

RESSALVA

Atendendo solicitação da autora,
o texto completo desta dissertação
será disponibilizado somente a partir
de 29/02/2024.

LESLIE LISSETHE MORALES ESPINOZA

**Ecotoxicological aspects of microplastic PET (Polyethylene
Terephthalate) in freshwater using biochemical biomarkers from
*Danio rerio***

Sorocaba
2023

PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO em

**ciências
ambientais**



unesp
Sorocaba

LESLIE LISSETHE MORALES ESPINOZA

**Ecotoxicological aspects of microplastic PET (Polyethylene
Terephthalate) in freshwater using biochemical biomarkers from
*Danio rerio***

Dissertação de mestrado apresentada
como requisito para a obtenção do título de
Mestre em Ciências Ambientais da
Universidade Estadual Paulista "Júlio de
Mesquita Filho" na Área de Concentração
Diagnóstico, Tratamento e Recuperação
Ambiental

Orientadora: Prof. Dra. Renata Fracácio
Francisco

Coorientadora: Prof. Dra. Cleoni dos
Santos Carvalho

Sorocaba

2023

PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO em

ciências
ambientais



unesp
Sorocaba

E77e

Espinoza, Leslie Lissethe Morales

Ecotoxicological aspects of microplastic PET (polyethylene thereftalate) in freshwater using biochemical biomarkers from Danio rerio” / Leslie Lissethe Morales Espinoza. -- , 2023

102 p. : il., tabs., fotos, mapas

Dissertação (mestrado) - Universidade Estadual Paulista (Unesp), Instituto de Ciência e Tecnologia, Sorocaba,

Orientadora: Renata Fracácio Francisco

Coorientadora: Cleoni dos Santos Carvalho

1. Ecotoxicologia. 2. Polimeros. 3. Peixe-zebra. I. Título.

Sistema de geração automática de fichas catalográficas da Unesp. Biblioteca do Instituto de Ciência e Tecnologia, Sorocaba. Dados fornecidos pelo autor(a).

Essa ficha não pode ser modificada.

Acknowledgments

Life is a sort of success and failure that forge our character and personality. Among the multitude of decisions, projects, and situations that unfold, we encounter countless individuals and moments deserving of our gratitude, since, without them, we would not reach the place where we are now, and this is not the exception for this project, so I must start by thanking:

The unconditional support of my loving parents, Lino and Lusmila. They not only granted me the incredible privilege of life but also stood by my side, believing in me relentlessly despite the physical distance that kept us apart during the development of this master's journey. To my dear brother Gustavo, my best friend and the pillar of my life for so many years, your presence is a precious gift that life has bestowed upon me. To my dear uncle Helmo, who not only provided me with a place to call home but also became a trustworthy anchor when I stepped foot into an unfamiliar land. Thank you so much for putting that seed of inspiration in me since I was a kid.

To my other family, my friends. I couldn't be luckier to have all of you in my life. Diego Chavez, your friendship, warmth, fondness, and unconditional support is invaluable to me. Estefania, your belief in me has propelled me forward during pivotal moments on this path. María Fernanda, your ability to approach life's challenges with humor has been an inspiring lesson that encouraged me to face difficulties with a lighter heart. Gaby, Nico, Flor, Ivan and David, you were responsible for making me laugh to tears unnumerable times. And in general, to all of my friends who brace me from the distance and whom I had the amazing opportunity to meet here. The moments we've shared here hold a special place in my heart and have enriched this incredible adventure.

A heartfelt thank you extends to Prof. Dr. Renata Fracácio Francisco. Initially, for entrusting and accepting me as her student, and subsequently, for the great support she gave me during my stay here and throughout the master's degree process. Your generosity in sharing your wealth of knowledge, your profound insights into fundamental research matters, and your unwavering support have left an indelible impact on me. Your mentorship transcends academic boundaries, resembling the nurturing care of a second mother. Being part of your team for two and a half years was an honor.

I also thank Prof. Dr. Cleoni dos Santos Carvalho, for her important, priceless, fundamental help and support during the development of this research. Thank you for receiving me in your laboratory to finish this investigation. Your constant guidance on all

matters related to biochemistry has illuminated my path and bolstered my understanding of this complex field.

My heartiest thanks to the ecotoxicology laboratory team—Marina and Pedro—for your unwavering support, which fostered our resilience and unity through thick and thin. Your camaraderie has been unmatched. I couldn't have had better colleagues than you.

A respectful acknowledgment to UNESP's Postgraduate Program in Environmental Sciences and UFSCar University for their unwavering support. I am equally grateful to the laboratory technicians at UNESP and the UFSCar campus—Suzan, Sandra, Rafael, and Heidi—for their patient instruction in laboratory analyses.

My sincere appreciation to all those who have played a role in the development of this project. Firstly, To Dr. Renan Castelhana Gevara, who contributed enormously with his knowledge in the first chapter. To Dr. Paulo Donatto Frighetto for producing and analyzing the core component of this project, the microplastics. In this way, my gratitude to Diego Racero and other technicians from UFSCar and UNSA universities for the analysis of the microplastics. To Dr. Mercival for his unwavering willingness to help me throughout the development of this project. Also, thanks to Dr. Evelise, for her generosity in allowing me to visit her laboratory and carry out part of the research there, as well as giving me support at all times. To Claudinei, a laboratory technician with the patience and kindness to pass on all his expertise to me.

I am also thankful to Carlos, Lilian, Pedro, and the UNESP staff for their invaluable assistance whenever I needed it.

My heartfelt appreciation goes out to the qualification and defense juries for their invaluable insights.

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001.

“What we know is a drop, what we don’t know is an ocean.”

— Isaac Newton

Resumo

Os microplásticos (MPs) representam contaminantes emergentes que têm atraído extensa atenção da investigação devido à sua prevalência tanto em ambientes naturais como urbanos. Entre estes ambientes, os ecossistemas de água doce foram significativamente impactados. No entanto, atualmente faltam níveis de concentração seguros estabelecidos para MPs. Assim, através de uma revisão bibliográfica da abundância e distribuição de MPs nestes ecossistemas, bem como da sua relação com os estudos ecotoxicológicos mais recentes, foi possível fazer uma análise de risco e propor níveis seguros de MPs para ambientes de água doce (PNEC: 0,0027 mg L⁻¹ ou 2,61 partículas L⁻¹). Isto foi estimado para 7 tipos de MPs (PE, PS, PVC, PA6 e PES, PET e copolímero EEA) que foram baseados na revisão ecotoxicológica da pesquisa. Posteriormente, reconhecendo lacunas na literatura relativas a tipos específicos de MP, o presente estudo concentrou-se na investigação da ecotoxicidade de MPs de tereftalato de polietileno (PET-MPs). Com base nessas informações, foram realizados dois experimentos crônicos de 21 dias com *Danio rerio* para estudar sua ecotoxicidade isolada e misturada com cádmio (Cd). O primeiro teste consiste na comparação dos diferentes tamanhos do PET-MP isolado (300 - 450 µm; 53 - 75 µm); e o segundo da sua mistura (53 - 75 µm) com Cd. Concentrações ambientalmente relevantes de MPs (983 partículas. L⁻¹ e 330 partículas. L⁻¹) e Cd (10 µg L⁻¹) foram utilizadas durante os testes de laboratório. Análises bioquímicas foram realizadas para observar a toxicidade em termos de estresse oxidativo (proteínas carboniladas), metabolismo (glicose e triglicerídeos) e atividade enzimática (malato desidrogenase e lactato desidrogenase). Especificamente, no caso do experimento de diferentes tamanhos e concentrações de PET-MP, os níveis de glicose, CP, MDH e LDH exibiram que partículas maiores têm efeitos mais pronunciados em comparação com as menores, e concentrações mais altas produziram similarmente maior impacto. No segundo experimento envolvendo a combinação de PET-MPs e Cd, foi observada toxicidade aumentada em termos de níveis de estresse oxidativo e atividade enzimática como resultado das análises de CP, LDH e MDH.

Palavras-chave: Microplásticos, Água doce, Ecotoxicidade, Análise de risco, Análise bioquímica.

Abstract

Microplastics (MPs) represent emerging contaminants that have garnered extensive research attention due to their prevalence in both natural and urban settings. Among these environments, freshwater ecosystems have been significantly impacted. However, established safe concentration levels for MPs are currently lacking. Thus, by a bibliographical review of the abundance and distribution of MPs in these ecosystems, as well as their relationship with the most recent ecotoxicological studies, it was possible to make a risk analysis and to propose safe MPs levels for freshwater environments (PNEC: 0.0027 mg L^{-1} or $2.61 \text{ particles L}^{-1}$). This was estimated for 7 types of MPs (PE, PS, PVC, PA6, and PES, PET, and EEA copolymer) that were founded on the ecotoxicology review of the survey. Subsequently, recognizing gaps in the literature pertaining to specific MP types, the present study focused on investigating the ecotoxicity of Polyethylene terephthalate MPs (PET-MPs). Based on this information, two 21-day chronic experiments were carried out with *Danio rerio* to study its ecotoxicity isolated and mixed with cadmium (Cd). The first test consists of the comparison of the different sizes of isolated PET-MP (300 - 450 μm ; 53 - 75 μm); and the second of its mixture (53 - 75 μm) with Cd. Environmentally relevant concentrations of MP ($983 \text{ particles L}^{-1}$ and $330 \text{ particles L}^{-1}$) and Cd (10 ug L^{-1}) were used during laboratory tests. Biochemical analyzes were performed to observe toxicity in terms of oxidative stress (carbonylated proteins), metabolism (glucose and triglycerides), and enzymatic activity (malate dehydrogenase and lactate dehydrogenase). Specifically, in the case of the experiment of different sizes and concentrations of PET-MP, Glucose, CP, MDH, and LDH levels exhibited that larger particles have more pronounced effects compared to smaller ones, and higher concentrations similarly yielded greater impact. In the second experiment involving the combination of PET-MPs and Cd, heightened toxicity was observed in terms of oxidative stress levels and enzymatic activity as a result of CP, LDH, and MDH analyses.

Keywords: Microplastics, Freshwater, Ecotoxicity, Risk analysis, Biochemical analysis.

List of Figures

Chapter 1

FIGURE 1. (A) NUMBER OF PUBLICATIONS OF MICROPLASTICS STUDIES IN FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEMS, CONSIDERING JUST WATER SAMPLES, AND (B) NUMBER OF PUBLICATIONS PER ZONE.....	13
FIGURE 2. WORLDWIDE DISTRIBUTION OF PEAK CONCENTRATIONS OF MICROPLASTICS FOUND IN FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEMS	15
FIGURE 3. PERCENTAGE OF TYPES (A) AND FORMATS (B) OF MICROPLASTICS IN FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEMS WORLDWIDE.	20
FIGURE 4. PERCENTAGE OF GROUPS OF ORGANISMS USED IN ECOTOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES OF MICROPLASTICS.....	22
FIGURE 5. TYPES OF MICROPLASTICS FOUND IN ECOTOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES OF SURFACE WATER AND NUMBERS OF RELATED ARTICLES. THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF PMs HAVE BEEN ABBREVIATED AS FOLLOWS: POLYSTYRENE (PS), POLYETHYLENE (PE), POLYVINYLCHLORIDE (PVC), POLYPROPYLENE (PP), POLYAMIDE (PA), POLYESTER (PES), POLYETHYLENE TEREPHTHALATE (PET).....	23
FIGURE 6. MPs SHAPES USED IN ECOTOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES, ACCORDING TO THEIR ORIGIN.....	25
FIGURE 7. (A) BIOMARKERS ANALYZED IN FRESHWATER ORGANISMS EXPOSED TO MPs IN LABORATORY EXPERIMENTS; (B) ECOTOXICOLOGICAL EFFECTS REPORTED IN FRESHWATER ORGANISMS EXPOSED TO MPs IN THE LABORATORY.....	35
FIGURE 8. SPECIES SENSITIVITY DISTRIBUTION (SSD; BLACK LINE) BASED ON CHRONIC NO OBSERVED EFFECT CONCENTRATIONS (NOECs) OF ALL FORMS OF MICROPLASTICS FOR (A) POLYSTYRENE (PS) AND (B) POLYETHYLENE (PE). ENDPOINTS INCLUDED: LETHALITY, GROWTH, AND REPRODUCTION .	38
FIGURE 9. SPECIES SENSITIVITY DISTRIBUTION (SSD; BLACK LINE) BASED ON CHRONIC NO OBSERVED EFFECT CONCENTRATIONS (NOECs) OF ALL FORMS AND TYPES OF MICROPLASTICS, DATA EXPRESSED IN (A) MG. L⁻¹ AND (B) PARTICLES. L⁻¹. ENDPOINTS INCLUDED LETHALITY, GROWTH, AND REPRODUCTION.	41
FIGURE 10. MAP OF ECOTOXICOLOGICAL RISK ZONES FOR MICROPLASTICS, WHERE THE RQ VALUES (RISK QUOTIENT) WERE OBTAINED FROM THE AVERAGE OF THE MPs VALUES FOUND IN FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEMS IN THE WORLD AND AFTER THAT, NORMALIZED (Z SCORE), WHERE OBSERVED THE GREATEST RISKS IN THE DARKEST SHADES OF GREEN, AND THE RISK DECREASES, AS INDICATED BY THE LIGHTER SHADE OF GREEN.	46

Chapter 2

FIGURE 1. POLYETHYLENE TEREPHTHALATE MICROPLASTICS (PET-MPs) USED IN THIS STUDY. (A) SHOWS A 300-425 µM SIZE AND (B) SHOWS A 53-75 µM SIZE.	64
FIGURE 2. POLYETHYLENE TEREPHTHALATE MICROPLASTICS (PET-MPs) SURFACE USED IN THIS STUDY. THE IMAGE REPRESENTS A PARTICLE FROM A 300-425µM.....	64
FIGURE 3. (A) RAMAN SPECTRA FOR POLYETHYLENE TEREPHTHALATE MICROPLASTICS (PET-MPs) SAMPLES AND (B) POLYETHYLENE TEREPHTHALATE PURE PATTERN. MATCH DATA WITH AND HQI OF 96.62%.....	65
FIGURE 4. PARAMETERS RELATED TO THE METABOLITES OF <i>D. RERIO</i> ADULTS EXPOSED TO PET-MP ISOLATED (A) AND IN COMBINATION WITH Cd (B). ACRONYMS OF FIGURE A FROM PET-MPs REPRESENT DIFFERENT SIZES AND CONCENTRATIONS, PET-MP 1-1: 300-425 µM AND C: 983 PARTICLES. L⁻¹; PET-MP 1-2: 300-425 µM AND C: 330 PARTICLES. L⁻¹; PET-MP 2-1: 53-75µM AND C: 983 PARTICLES. L⁻¹ AND PET-MP 2-2: 53-75 µM AND C: 330 PARTICLES. L⁻¹. EACH LETTER MEANS SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PET-MPs CONCENTRATIONS WITHIN EACH CONDITION (p < 0.05). RESULTS ARE SHOWN AS MEAN ± STANDARD DEVIATION (S.D.).....	67
FIGURE 5. PARAMETERS RELATED TO OXIDATIVE STRESS LEVELS OF ADULT <i>D. RERIO</i> EXPOSED TO PET-MP ISOLATED (A) AND IN COMBINATION WITH Cd (B). ACRONYMS OF FIGURE A FROM PET-MPs REPRESENT DIFFERENT SIZES AND CONCENTRATIONS, PET-MP 1-1: 300-425 µM AND C: 983 PARTICLES. L⁻¹; PET-MP 1-2: 300-425 µM AND C: 330 PARTICLES. L⁻¹; PET-MP 2-1: 53-75µM AND C: 983 PARTICLES. L⁻¹ AND PET-MP 2-2: 53-75 µM AND C: 330 PARTICLES. L⁻¹. EACH LETTER MEANS	

SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PET-MPS CONCENTRATIONS WITHIN EACH CONDITION ($p < 0.05$). RESULTS ARE SHOWN AS MEAN $\pm$ STANDARD DEVIATION (S.D.)..... 69

FIGURE 6. PARAMETERS RELATED TO THE ENZYMATIC ACTIVITY OF ADULT D. RERIO EXPOSED TO PET-MP ISOLATED (A) AND IN COMBINATION WITH Cd (B). ACRONYMS OF FIGURE A FROM PET-MPS REPRESENT DIFFERENT SIZES AND CONCENTRATIONS, PET-MP 1-1: 300-425 μ M AND C:983 PARTICLES. L⁻¹; PET-MP 1-2: 300-425 μ M AND C:330 PARTICLES. L⁻¹; PET-MP 2-1: 75-53 μ M AND C: 983 PARTICLES. L⁻¹ AND PET-MP 2-2: 75-53 μ M AND C: 330 PARTICLES. L⁻¹. EACH LETTER MEANS SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PET-MPS CONCENTRATIONS WITHIN EACH CONDITION ($p < 0.05$). IN FIGURE 6B, LOWERCASE LETTERS REPRESENT SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES FOR MDH ACTIVITY AND UPPER CASE FOR LDH ACTIVITY. RESULTS ARE SHOWN AS MEAN $\pm$ STANDARD DEVIATION (S.D.)..... 70

List of Tables

Chapter 1

TABLE 1. ASSESSMENT FACTORS TO OBTAIN NOEC VALUES, ACCORDING TO ADAM ET AL. (2018).....	11
TABLE 2. ABUNDANCE, DISTRIBUTION, SIZE, AND SHAPE OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF MICROPLASTICS FOUND IN WATER SAMPLES AROUND THE WORLD.....	16
TABLE 3. NOEC VALUES OBTAINED FROM ECOTOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES OF DIFFERENT MPs IN SPECIES FROM DIFFERENT TROPICS, CONSIDERING SHAPE AND SIZE.	27
TABLE 4. ESTIMATED NOEC (MG. L ⁻¹) OF AQUATIC ORGANISMS EXPOSED TO PS MICROPLASTICS USED FOR SSD ANALYSIS, OBTAINED FROM TABLE 3.....	38
TABLE 5. ESTIMATED NOEC (MG. L ⁻¹) OF AQUATIC ORGANISMS EXPOSED TO PE MICROPLASTICS USED FOR SSD ANALYSIS, OBTAINED FROM TABLE 3.....	39
TABLE 6. ESTIMATED NOEC (MG. L ⁻¹) OF AQUATIC ORGANISMS EXPOSED TO MICROPLASTICS USED FOR SSD ANALYSIS, OBTAINED FROM TABLE 3.....	42
TABLE 7. ESTIMATED NOEC (PARTICLE. L ⁻¹) OF AQUATIC ORGANISMS EXPOSED TO MICROPLASTICS USED FOR SSD ANALYSIS, OBTAINED FROM TABLE 3.	42
TABLE 8. HAZARDOUS CONCENTRATION 5% (HC5) OF PS (MG. L ⁻¹), PE (MG. L ⁻¹), ALL MP DATA EXPRESSED IN MG. L ⁻¹ , PARTICLES. L ⁻¹ AND THE PREDICTED NO-EFFECT CONCENTRATION (PNEC) DERIVED FROM THE SPECIES SENSITIVITY DISTRIBUTION (FIGURE 9).....	44
TABLE 9. RISK QUOTIENT OF MICROPLASTICS WORLDWIDE AND IN DIFFERENT CONTINENTS	45

Chapter 2

TABLE 1. CHANGES IN BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS IN ZEBRAFISH (<i>D. RERIO</i>) EXPOSED TO DIFFERENT SIZES AND CONCENTRATIONS OF PET-MPs. THE NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS PER TREATMENT WAS 10.	66
TABLE 2. CHANGES IN BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS IN ZEBRAFISH (<i>D. RERIO</i>) EXPOSED TO PET-MPs IN COMBINATION WITH CADMIUM. THE NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS PER TREATMENT WAS 8.....	66

List of Acronyms

ABNT:	Associação Brasileira de Normas Técnicas
AF:	Assessment Factor
BSA:	Bovine serum albumin
CAPES:	Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior
CEUA:	Comissão de Ética no Uso de Animais
Cd:	Cadmium
CONAMA:	Environmental National Council of Brazil
CP:	Carbonylated protein
DNPH:	Dinitrophenylhydrazine
EC ₅₀	Effect Concentration of 50% of the organism
ICTS:	Instituto de Ciência e Tecnologia - Câmpus de Sorocaba
IFPS:	Federal Institute of São Paulo
HONEC:	Highest Observed No Effect Concentration
LC ₅₀	Lethal Concentration of 50% the organism
LDH:	Lactate dehydrogenase
LOEC:	Lowest Observed Effect Concentration
MEC:	measured environmental concentrations)
MDH:	Malate dehydrogenase
MPs:	Microplastics
NPs:	Nanoplastics
PE:	Polyethylene
PES:	Polyester
PET:	Polyethylene Terephthalate

PET-MPs:	Polyethylene Terephthalate microplastics
PNEC:	Predicted no-effect concentration
PP:	Polypropylene
PS:	Polystyrene
PUR:	Polyurethane
PVC:	Polyvinylchloride
PA:	Polyamide
OECD:	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
RQ:	Risk Quotient
SEM:	Scanning electron microscopies
SSD:	Species Sensitivity Distribution
UNESP:	Universidade Estadual Paulista "Júlio de Mesquita Filho"
UFSCar:	Universidade Federal de São Carlos

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION.....	1
Chapter 1 – Review article: <i>Microplastics in Freshwater Ecosystems: Occurrence, Ecotoxicological Aspects, and Ecological Risk Analysis</i>.....	6
ABSTRACT	7
INTRODUCTION	8
MATERIALS AND METHODS	10
<i>Distribution and abundance of MPs</i>	10
<i>Ecotoxicological data analysis</i>	11
<i>Risk Assessment</i>	12
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	12
<i>Microplastics in freshwater bodies: emphasis on type, concentration, and form</i>	12
<i>Ecotoxicological aspects</i>	22
<i>Risk Analysis</i>	36
PNEC estimation	36
Risk Quotient	45
CONCLUSIONS	49
REFERENCES	51
Chapter 2 – <i>Ecotoxicological Studies Of Secondary Microplastic (Pet) In Freshwaters Using Biochemical Biomarkers From Danio Rerio: Emphasis On Granulometric Conditions, Environmental Concentrations And Co-Exposure With Cadmium</i>.....	58
ABSTRACT	59
INTRODUCTION	60
METHODOLOGY	61
<i>MPs Preparation and Chemicals</i>	61
<i>Analysis of microplastics</i>	61
<i>Zebrafish maintenance and exposure</i>	62
<i>Biochemical assays</i>	63
Tissue Samples	63
Total protein content	63
Triglycerides and Glucose Content	63
Carbonylated Protein	63
Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and malate dehydrogenase (MDH) activity	64
<i>Statistical Analysis</i>	64
RESULTS	64
<i>Microplastics Analysis</i>	64
<i>Biochemical Parameters</i>	65
Metabolites on isolated PET-MPs and co-exposed with Cd	68
Carbonylated Protein levels on isolated PET-MPs and co-exposed with Cd	69
Enzymatic activity on isolated PET-MPs and co-exposed with Cd	71
DISCUSSION.....	72
CONCLUSION	75
REFERENCES	76
Chapter 3 – <i>Final Considerations and Future Perspectives</i>	81
APPENDIX A.....	83
APPENDIX B	85
APPENDIX C	88

Introduction

The modern era has witnessed the prolific production and introduction of diverse plastic polymers into the environment. Notable examples include polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polystyrene (PS), and polyurethane (PUR). The production of these polymers escalated significantly, increasing by twentyfold between 1964 and 2015, amounting to an estimated 322 million metric tons. Alarmingly, projections suggest that this figure could double by 2035 and quadruple by the 2050s (STAP, 2018).

Ritchie & Roser (2018) estimated that there were more than 5 trillion plastic particles in the world's surface waters in 2018. Bringing concern abroad about the degradation of these compounds that are made through small pieces of plastic, characterized as microplastics (MPs) or nanoplastics (NPs) (depending on their size) (Nkwachukwu et al., 2013). These residues remain in environments available to interact with every life form, triggering ecotoxicological repercussions in different ecosystems (Bajt et al., 2021).

The effects of MPs extend to a wide variety of aquatic organisms, not only due to the physical damage caused by their ingestion but also due to their toxicity or bioaccumulation of certain associated chemicals (Horton & Dixon, 2018), including negative effects on the rates of growth, survival and fertility, feeding inhibition, genotoxicity, inflammation, and tumor promotion (Pico et al., 2019; GESAMP, 2015). All these effects represent a great risk for this type of organism and unfortunately is quite difficult to assess and/or quantify, due to the diversity of existing types, properties, sizes, formats, ecotoxicological biomarkers, and impact assessment methods for MPs (Koelmans et al., 2022). Another relevant fact to consider is that most of the risk assessments have been carried out for marine environments, leaving aside freshwater environments, despite the fact that the quantity of MPs in this medium is almost the same as the one on the marine environment (Peng et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018). That is why it is extremely important to give greater emphasis to this type of risk analysis, for the sake of understanding what really happens in these ecosystems and thus be able to establish a safe level of MPs for the protection of the species that inhabit it.

Ecotoxicological studies carried out with MPs have mainly focused on PS, hence there is a great gap in the ecotoxicological effects produced by other types of MPs such

as PET (Bashirova et al. 2023). PET is a widely used type of plastic worldwide, and in Europe it represents a percentage of 7.1% of all plastics used (Plastics Europe, 2022). Currently, it already constitutes potential risks to human health, since some studies such as that of Harvey and Watts (2018), demonstrated the existence of PET-MPs in human feces. Due to these alarming data, it is also essential to study what happens to them in aquatic environments and what possible effects they may cause on the organisms that inhabit them.

Another important factor is the MPs joint exposure with other xenobiotics, since it is presumed that it could increase their bioavailability, as well as their toxicity (Zhang et al. 2020). This is a matter of great concern since the coexistence of MPs and other compounds has already been occurring in the environment for many years (Yang et al. 2022). Some factors, such as the type of surface, and the size vary its ability to adsorb other contaminants, such as metallic and organic compounds and some pharmaceuticals (Zhang et al., 2022). In the case of heavy metals, they represent a greater risk because they easily can be adsorbed from MPs (Zhou et al., 2019). A realistic scenario of this phenomenon was investigated by Deng et al., 2020, who studied the interaction of heavy metals with MPs in mangrove sediments, determining that the most abundant MP in the study area was PET and due to the characteristics of its surface it was able to adsorb heavy metals such as Cr, Zn, Pb, and Cd.

Biomarkers are defined as quantitative measures of changes in the biological system related to the toxic effects of some chemicals that exist in nature (Torres et al. 2008). Within the wide variety of them, biochemical biomarkers allow us to understand aspects of the chemical environment that cannot be made just by quantifying pollutants, such as bioavailability, interactions between other chemicals, long-term effects, and others. (Venturino & Pechen, 2005). To date, and according to various biochemical analyzes carried out in ecotoxicological trials, it has been shown that MPs, depending on their size and dose, can induce oxidative damage (increased lipid peroxidation and DNA strand breaks), alter the antioxidant system and metabolism (Prokić et al., 2019).

So, in view of what was previously described, this research had two main objectives: 1) Contribute with data on abundance, distribution and ecotoxicological aspects of MPs, as well as establish possible safe environmental concentration for microplastics in freshwater; 2) To evaluate the potential effects of PET secondary MPs at different particle sizes, doses and combination with cadmium, measured with biochemical biomarkers of metabolism, enzymatic activity, and oxidative stress of *Danio rerio*.

In order to facilitate the understanding of this work, they were divided into the following chapters:

Chapter 1 – Review article: *Microplastics in Freshwater Ecosystems: Occurrence, Ecotoxicological Aspects, and Ecological Risk Analysis*. Planned to be submitted in the periodic “Ecotoxicology”. The first chapter aims to collect data from the literature on MPs found in freshwater ecosystems around the world, discussing the types, size, abundance, and distribution, in addition to the bibliographic survey on the tests carried out with different types of MPs and different groups of organisms and a final Risk analysis that includes the predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) and the risk quotient (RQ).

Chapter 2 – Research article: *Ecotoxicological studies of secondary microplastic (PET) in freshwaters using biochemical biomarkers from *Danio rerio*: emphasis on granulometric conditions, environmental concentrations and co-exposure with cadmium*. Intend to be published in the periodic “Environmental Pollution”. This work has two main objectives, evaluated through biochemical biomarkers of metabolism (total proteins, glucose and triglycerides), oxidative stress (protein carbonylation) and enzymatic activity (lactate dehydrogenase and malate dehydrogenase) throughout two different experiments: (1) To determinate if there is ecotoxicological codependency between different sizes (53-75 μm , 350-400 μm) and environmentally relevant concentrations (330 particles. L^{-1} and 938 particles. L^{-1}) of the isolated PET-MP; (2) To establish if the effects of PET-MPs are potentiated with the addition of Cd at theoretically concentration safe levels for the protection of aquatic life, based on CONAMA 357 legislation (10 μg . L^{-1}).

REFERENCES

- Bajt, O. (2021). From plastics to microplastics and organisms. *FEBS Open Bio*, 11(4), 954–966. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2211-5463.13120>
- Deng, J., Guo, P., Zhang, X., Su, H., Zhang, Y., Wu, Y., & Li, Y. (2020). Microplastics and accumulated heavy metals in restored mangrove wetland surface sediments at Jinjiang Estuary (Fujian, China). *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 159(March), 111482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111482>
- GESAMP. (2015). *Sources, fate and effects of microplastics in the marine environment: a global assessment*.
- Horton, A. A., & Dixon, S. J. (2018). Microplastics: An introduction to environmental transport processes. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water*, 5(2), e1268. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1268>
- Koelmans, A. A., Redondo-Hasselerharm, P. E., Nor, N. H. M., de Ruijter, V. N., Mintenig, S. M., & Kooi, M. (2022). Risk assessment of microplastic particles. *Nature Reviews Materials*, 7(2), 138–152. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-021-00411-y>
- Nkwachukwu, O., Chima, C., Ikenna, A., & Albert, L. (2013). Focus on potential environmental issues on plastic world towards a sustainable plastic recycling in developing countries. *International Journal of Industrial Chemistry*, 4(1), 34. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2228-5547-4-34>
- Peng, J., Wang, J., & Cai, L. (2017). Current understanding of microplastics in the environment: Occurrence, fate, risks, and what we should do. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*, 13(3), 476–482. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.1912>
- Pico, Y., Alfarhan, A., & Barcelo, D. (2019). Nano- and microplastic analysis: Focus on their occurrence in freshwater ecosystems and remediation technologies. *TrAC - Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 113, 409–425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.08.022>
- Prokić, M. D., Radovanović, T. B., Gavrić, J. P., & Faggio, C. (2019). Ecotoxicological effects of microplastics: Examination of biomarkers, current state and future perspectives. *TrAC - Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 111, 37–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.12.001>
- Reid, A. J., Carlson, A. K., Creed, I. F., Eliason, E. J., Gell, P. A., Johnson, P. T. J., Kidd, K. A., MacCormack, T. J., Olden, J. D., Ormerod, S. J., Smol, J. P., Taylor, W. W., Tockner, K., Vermaire, J. C., Dudgeon, D., & Cooke, S. J. (2019). Emerging threats and persistent conservation challenges for freshwater biodiversity. *Biological Reviews*, 94(3), 849–873. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12480>
- Ritchie, H., & Roser, M. (2018). *Our World in Data*. Plastic Pollution. <https://ourworldindata.org/plastic-pollution#how-does-plastic-impact-wildlife-and-human-health>
- STAP. (2018). *Plastics and the circular economy*.
- Torres, M. A., Barros, M. P., Campos, S. C. G., Pinto, E., Rajamani, S., Sayre, R. T., & Colepicolo, P. (2008). Biochemical biomarkers in algae and marine pollution: A review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 71(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2008.05.009>
- Venturino, A., & Pechen de D'Angelo, A. M. (2005). Biochemical targets of xenobiotics: Biomarkers in amphibian ecotoxicology. *Applied Herpetology*, 2(3), 335–353. <https://doi.org/10.1163/1570754054507433>
- Yang, H., Zhu, Z., Xie, Y., Zheng, C., Zhou, Z., Zhu, T., & Zhang, Y. (2022). Comparison of the combined toxicity of polystyrene microplastics and different concentrations

- of cadmium in zebrafish. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 250(July), 106259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2022.106259>
- Zhang, R., Wang, M., Chen, X., Yang, C., & Wu, L. (2020). Combined toxicity of microplastics and cadmium on the zebrafish embryos (*Danio rerio*). *Science of the Total Environment*, 743, 140638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140638>
- Zhang, T., Jiang, B., Xing, Y., Ya, H., Lv, M., & Wang, X. (2022). Current status of microplastics pollution in the aquatic environment, interaction with other pollutants, and effects on aquatic organisms. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(12), 16830–16859. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-18504-8>
- Zhou, Y., Liu, X., & Wang, J. (2019). Characterization of microplastics and the association of heavy metals with microplastics in suburban soil of central China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 694. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133798>

Final Considerations and Future Perspectives

The foundation of this research was built upon a series of intricate questions that underscored the complexity of the challenges posed by MPs. These inquiries were not easily answered, questions such as, "Can we accurately quantify the risk posed by microplastics in our surroundings?" and "Is there a well-defined safe threshold to safeguard aquatic life?" exemplify the uncertainty that looms over this subject. Additionally, the exploration extended to the behavior of different types of MPs—do they all elicit the same effects across various species? The concurrent presence of other pollutants alongside MPs, along with the potential for intensification of ecotoxicological impacts due to MPs' size, format, and origin, further deepened the complexity of the matter. It was within these gaps, borne from the limitations of existing literature, that the two chapters of this study found their genesis. The research endeavors to shed light on these intricate questions and contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted challenges presented by microplastics in freshwater ecosystems.

The first chapter grapples with the absence of established safe levels for MPs and embarks on a daunting task: conducting a risk analysis for these particles. This undertaking is not without its challenges, primarily stemming from the unique nature of microplastics as pollutants. Unlike conventional molecules, microplastics exhibit a remarkable degree of complexity owing to their diverse array of characteristics—ranging from types, densities, physicochemical properties, and surface area, to sizes and origins. This research decided to first collect information about what is found naturally in the freshwater environments, and from this, relate it to ecotoxicology, and verify if what is being tested is what really causes problems in nature. Different parameters were considered, such as size, format, origin, and type of MPs. Throughout our search, it was indeed verified that most of the ecotoxicological studies do not test what is more common to be found in nature. Although each type, format, and size of MPs is important, greater efforts should be put into studying those gaps that would allow the establishment of regulations in the future. Secondly, the present work proposed a safe level, based on all the sizes, types, and formats that could be found in ecotoxicological studies. All of this was elaborated based in the fact that freshwater, MPs of different characteristics will be in constant movement due to the dynamics of these ecosystems, representing a risk for organism from all the different depths (benthic and pelagic).

In the second chapter, another notable blank space emerged, partly due to the comprehensive exploration undertaken in the first chapter: the paucity of PET studies with freshwater species such as *D. rerio*. This may be because the production of MPs for ecotoxicological studies is not very common, since it is usual to work with MPs of the primary type, which are easily acquired from factories. However, they did not experience the processes, that normally MPs go through in nature. Also, in addition to choosing the PET for the development of an ecotoxicological study, it was compared different sizes, and concentrations, to see if the effects varied or not, since in some studies certain correlations between sizes, formats, and concentrations were observed.

Another particularity that was observed, in the case of some studies, was the association with other pollutants. Greater effects were seen than those obtained when some type of MPs was tested alone. For this reason, it was decided to test a metal in a dose that is theoretically safe for aquatic life ($10 \mu\text{g. L}^{-1}$), as this is established in Brazilian regulations (CONAMA 357). Thus, the outcomes of this research effectively validated the trends observed through the analysis of biochemical biomarkers associated with oxidative stress and enzymatic activity, even with safe concentration levels. Results confirmed that both the size and the concentrations are factors to consider when talking about the ecotoxicity of PET-MPs and is one more piece of evidence, as well as those studies carried out with other types of MPs, in which this codependency is demonstrated.

As already mentioned, MPs are complex substances, therefore, based on what has been obtained, it is imperative that future research in the field of ecotoxicology focus on amassing a more extensive dataset regarding the ecotoxicological impacts of various types of MPs, including those that have not yet undergone thorough investigation. The different shapes should not be neglected either, fibers are another abundant MP shape in nature, important to be further analyzed in the future. In addition, more environmental concentrations should be tested, since each aquatic environment presents its own levels of MPs. Unfortunately, as microplastic contamination escalates due to the continuous and increasing utilization of plastic materials, understanding the effects of MPs at varying concentrations becomes even more crucial, that is why the scientific community can shed light on the intricate relationships between microplastics and aquatic ecosystems, paving the way for more informed environmental management strategies in the face of mounting plastic pollution.