

# RESSALVA

Atendendo solicitação do(a) autor(a), o texto completo desta dissertação será disponibilizado somente a partir de 01/03/2025.



# UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL PAULISTA “JÚLIO DE MESQUITA FILHO” FACULDADE DE MEDICINA

Marcela Carneiro de Oliveira

Validated pain scales improve decision-making of untrained  
students to indicate analgesia in animals

Dissertação apresentada à Faculdade de  
Medicina, Universidade Estadual Paulista “Júlio  
de Mesquita Filho”, Câmpus de Botucatu, para  
obtenção do título de Mestra em Anestesiologia.

Orientador: Prof. Dr. Stelio Pacca Loureiro Luna

Botucatu  
2024

Marcela Carneiro de Oliveira

Validated pain scales improve decision-making of  
untrained students to indicate analgesia in animals

Dissertação apresentada à Faculdade de  
Medicina, Universidade Estadual Paulista  
“Júlio de Mesquita Filho”, Câmpus de  
Botucatu, para obtenção do título de  
Mestra em Anestesiologia.

Orientador: Prof. Dr. Stelio Pacca Loureiro Luna

Botucatu  
2024

FICHA CATALOGRÁFICA ELABORADA PELA SEÇÃO TÉC. AQUIS. TRATAMENTO DA INFORM.  
DIVISÃO TÉCNICA DE BIBLIOTECA E DOCUMENTAÇÃO - CÂMPUS DE BOTUCATU - UNESP  
BIBLIOTECÁRIA RESPONSÁVEL: ROSANGELA APARECIDA LOBO-CRB 8/7500

Oliveira, Marcela Carneiro de.

Validated pain scales improve decision-making of  
untrained students to indicate analgesia in animals /  
Marcela Carneiro de Oliveira. - Botucatu, 2024

Dissertação (mestrado) - Universidade Estadual Paulista  
"Júlio de Mesquita Filho", Faculdade de Medicina de  
Botucatu

Orientador: Stelio Pacca Loureiro Luna  
Capes: 50501011

1. Analgesia. 2. Animais - Proteção. 3. Cuidados  
pós-operatórios. 4. Dor pós-operatória. 5. Medição da dor.

Palavras-chave: Analgesia; Bem-estar animal; Cuidados  
pós-operatórios; Dor aguda pós-operatória; Medição da dor.

**ATA DA DEFESA PÚBLICA DA DISSERTAÇÃO DE MESTRADO DE MARCELA CARNEIRO DE OLIVEIRA, DISCENTE DO PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM ANESTESIOLOGIA, DA FACULDADE DE MEDICINA.**

Aos 01 dias do mês de março do ano de 2024, às 08:00 horas, por meio de Videoconferência, realizou-se a defesa de DISSERTAÇÃO DE MESTRADO de MARCELA CARNEIRO DE OLIVEIRA, intitulada **Validated pain scales improve decision-making of untrained students to indicate analgesia in animals**. A Comissão Examinadora foi constituída pelos seguintes membros: Prof. Dr. STELIO PACCA LOUREIRO LUNA (Orientador(a) - Participação Virtual) do(a) Depto. de Cirurgia Veterinária e Reprodução Animal / FMVZ/Botucatu - Unesp, Profa. Dra. RENATA NAVARRO CASSU (Participação Virtual) do(a) Universidade do Oeste Paulista (UNOESTE), Profa. Dra. ALICE RODRIGUES DE OLIVEIRA (Participação Virtual) do(a) Depto. de Anatomia, Patologia e Clínicas Veterinárias / EMEVZ/Salvador - UFBA. Após a exposição pela mestranda e arguição pelos membros da Comissão Examinadora que participaram do ato, de forma presencial e/ou virtual, a discente recebeu o conceito final: aprovada \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ . Nada mais havendo, foi lavrada a presente ata, que após lida e aprovada, foi assinada pelo(a) Presidente(a) da Comissão Examinadora.



Documento assinado digitalmente

STELIO PACCA LOUREIRO LUNA

Data: 03/04/2024 17:59:32-0300

Verifique em <https://validar.iti.gov.br>

Prof. Dr. STELIO PACCA LOUREIRO LUNA

## **AGRADECIMENTOS**

O presente trabalho foi realizado com apoio da Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brasil (CAPES) – Código de Financiamento 88887.674152/2022-00.

## **Resumo**

**Objetivo** Nosso objetivo foi investigar se estudantes sem treinamento avaliam a dor aguda pós-operatória de forma semelhante a um especialista e comparar as indicações para analgesia de resgate com base nas opiniões dos estudantes versus sua pontuação nas escalas de dor validadas. Também analisamos como os fatores demográficos e pessoais afetaram a sua avaliação.

**Desenho do estudo** Prospectivo, encoberto, aleatorizado e transversal.

**Animais** Vídeos de um touro, um cavalo, um gato, um porco e uma ovelha.

**Métodos** Alunos do primeiro e terceiro anos de Medicina Veterinária e alunos do quarto ano de Zootecnia assistiram a um ou dois vídeos de animais com dor intensa, de uma ou duas espécies (touro, cavalo, gato, porco e ovelha). Alunos de diferentes turmas assistiram a vídeos de diferentes espécies. Os alunos desconheciam a intensidade da dor de cada animal, responderam se indicariam analgesia de acordo com sua opinião e pontuaram as escalas de dor validadas específicas para cada espécie. Suas pontuações foram comparadas com as pontuações de um professor sênior (especialista) que estava ciente da condição dolorosa apresentada pelos animais. Para a análise estatística, foram utilizados testes de qui-quadrado e modelos de regressão logística.

**Resultados** A indicação de analgesia de resgate segundo a opinião dos estudantes (81,12%) foi inferior à indicada com o uso das escalas (98,6%) ( $p = 2.584e-06$ ). A maioria dos alunos (75%) pontuou dentro da margem de erro de 20% estabelecida a partir da pontuação do especialista para todas as espécies combinadas ( $p = 2.897e-09$ ), para o touro ( $p = 2.089e-08$ ) e o cavalo ( $p = 8.868e-05$ ). Os alunos subestimaram a nota do especialista em 8 a 20%, exceto no caso do cavalo. O sexo, a idade, a experiência anterior do aluno em relação à dor e a experiência de trabalho na área da saúde ou em estágio na área da

medicina veterinária ou zootecnia não influenciaram a pontuação ou a indicação de analgesia. Os alunos que tiveram contato doméstico com as espécies que estavam avaliando tiveram maior probabilidade de pontuar exatamente o mesmo escore atribuído pelo especialista ( $p = 0.0331$ ).

**Conclusões e relevância clínica** Estudantes não treinados podem detectar dor intensa em animais com precisão semelhante à de um especialista. O contato doméstico com a espécie melhora a capacidade dos alunos de pontuar a dor com o mesmo escore que um especialista. O uso de escalas de dor validadas otimizou a indicação para fornecer analgesia quando os animais sofriam dor intensa.

**Palavras-chave:** Analgesia, Bem-estar Animal, Cuidados Pós-Operatórios, Dor Aguda Pós-Operatória, Medição da Dor.

## **Abstract**

**Objective** We aimed to evaluate if students without training assess pain similarly to an expert and to compare indications for rescue analgesia based on students' opinions versus scale scoring. We also analyzed how demographic and personal factors affected their assessment.

**Study design** Prospective, blind, randomized, cross-sectional study.

**Animals** Videos of one bull, horse, cat, pig, and sheep.

**Methods** First- and third-year Veterinary students and fourth-year Animal Science students watched videos of a bull, horse, cat, pig, and sheep. The students were unaware of each animal's pain intensity, responded as to whether they would indicate analgesia according to their opinion and scored the species-specific validated pain scales. Their scores were compared with a senior professor's scores (expert).

**Results** The indication for rescue analgesia according to the students' opinion (81.12%) was lower than according to their scale scores (98.6%) ( $p < 0.05$ ). Most students (75%) scored within the 20% margin of error of the expert score for all species combined ( $p < 0.05$ ), the bull ( $p < 0.05$ ) and horse ( $p < 0.05$ ). Students underestimated the expert's score by 8 to 20%, except for the horse. Gender, age, student's previous experience with pain, and their experience in healthcare or in an internship did not influence their scores or indication for analgesia. Students who had domestic contact with the species they were evaluating were more likely to score the exact score assigned by the expert ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Conclusions and clinical relevance** Untrained students can detect intense pain in animals with a similar accuracy to an expert. Domestic contact with the species improves the ability of students to score pain like an expert. The use of scales optimized the indication for providing analgesia when animals were suffering intense pain.

**Keywords** Analgesia, Animal Welfare, Postoperative Care, Acute Postoperative Pain, Pain Measurement.

## **Introduction**

Body language is key component to expressing and detecting animal pain (Reid et al. 2007; Brondani et al. 2013; Tomacheuski et al. 2023). Whole-body behavioral changes are easily observed, clinically applicable, non-invasive, and can be assessed remotely (Luna et al. 2020; Monteiro et al. 2023).

Species-specific validated acute pain scales have improved the accuracy and reliability of assessing pain in almost all domestic species (Reid et al. 2007; Brondani et al. 2013; de Oliveira et al. 2014; Taffarel et al. 2015; Luna et al. 2020; Silva et al. 2020; de Oliveira et al. 2021; Haddad Pinho et al. 2022; Fonseca et al. 2023). Their cut-off analgesic rescue indicative scores assist in the decision-making for analgesic provision.

Although scales are the closest to gold standard methods to assess pain in animals, they present limitations. For example, pain scales have usually been validated in controlled studies by experienced and trained veterinarians. Personal and professional experiences (Catanzaro et al. 2016; Adami et al. 2023), age (Valros & Hanninen 2018), and gender (Doodnaught et al. 2017; Evangelista & Steagall 2021; Moody et al. 2022) may alter the final score attributed by different observers, and these differences can interfere with treatment decisions and conclusions about treatment efficacy (Benito et al. 2017). Although recent studies suggested that training may not be necessary to use the Glasgow Feline Composite Measure Pain Scale (CMPS-Feline) (Moody et al. 2022) and that the Feline Grimace Scale can be reliably used by assessors with different experience

levels (Evangelista & Steagall 2021), inexperienced evaluators may have difficulty using these tools (Barletta et al. 2016), and some veterinarians do not feel capable of adequately assessing pain in animals (Lorena et al. 2013; Morales-Vallecilla et al. 2019).

There are useful free accessible scales ([www.animalpain.org](http://www.animalpain.org)) and applications available on the internet (Vetpain: <https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.vetpain.app> for Android and <https://apps.apple.com/ca/app/vetpain/id6462712970> for IOS), to improve knowledge, provide training and enhance accuracy to assess pain in large, small, and lab animals.

We hypothesized that untrained undergraduate students with little or no experience in pain assessment do not score pain as accurately as an expert evaluator and that the use of scales optimizes the precision to indicate rescue analgesia in large and small animals suffering intense pain. Therefore, the current study aimed to investigate whether untrained students can similarly score pain scales and indicate rescue analgesia compared to an experienced evaluator and to compare the indication of rescue analgesia based on the students' opinion and scale scoring. As a secondary objective, we evaluate the impact of demographic and personal factors on the pain scores assigned by students.

**Conclusions**

When using species-specific validated scales, although untrained students tend to underestimate intense pain, they indicate rescue analgesia in animals similarly to

an expert. Students' domestic contact with the species improves their ability to score pain like an expert.

## References

Adami C, Filipas M, John C et al. (2023) Inter-observer reliability of three feline pain scales used in clinical practice. *J Feline Med Surg* 25, 1098612X231194423.

Barletta M, Young CN, Quandt JE, Hofmeister EH (2016) Agreement between veterinary students and anesthesiologists regarding postoperative pain assessment in dogs. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 43, 91-98.

Barreto da Rocha P, Driessen B, McDonnell SM et al. (2021) A critical evaluation for validation of composite and unidimensional postoperative pain scales in horses. *PLoS One* 16, e0255618.

Belli M, de Oliveira AR, de Lima MT et al. (2021) Clinical validation of the short and long UNESP-Botucatu scales for feline pain assessment. *PeerJ* 9, e11225.

Benito J, Monteiro BP, Beauchamp G et al. (2017) Evaluation of interobserver agreement for postoperative pain and sedation assessment in cats. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 251, 544-551.

Beswick A, Dewey C, Johnson R et al. (2016) Survey of Ontario veterinarians' knowledge and attitudes on pain in dogs and cats in 2012. *Can Vet J* 57, 1274-1280.

Brondani JT, Mama KR, Luna SP et al. (2013) Validation of the English version of the UNESP-Botucatu multidimensional composite pain scale for assessing postoperative pain in cats. *BMC Vet Res* 9, 143.

351 Catanzaro A, Di Salvo A, Steagall PV et al. (2016) Preliminary study on attitudes,  
 352 opinions and knowledge of Italian veterinarians with regard to abdominal visceral pain in  
 353 dogs. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 43, 361-370.

354 Christov-Moore L, Simpson EA, Coude G et al. (2014) Empathy: gender effects in brain  
 355 and behavior. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 46 Pt 4, 604-627.

356 de Oliveira FA, Luna SP, do Amaral JB et al. (2014) Validation of the UNESP-Botucatu  
 357 unidimensional composite pain scale for assessing postoperative pain in cattle. *BMC Vet*  
 358 *Res* 10, 200.

359 de Oliveira MGC, de Paula VV, Mouta AN et al. (2021) Validation of the Donkey Pain  
 360 Scale (DOPS) for Assessing Postoperative Pain in Donkeys. *Front Vet Sci* 8, 671330.

361 Doodnaught GM, Benito J, Monteiro BP et al. (2017) Agreement among undergraduate  
 362 and graduate veterinary students and veterinary anesthesiologists on pain assessment in  
 363 cats and dogs: A preliminary study. *Can Vet J* 58, 805-808.

364 Evangelista MC, Steagall PV (2021) Agreement and reliability of the Feline Grimace  
 365 Scale among cat owners, veterinarians, veterinary students and nurses. *Sci Rep* 11, 5262.

366 Fonseca MW, Trindade PHE, Pinho RH et al. (2023) Development and Validation of the  
 367 Unesp-Botucatu Goat Acute Pain Scale. *Animals (Basel)* 13.

368 Gagnier JJ, Lai J, Mokkink LB, Terwee CB (2021) COSMIN reporting guideline for  
 369 studies on measurement properties of patient-reported outcome measures. *Qual Life Res*  
 370 30, 2197-2218.

371 Haddad Pinho R, Luna SPL, Esteves Trindade PH et al. (2022) Validation of the rabbit  
 372 pain behaviour scale (RPBS) to assess acute postoperative pain in rabbits (*Oryctolagus*  
 373 *cuniculus*). PLoS One 17, e0268973.

374 Lorena SE, Luna SP, Lascelles BD, Corrente JE (2013) Attitude of Brazilian veterinarians  
 375 in the recognition and treatment of pain in horses and cattle. Vet Anaesth Analg 40, 410-  
 376 418.

377 Lorena SE, Luna SP, Lascelles BD, Corrente JE (2014) Current attitudes regarding the  
 378 use of perioperative analgesics in dogs and cats by Brazilian veterinarians. Vet Anaesth  
 379 Analg 41, 82-89.

380 Luna SPL, de Araujo AL, da Nobrega Neto PI et al. (2020) Validation of the UNESP-  
 381 Botucatu pig composite acute pain scale (UPAPS). PLoS One 15, e0233552.

382 Luna SPL, Trindade PHE, Monteiro BP et al. (2022) Multilingual validation of the short  
 383 form of the Unesp-Botucatu Feline Pain Scale (UFEPS-SF). PeerJ 10, e13134.

384 Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Patrick DL et al. (2010) The COSMIN checklist for assessing  
 385 the methodological quality of studies on measurement properties of health status  
 386 measurement instruments: an international Delphi study. Qual Life Res 19, 539-549.

387 Monteiro B, Lascelles B, Murrell J et al. (2023) 2022 WSAVA guidelines for the  
 388 recognition, assessment and treatment of pain. J Small Anim Pract 64, 177-254.

389 Moody CM, Niel L, Pang DJ (2022) Is training necessary for efficacious use of the  
 390 Glasgow Feline Composite Measure Pain Scale? Can Vet J 63, 609-616.

391 Morales-Vallecilla C, Ramirez N, Villar D et al. (2019) Survey of Pain Knowledge and  
 392 Analgesia in Dogs and Cats by Colombian Veterinarians. *Vet Sci* 6.

393 Raekallio M, Heinonen KM, Kuussaari J, Vainio O (2003) Pain alleviation in animals:  
 394 attitudes and practices of Finnish veterinarians. *Vet J* 165, 131-135.

395 Reid J, Nolan A, Hughes J et al. (2007) Development of the short-form Glasgow  
 396 Composite Measure Pain Scale (CMPS-SF) and derivation of an analgesic intervention  
 397 score. *Anim Welf* 16, 97-104.

398 Roughan JV, Flecknell PA (2006) Training in behaviour-based post-operative pain  
 399 scoring in rats—An evaluation based on improved recognition of analgesic requirements.  
 400 *Appl Anim Behav Sci* 96, 327-342.

401 Sadeghiyeh H, Khorrami A, Hatami J (2012) Gender differences in empathy for pain: A  
 402 single-pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation study. *Neurophysiol Clin* 42, 62.

403 Silva N, Trindade PHE, Oliveira AR et al. (2020) Validation of the Unesp-Botucatu  
 404 composite scale to assess acute postoperative abdominal pain in sheep (USAPS). *PLoS*  
 405 *One* 15, e0239622.

406 Taffarel MO, Luna SP, de Oliveira FA et al. (2015) Refinement and partial validation of  
 407 the UNESP-Botucatu multidimensional composite pain scale for assessing postoperative  
 408 pain in horses. *BMC Vet Res* 11, 83.

409 Tomacheuski RM, Taffarel MO, Ferrante M, Luna SP (2020) Preliminary survey of the  
 410 attitudes of Brazilian scientists towards pain management and assessment in animals used  
 411 in science. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 47, 647-656.

412 Tomacheuski RM, Oliveira AR, Trindade PHE et al. (2023) Reliability and Validity of  
413 UNESP-Botucatu Cattle Pain Scale and Cow Pain Scale in Bos taurus and Bos indicus  
414 Bulls to Assess Postoperative Pain of Surgical Orchiectomy. Animals (Basel) 13.

415 Valros A, Hanninen L (2018) Animal Ethical Views and Perception of Animal Pain in  
416 Veterinary Students. Animals (Basel) 8.

417 Van Epps H, Astudillo O, Martin YDP, Marsh J (2022) The Sex and Gender Equity in  
418 Research (SAGER) guidelines: Implementation and checklist development. Eur Sci Ed  
419 48, e86910.

420 von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M et al. (2007) The Strengthening the Reporting of  
421 Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting  
422 observational studies. Lancet 370, 1453-1457.

423 Williams VM, Lascelles BD, Robson MC (2005) Current attitudes to, and use of, peri-  
424 operative analgesia in dogs and cats by veterinarians in New Zealand. N Z Vet J 53, 193-  
425 202.