5)
- Bracken, C. P., Scott, H. S., & Goodall, G. J. (2016). A network-biology perspective of microRNA function and dysfunction in cancer. *Nature reviews. Genetics*, 17(12), 719–732. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2016.134>
- Cassali, G. D., Damasceno, K. A., Bertagnolli, A. C., Estrela-Lima, A., Lavalle, G. E., Santis, G. D., ... & Nakagaki, K. Y. (2017). Consensus regarding the diagnosis, prognosis and treatment of canine mammary tumors: benign mixed tumors, carcinomas in mixed tumors and carcinosarcomas.
- Di Leva, G., & Croce, C. M. (2013). miRNA profiling of cancer. *Current opinion in genetics & development*, 23(1), 3–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2013.01.004>
- Du, S., Qu, H., Zhang, Y., Zhu, S., Wang, Y., Zhang, S., Wang, Z., Yang, Q., Fu, S., & Dong, K. (2023). MiR-375 promotes cisplatin sensitivity of lung adenocarcinoma. *Pathology, research and practice*, 249, 154765. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prp.2023.154765>
- Esquela-Kerscher, A., & Slack, F. J. (2006). Oncomirs - microRNAs with a role in cancer. *Nature reviews. Cancer*, 6(4), 259–269. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1840>
- Fu, H., Fu, L., Xie, C., Zuo, W. S., Liu, Y. S., Zheng, M. Z., & Yu, J. M. (2017). miR-375 inhibits cancer stem cell phenotype and tamoxifen resistance by degrading HOXB3 in human ER-positive breast cancer. *Oncology reports*, 37(2), 1093–1099. <https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2017.5360>

Ganju, A., Khan, S., Hafeez, B. B., Behrman, S. W., Yallapu, M. M., Chauhan, S. C., & Jaggi, M. (2017). miRNA nanotherapeutics for cancer. *Drug discovery today*, 22(2), 424–432. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2016.10.014>

Fish, E. J., Martinez-Romero, E. G., DeInnocentes, P., Koehler, J. W., Prasad, N., Smith, A. N., & Bird, R. C. (2020). Circulating microRNA as biomarkers of canine mammary carcinoma in dogs. *Journal of veterinary internal medicine*, 34(3), 1282–1290. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvim.15764>

Goldschmidt, M., Peña, L., Rasotto, R., & Zappulli, V. (2011). Classification and grading of canine mammary tumors. *Veterinary pathology*, 48(1), 117–131. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0300985810393258>

Grassilli, S., Bertagnolo, V., & Brugnoli, F. (2022). Mir-29b in Breast Cancer: A Promising Target for Therapeutic Approaches. *Diagnostics (Basel, Switzerland)*, 12(9), 2139. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12092139>

Jain, M., Ingole, S. D., Deshmukh, R. S., Bharucha, S. V., Nagvekar, A. S., Gaikwad, R. V., & Kharde, S. D. (2021). CEA, CA 15-3, and miRNA expression as potential biomarkers in canine mammary tumors. *Chromosome research : an international journal on the molecular, supramolecular and evolutionary aspects of chromosome biology*, 29(2), 175–188. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-021-09652-7>

Levi, M., Brunetti, B., Sarli, G., & Benazzi, C. (2016). Immunohistochemical Expression of P-glycoprotein and Breast Cancer Resistance Protein in Canine Mammary Hyperplasia, Neoplasia and Supporting Stroma. *Journal of comparative pathology*, 155(4), 277–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcpa.2016.07.008>

Lippert, T. H., Ruoff, H. J., & Volm, M. (2008). Intrinsic and acquired drug resistance in malignant tumors. The main reason for therapeutic failure. *Arzneimittel-Forschung*, 58(6), 261–264. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0031-1296504>

Liu, H., & Cheng, X. H. (2018). MiR-29b reverses oxaliplatin-resistance in colorectal cancer by targeting SIRT1. *Oncotarget*, 9(15), 12304–12315. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.24380>

Liu, Y. N., Tsai, M. F., Wu, S. G., Chang, T. H., Tsai, T. H., Gow, C. H., Wang, H. Y., & Shih, J. Y. (2020). miR-146b-5p Enhances the Sensitivity of NSCLC to EGFR Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors by Regulating the IRAK1/NF- $\kappa$ B Pathway. *Molecular therapy. Nucleic acids*, 22, 471–483. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2020.09.015>

Martell, D. J., Merens, H. E., Caulier, A., Fiorini, C., Ulirsch, J. C., Ietswaart, R., Choquet, K., Graziadei, G., Brancaloni, V., Cappellini, M. D., Scott, C., Roberts, N., Proven, M., Roy, N. B. A., Babbs, C., Higgs, D. R., Sankaran, V. G., & Churchman, L. S. (2023). RNA polymerase II pausing temporally coordinates cell cycle progression and erythroid differentiation. *Developmental cell*, 58(20), 2112–2127.e4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2023.07.018>

Najafi, M., Farhood, B., & Mortezaee, K. (2019). Extracellular matrix (ECM) stiffness and degradation as cancer drivers. *Journal of cellular biochemistry*, 120(3), 2782–2790. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.27681>

Noe Gonzalez, M., Blears, D., & Svejstrup, J. Q. (2021). Causes and consequences of RNA polymerase II stalling during transcript elongation. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology*, 22(1), 3–21. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-00308-8>

Pan, D., Du, Y., Li, R., Shen, A., Liu, X., Li, C., & Hu, B. (2021). miR-29b-3p Increases Radiosensitivity in Stemness Cancer Cells via Modulating Oncogenes Axis. *Frontiers in cell and developmental biology*, 9, 741074. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.741074>

Pan, L., Liu, W., Zhao, H., Chen, B., & Yue, X. (2023). MiR-191-5p inhibits KLF6 to promote epithelial-mesenchymal transition in breast cancer. *Technology and*

*health care : official journal of the European Society for Engineering and Medicine*, 31(6), 2251–2265. <https://doi.org/10.3233/THC-230217>

Peña, L., De Andrés, P. J., Clemente, M., Cuesta, P., & Pérez-Alenza, M. D. (2013). Prognostic value of histological grading in noninflammatory canine mammary carcinomas in a prospective study with two-year follow-up: relationship with clinical and histological characteristics. *Veterinary pathology*, 50(1), 94–105. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0300985812447830>

Ray, J., Haughey, C., Hoey, C., Jeon, J., Murphy, R., Dura-Perez, L., McCabe, N., Downes, M., Jain, S., Boutros, P. C., Mills, I. G., & Liu, S. K. (2020). miR-191 promotes radiation resistance of prostate cancer through interaction with RXRA. *Cancer letters*, 473, 107–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2019.12.025>

Reddy K. B. (2015). MicroRNA (miRNA) in cancer. *Cancer cell international*, 15, 38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-015-0185-1>

Ren, X., Fan, Y., Shi, D., Xu, E., & Liu, Y. (2022). MicroRNA-124 inhibits canine mammary carcinoma cell proliferation, migration and invasion by targeting CDH2. *Research in veterinary science*, 146, 5–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2022.03.004>

Sleeckx, N., de Rooster, H., Veldhuis Kroeze, E. J., Van Ginneken, C., & Van Brantegem, L. (2011). Canine mammary tumours, an overview. *Reproduction in domestic animals = Zuchthygiene*, 46(6), 1112–1131. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0531.2011.01816.x>

Sharma, S., Nagpal, N., Ghosh, P. C., & Kulshreshtha, R. (2017). P53-miR-191-SOX4 regulatory loop affects apoptosis in breast cancer. *RNA (New York, N.Y.)*, 23(8), 1237–1246. <https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.060657.117>

Sorenmo K. (2003). Canine mammary gland tumors. *The Veterinary clinics of North America. Small animal practice*, 33(3), 573–596. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0195-5616\(03\)00020-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0195-5616(03)00020-2)

Sorenmo, K. U., Kristiansen, V. M., Cofone, M. A., Shofer, F. S., Breen, A. M., Langeland, M., Mongil, C. M., Grondahl, A. M., Teige, J., & Goldschmidt, M. H. (2009). Canine mammary gland tumours; a histological continuum from benign to malignant; clinical and histopathological evidence. *Veterinary and comparative oncology*, 7(3), 162–172. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5829.2009.00184.x>

Tian, X., & Zhang, Z. (2018). miR-191/DAB2 axis regulates the tumorigenicity of estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. *IUBMB life*, 70(1), 71–80. <https://doi.org/10.1002/iub.1705>

Tran, C. M., Moore, A. S., & Frimberger, A. E. (2016). Surgical treatment of mammary carcinomas in dogs with or without postoperative chemotherapy. *Veterinary and comparative oncology*, 14(3), 252–262. <https://doi.org/10.1111/vco.12092>

Vaidya, F. U., Sufiyan Chhipa, A., Mishra, V., Gupta, V. K., Rawat, S. G., Kumar, A., & Pathak, C. (2022). Molecular and cellular paradigms of multidrug resistance in cancer. *Cancer reports (Hoboken, N.J.)*, 5(12), e1291. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cnr2.1291>

Wang Y, Zhang X, Li H, Yu J, Ren X (2013) The role of miRNA-29 family in cancer. *Eur J Cell Biol* 92(3):123–128.

Wang, Y., Wang, Y., Qin, Z., Cai, S., Yu, L., Hu, H., & Zeng, S. (2021). The role of non-coding RNAs in ABC transporters regulation and their clinical implications of multidrug resistance in cancer. *Expert opinion on drug metabolism & toxicology*, 17(3), 291–306. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17425255.2021.1887139>

Wei, J., Lu, Y., Wang, R., Xu, X., Liu, Q., He, S., Pan, H., Liu, X., Yuan, B., Ding, Y., & Zhang, J. (2021). MicroRNA-375: potential cancer suppressor and

therapeutic drug. *Bioscience reports*, 41(9), BSR20211494.  
<https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20211494>

Xu, X., Zhou, X., Zhang, J., Li, H., Cao, Y., Tan, X., Zhu, X., & Yang, J. (2020). MicroRNA-191 modulates cisplatin-induced DNA damage response by targeting RCC2. *FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology*, 34(10), 13573–13585.  
<https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.202000945R>

Xue, H., Yu, Z., Liu, Y., Yuan, W., Yang, T., You, J., He, X., Lee, R. J., Li, L., & Xu, C. (2017). Delivery of miR-375 and doxorubicin hydrochloride by lipid-coated hollow mesoporous silica nanoparticles to overcome multiple drug resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma. *International journal of nanomedicine*, 12, 5271–5287.  
<https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S135306>

Yang, N. Y., Zheng, H. H., Yu, C., Ye, Y., Du, C. T., & Xie, G. H. (2023). Research progress of good markers for canine mammary carcinoma. *Molecular biology reports*, 50(12), 10617–10625. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-023-08863-x>

Ye, X. M., Zhu, H. Y., Bai, W. D., Wang, T., Wang, L., Chen, Y., Yang, A. G., & Jia, L. T. (2014). Epigenetic silencing of miR-375 induces trastuzumab resistance in HER2-positive breast cancer by targeting IGF1R. *BMC cancer*, 14, 134.  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-14-134>

Yuan, Z., Li, Y., Zhang, S., Wang, X., Dou, H., Yu, X., Zhang, Z., Yang, S., & Xiao, M. (2023). Extracellular matrix remodeling in tumor progression and immune escape: from mechanisms to treatments. *Molecular cancer*, 22(1), 48.  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-023-01744-8>

Zhang, X. F., Li, K. K., Gao, L., Li, S. Z., Chen, K., Zhang, J. B., Wang, D., Tu, R. F., Zhang, J. X., Tao, K. X., Wang, G., & Zhang, X. D. (2015). miR-191 promotes tumorigenesis of human colorectal cancer through targeting C/EBP $\beta$ . *Oncotarget*, 6(6), 4144–4158. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.2864>

Zhang, T., Feng, X., Zhou, T., Zhou, N., Shi, X., Zhu, X., Qiu, J., Deng, G., & Qiu, C. (2021). miR-497 induces apoptosis by the IRAK2/NF- $\kappa$ B axis in the canine mammary tumour. *Veterinary and comparative oncology*, 19(1), 69–78. <https://doi.org/10.1111/vco.12626>

Zheng H. C. (2017). The molecular mechanisms of chemoresistance in cancers. *Oncotarget*, 8(35), 59950–59964. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.19048>