

RESSALVA

**Atendendo solicitação do(a) autor(a), o
texto completo deste documento será
disponibilizado somente a partir de
28/03/2027.**

SÃO PAULO STATE UNIVERSITY
“Júlio de Mesquita Filho”
Institute of Arts – Campus São Paulo

WILLSTERMAN SOTTANI COELHO

CHORAL REPERTOIRE SELECTION:
an auxiliary device proposal for
grading works by difficulty

São Paulo
2025

WILLSTERMAN SOTTANI COELHO

**CHORAL REPERTOIRE SELECTION:
an auxiliary device proposal for
grading works by difficulty**

Dissertation submitted to the Music Graduate Program in the concentration field of “Music: processes, practices, and theorizations in dialogs”, at the Institute of Arts, São Paulo State University (UNESP), in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of PhD in Music.

Research line: Music, Epistemology, Culture
Advisor: Prof. Dr. Marcos José Cruz Mesquita (UNESP)

Co-advisor: Prof. Dr. Márcio Luís Moreira de Souza (UFJF)

São Paulo
2025

To the memory of my great-grandparents,
Fióvo Trere' (1901-1990, gold panner, police
officer, bicycle mechanic) and
Sílvia de Lourdes Coelho (1908-2008,
traditional cook, amateur harpist),
and my grandfathers,
Wilson Coelho (1931-2020, textile worker,
tailor, amateur mandolinist) and
Darcy Sottani (1935-2010, textile worker,
fisherman, itinerant merchant, amateur
guitarist), with gratitude and longing, I
dedicate this work.

*À memória de meus bisavós,
Fióvo Trere' (1901-1990, garimpeiro,
policial, mecânico de bicicletas) e
Sílvia de Lourdes Coelho (1908-2008,
quitandeira, harpista amadora)
e de meus avós,
Wilson Coelho (1931-2020, operário têxtil,
alfaiate, bandolinista amador) e
Darcy Sottani (1935-2010, operário têxtil,
pescador, comerciante itinerante, violonista
amador), com gratidão e saudade, dedico este
trabalho.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To Whom hath established the Algorithm of All Things and set the World in the human heart (Colossians 1:16; Ecclesiastes 3:11). To the Creator and Redeemer of the Cosmos, for granting so many mysteries to be scrutinized. To the Messiah, under Whose Light those escaping from their pride shall find shelter. To the Spirit of Life, Who continually kindles humankind's curiosity toward the Infinite.

To my wife, Sandra, for her unwavering love and support throughout this journey. Her encouragement and understanding were a constant source of strength and motivation, making this accomplishment as much hers as it is mine. To her, to my daughter, Alissa, and to my son, Yitzhak, for their kindness and renunciation before each of my applications to doctoral programs and before all my dedication to this dissertation.

To my parents, William and Aparecida, for having faith in me from the very beginning and for not letting me give up on a musical career as early as my teenage years. To my mother, whose example taught me the most invaluable principles of organization. To my father, whose example taught me the importance of commitment. To my sister, Jéssica, who, despite not being a musician, was clever and insightful enough to help me prepare for the entrance interview. To my great-grandparents Fióvo (*in memoriam*) and Sílvia (*in memoriam*) and my grandparents, Wilson (*in memoriam*), Margarida ("Didinha"), Darcy (*in memoriam*), and Maria do Carmo ("Carmem"), whose love will remain with me for as long as I live.

To Kevin and Suzete Laser, with their children and grandchildren, for all the incentives and endorsement.

To the choirs which first nourished my interest in choral singing: *Coral da FUNREI* (1996), under professor Neri Contin; *Coro de Câmara*, the Pe. José Maria Xavier Conservatory (1997), under professor Adilson Cândido; *Coro de Câmara*, the School of Music at the UFMG (2000-2002, 2007), under professors Iara Fricke Matte, Cacá Campara, and Lincoln Andrade; and *Coro Madrigale* (2005-2006), under professor Arnon Oliveira.

To all the choirs I have conducted, from whom I learned the most inestimable lessons, especially: *Coro Cantáridas*, ICB, UFMG (2001-2005); the Choir at the Izabela Hendrix Methodist Church (2002-2006); *Madrigal Mocó* (2005); *Coral Ângelus* (2006-2008); *Ars*

Nova Coral at the UFMG (2008); *Coro VivaVoz* (2007-2010); *Coro Hallel*, AMES (2008-2012); *Coro de Câmara*, the School of Music at the UEMG (2013-2014); and *Coro Acadêmico* at the UFJF (2014-2020).

To all the students whose diverse insights played a pivotal role in shaping the primary question of this dissertation: “What makes a choral piece difficult for a choir, and to what extent?” Especially those once enrolled at institutions such as the Nova Lima Music School (2005-2006), the School of Music at the UEMG (2006-2014), the Department of Music at the UFSJ (2009-2010), and the Institute of Arts and Design at the UFJF (2014-2020).

To Dr. Iara Fricke Matte (UFMG), for having introduced me to the music faculties of USP, UNICAMP, and UNESP, broadening my perspectives in academic research.

To Dr. Bruna Berbert (UFJF), Dr. Alisson Berbert (UFJF), and Dr. Marcus Medeiros (UFJF), for having made priceless corrections to the research project prior to my application to the doctoral program.

To the GEPPEVOZIA Research Group, under Dr. Wladimir Mattos’s (UNESP) coordination, for welcoming me as a newcomer at the Institute of Arts at the beginning of my doctoral studies.

To the Cogmus Research Group, under Dr. Marcos Mesquita’s (UNESP) coordination, for becoming such a stimulating environment for reflection and research in the field of Musical Cognition.

To Dr. Angelo Fernandes (UNICAMP), for his patience in listening, thoughtful advice, and generous support which went far beyond any formal obligation.

To Dr. Achille Picchi (UNESP, *in memoriam*), for his inspiration as a genuine advocate of science and education in the musical field, and for his irreplaceable contribution through the term “chorability”, which informed the concept I developed in 2023, encouraged by his book.

To Pierre Bredel, for his friendship and for the insightful sharing of experiences, encouragement, and research tools, which significantly contributed to this study.

To Guilherme Magalhães (music teacher), Michelle Flores (singer), and Sara Fraga (singer and composer), for so enthusiastically proofreading and testing the questionnaire in Portuguese prior to the expert survey.

To the highly qualified choral conductors who participated in this research, many of them internationally renowned, for their liberality in devoting a great amount of time to answering such a comprehensive questionnaire without expecting anything in return. Although their names cannot be disclosed for ethic reasons, their involvement was pivotal to the development of this work.

To Dr. Marcos Mesquita (UNESP), for having presented to me such a relevant variety of bibliography, quality references – which also came to serve as methodological models – and research tools.

To Dr. Márcio Souza (UFJF), for teaching me the foundations of principal component analysis, a tool which proved to be essential in the data analysis of this work.

To both Dr. Marcos Mesquita and Dr. Márcio Souza, for their time, patience, and friendship. Yet to both and Dr. Fábio Miguel (UNESP, *in memoriam*), for having believed in my project and accepted me as their doctoral student.

To the faculty of the Graduate Program in Music and all the technical teams at the Institute of Arts, UNESP, for their continued commitment to keeping this doctoral program running. To the people of the State of São Paulo, for upholding institutions like this as public, tuition-free, and high-quality universities.

To the Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF), for granting me a four-year leave to pursue this doctoral degree. To the Brazilian people, for believing in and supporting the qualification of their public servants, such as myself.

[...] when God created the world,
he sprinkled around a little bit of logics (and procedures)
to act as spice and bonding for his creation.

Logics and algorithms are everywhere.

D. Gabbay

(Gabbay; Siekmann, 2010, p. 767)

ABSTRACT

This investigation started from the problem of the scarcity of devices to evaluate the difficulty degree of choral pieces in the process of repertoire selection apart from ready-made catalogs provided by publishers, institutions, and composers. The objective was to develop a partial formulation of an algorithm inherent to the difficulty process, implement and deploy the model as a software prototype, and test it. As delimitation, a conceptual model was specified for mixed adult amateur choirs seeking artistic excellence in preparing *a cappella* repertoire. Mendelssohn's opuses 41, 48, 59, 88, and 100 were elected as the target repertoire due to their archetypical nature and pedagogical potential in the delimited context. Premises in programming were introduced as ground for the development of the algorithm model, and the need for work-choir relation for determining the difficulty degree was established. The literature review demonstrated that, although the difficulty assessment is not regarded as one of the most important criteria for repertoire choice, it is relevant enough for being mentioned in most titles. The target repertoire was analyzed following Jan LaRue's SHMRG structure, generating a comprehensive dataset, of which the analysis included variables selection and aggregation, as well as heatmaps and PCA. The analysis unraveled, in the context of the target repertoire, the pieces with highest, intermediate, and lowest global complexity, respectively: Op. 59, No. 2; Op. 48, No. 6; and Op. 41, No. 2. The analysis results led to the development of the algorithm input data structure, which is divided into two data categories: compositional parameters and choir's competencies. Next, an expert survey was conducted with highly qualified conductors, in which a comprehensive online questionnaire was adopted as the data-collection instrument. The data analysis of the expert survey resorted to PCA, Kendall Tau-b correlation, median analysis, line graphs, heatmaps, interrater reliability within-group index, and generalizability coefficient. Despite structural inconsistencies in the questionnaire, its effectiveness as a data-collection instrument was confirmed by the data analysis, which also validated the judgment sampling method. Several algorithm initial models were tested against the participants' opinions, regarding the estimates of mean difficulty and of rehearsal time demand, for the three previously selected songs to be prepared by each respondent's choir. The KC1.1 model was the most aligned with the participants' evaluations. The difference between the model outputs and the participants' estimates yielded, with 95% confidence, an interval of ± 4.23 points on the difficulty scale (0-100). The KC1.1 model, now available at the

Choral Calculator (www.choralcalculator.com), supplies resources – such as technical registration of choirs, for longitudinal learning assessment, and of works, for difficulty, rehearsal demand, and feasibility estimates, or for analysis – for choral conductors, teachers, students, researchers, and composers interested in the choral music field, providing statistically reliable outputs for most situations, thereby addressing the research problem.

Keywords: choral music; repertoire selection; difficulty evaluation; Mendelssohn's partsongs; mixed adult amateur choir.

RESUMO

Esta investigação partiu do problema da escassez de dispositivos para avaliar o grau de dificuldade de peças corais no processo de seleção de repertório, para além dos catálogos prontos fornecidos por editoras, instituições e compositores. O objetivo foi desenvolver uma formulação parcial de um algoritmo inerente ao processo da dificuldade, implementar o modelo como um protótipo de *software* e testá-lo. Como delimitação, estabeleceu-se um modelo conceitual para coros amadores adultos mistos em busca de excelência artística na preparação de repertório *a cappella*. Os opus 41, 48, 59, 88 e 100 de Mendelssohn foram escolhidos como repertório-alvo, devido a seu caráter arquetípico e a seu potencial pedagógico no contexto delimitado. Premissas em programação foram introduzidas como base para o desenvolvimento do modelo de algoritmo, e foi estabelecida a necessidade de uma relação obra-coro a fim de se determinar o grau de dificuldade. A revisão de literatura demonstrou que, embora a avaliação da dificuldade não seja considerada um dos critérios mais importantes na escolha de repertório, ela é relevante o suficiente para ser mencionada na maioria das publicações. O repertório-alvo foi analisado seguindo-se a estrutura SHMRG de Jan LaRue, gerando-se um extenso banco de dados, cuja análise incluiu seleção e agregação de variáveis, mapas de calor e ACP. A análise revelou, no contexto do repertório-alvo, as peças com maior, média e menor complexidade global, respectivamente: Op. 59, No. 2; Op. 48, No. 6; e Op. 41, No. 2. Os resultados da análise levaram ao desenvolvimento da estrutura de dados de entrada do algoritmo, dividida em duas categorias de dados: parâmetros composicionais e competências do coro. Em seguida, foi conduzido um *expert survey* com regentes altamente qualificados, no qual foi adotado um extenso questionário *online* como instrumento de coleta de dados. A análise desses dados recorreu a ACP, correlação de Kendall Tau-b, análise de medianas, gráficos de linha, mapas de calor, índice de confiabilidade entre avaliadores intragrupo e coeficiente de generalizabilidade. Apesar de inconsistências estruturais no questionário, sua eficácia como instrumento de coleta de dados foi confirmada pela análise de dados, que também validou o método de amostragem por julgamento. Vários modelos iniciais de algoritmo foram testados, em relação às opiniões dos participantes acerca das estimativas de dificuldade média e de demanda de tempo de ensaio, para as três canções anteriormente selecionadas serem preparadas pelo coro de cada respondente. O modelo KC1.1 foi o que apresentou maior alinhamento com as avaliações dos participantes. A diferença

entre os resultados do modelo e as estimativas dos participantes apresentou, com 95% de confiança, um intervalo de $\pm 4,23$ pontos na escala de dificuldade (0-100). O modelo KC1.1, já disponível na Calculadora Coral (www.calculadoracoral.com.br), oferece recursos – tais como registro técnico de coros, para avaliação longitudinal da aprendizagem, e de obras, para estimativas de dificuldade, demanda de ensaio e viabilidade, ou para análise – para regentes de coros, professores, estudantes, pesquisadores e compositores interessados no campo da música coral, fornecendo resultados estatisticamente confiáveis para a maioria das situações e contribuindo, portanto, no enfrentamento ao problema de pesquisa.

Palavras-chave: música coral; escolha de repertório; avaliação de dificuldade; canções polifônicas de Mendelssohn; coro amador adulto misto.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – “Choir” as a basic level category.	29
Figure 2 – Relation between a category and a conceptual model: the importance of attributes and goals of categorization.	31
Figure 3 – Relation between conceptual models and theory.	31
Figure 4 – Choral music from the perspective of this study: the choral repertoire subset inside the vocal repertoire set.	35
Figure 5 – A choral repertoire beyond the scope of this study: the infinite choral repertoire set intersecting with the vocal repertoire set.	36
Figure 6 – Temporal projection of difficulty of an activity.	52
Figure 7 – Basic rhythmic groups (BRG) derivation.	114
Figure 8 – The Q variables represented as vectors through PCA.	131
Figure 9 – The pieces of the target repertoire spread as a function of the Q variables trends through PCA.	138
Figure 10 – The pieces of the target repertoire grouped by average degree of complexity through PCA.	139
Figure 11 – The line connecting the least to the most complex pieces of the target repertoire.	142
Figure 12 – Possible difficulty established by the correspondence between compositional parameters and choir’s parameters.	144
Figure 13 – PCA of the complete expert survey with a focus on participants.	159
Figure 14 – PCA of blocks 2 and 3 with a focus on participants.	160
Figure 15 – PCA of the complete expert survey and AbCalc.	160
Figure 16 – PCA of QRelevances and AbCalcLang.	162
Figure 17 – PCA of SpecDiff (blocks 2.1.2, 2.2.2, and 2.3.2).	163
Figure 18 – PCA of blocks WCont and WComp.	164
Figure 19 – PCA of blocks RehDem, WComp, and WCont.	165
Figure 20 – PCA of blocks PrevKnowl, WComp, and WCont.	166
Figure 21 – PCA of blocks PrevKnowl and RehDem.	167
Figure 22 – WCont, WComp, and <i>xcorr</i> in a line graph.	184
Figure 23 – RehDemNorm, WCont, and WComp in a line graph.	185

Figure 24 – RehDemNorm and <i>xcorr</i> in a line graph.....	187
Figure 25 – KC1.1 model workflow.....	191
Figure 26 – KC1.1 model (mean difficulty) and the WComp and WCont blocks.	196
Figure 27 – KC1.1 model (rehearsal demand) and RehDem.	199

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 – Result of the exploratory search for choral repertoire difficulty degrees.....	60
Table 2 – Final list of the systematic literature search in the databases	67
Table 3 – Relation between each work and the sorts of choirs expressly mentioned in the text	73
Table 4 – Relation between the studies and the groups of different focuses on the search terms	76
Table 5 – List of compositional parameters mentioned in the literature	79
Table 6 – List of parameters of ability mentioned in the literature	81
Table 7 – List of choir’s traits mentioned in the literature.....	83
Table 8 – Registers, transitions, and uncomfortable ranges of amateur choir sections	103
Table 9 – Chord types in increasing order of complexity.....	108
Table 10 – Q13, 15, 16, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 27, 28 complexity degrees ordered as a function of tempo.....	133
Table 11 – Q14, 17, 18, 22, 25, 26 complexity degrees ordered as a function of tempo	134
Table 12 – Q1 to Q12 complexity degrees ordered as a function of tempo.....	135
Table 13 – Q variables complexity degrees ordered as a function of composition date	136
Table 14 – Q variables complexity degrees summed by compositional periods	136
Table 15 – Q variables complexity degrees distributed into agglomerates and ordered as a function of composition date.....	140
Table 16 – The Q variables in the pieces selected for the expert survey	142
Table 17 – Content of responses to question 176.....	155
Table 18 – Kendall Tau-b correlation between QRelevances and AbCalcLang.....	168
Table 19 – Kendall Tau-b correlation between WCont and WComp.....	172
Table 20 – Kendall Tau-b correlation between WCont and RehDem.....	173
Table 21 – Kendall Tau-b correlation between WComp and RehDem	174
Table 22 – Kendall Tau-b correlation between PrevKnowl and the blocks WCont, WComp, and RehDem.....	175
Table 23 – WCont and WComp – α and β differences and Δ variations.....	178
Table 24 – WCont and WComp – relevance analysis	181
Table 25 – $xcorr$ – arithmetic means between correlated observations in WCont and WComp	

.....	181
Table 26 – RehDemNorm – normalization of RehDem by each participant’s maximum <i>xcorr</i>	182
.....	182
Table 27 – KC1.1 model – test results for mean difficulty	194
Table 28 – KC1.1 Model – Δ variations mean for mean difficulty, excluding the choirs of participants H and K	195
Table 29 – KC1.1 model – test results for rehearsal demand	197
Table 30 – Participants H and K – questionnaire interpretation	198
Table 31 – The ε differences between KC1.1 and <i>xcorr</i>	201
Table 32 – The ε differences between KC1.1 and RehDem	201
Table 33 – KC1.1 model – margin of error and confidence interval at 95% confidence level	203
.....	203
Table 34 – Option lists for SHMRG variables	237
Table 35 – SHMRG dataset: observed, transformed, and derived variables.	240
Table 36 – The SHMRG selected variables	278
Table 37 – Option list for the Q instructions	280
Table 38 – The Q variables and instructions in their summarized and full forms	281
Table 39 – The Q indices: complexity degrees in each Q variable	283
Table 40 – Option lists for C competencies	284
Table 41 – The C competencies	285
Table 42 – C competencies rephrasing	285
Table 43 – Responses to the questionnaire (except question 176)	319
Table 44 – Responses to the text-free question	323
Table 45 – Notes and regions – standardization	325
Table 46 – Range limits, according to Table 8 – MIDI values	325
Table 47 – Notes and regions – conversion into MIDI values	326
Table 48 – Calculated choir abilities (AbCalc)	327
Table 49 – Means of the choir abilities in languages	329
Table 50 – Total rehearsal demand calculated (RehDem)	331

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AbCalc	Abilities Calculation
AbCalcLang	Abilities Calculation of Languages
ACF	Abilities Calculation Form
CI	Confidence Interval
CRF	Choir's Registration Form
CRV	Choir's Registration Viewer
IQR	Interquartile Range
MCF	Main Calculation Form
ME	Margin of error
No.	Number
Op.	Opus or Opuses
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
PrevKnowl	Previous Knowledge
QICF	Q Indices Calculation Form
QRelevances	Question about relevance
Qrt	Quartile
RehDem	Rehearsal Demand
RehDemNorm	Rehearsal Demand Normalization
SHMRG	Sound, Harmony, Melody, Rhythm, and Growth
SpecDiff	Specific Difficulties
SVRF	Selected Variables Registration Form
SVRV	Selected Variables Registration Viewer
v.	Variable or variables
WComp	Works Comparison
WCont	Work Context
WRF	Work Registration Form
WRV	Work Registration Viewer

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\tilde{x}$	Sample median
x_i	Each individual observation
$\bar{x}$	Sample mean
Σ	Summation
i	The position of an observation in the series
μ	Population mean
n	Number of observations in a column
N	Total number of observations in the population
s	Sample standard deviation
s^2	Sample variance
σ	Population standard deviation
σ^2	Population variance
z	Z-score
H_0	Null hypothesis
τ	Kendall Tau correlation coefficient
A_c	The number of available categories in a variable or question
G	Generalizability coefficient
r_{wg}	Interrater reliability within group index
$s_{\bar{x}}$	Sample standard error
σ_{μ}	Population standard error
t	Student's critical value
$\bar{x}_{corr}$	Correlated estimates mean

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	21
1.1	Problem, hypothesis, and objective.....	22
1.2	Scope	24
1.3	Stages and explanatory notes	26
2	THEORETICAL FOUNDATION	28
2.1	Choral music.....	28
2.1.1	Conceptualization process	28
2.1.2	Vocality, chorality, and chorability	32
2.1.3	Amateur choral ideal	37
2.1.4	Target repertoire	41
2.2	Difficulty	47
2.2.1	Algorithm premises	47
2.2.2	Work-choir relation	48
2.2.3	Time.....	51
2.2.4	Basic formulation	52
2.2.5	Measurement scale	54
2.2.6	Implications.....	56
3	LITERATURE REVIEW	59
3.1	Exploratory search	60
3.1.1	Narrative review	61
3.2	Systematic literature search	64
3.2.1	Narrative review	68
3.2.2	Systematic review	71
3.2.3	Theory-based review	77
4	METHODOLOGY	84
4.1	Data classification.....	84
4.2	Statistical processing and visualization	86
4.2.1	Quartiles, median, and outliers	87

4.2.2	Variance, standard deviation, and z-score	88
4.2.3	Dimensionality reduction and data visualization	90
4.2.4	Correlation test	91
4.2.5	Reliability and uncertainty measures	93
5	ANALYSIS OF THE TARGET REPERTOIRE	97
5.1	Construction of the SHMRG instrument and dataset	98
5.1.1	Item information.....	101
5.1.2	Sound.....	102
5.1.3	Harmony	106
5.1.4	Melody.....	110
5.1.5	Rhythm	112
5.1.6	Growth.....	115
5.2	SHMRG variables selection	117
5.2.1	Sound.....	119
5.2.2	Harmony	121
5.2.3	Melody.....	123
5.2.4	Rhythm	124
5.2.5	Growth.....	126
5.3	The Q variables, instructions and indices.....	127
5.4	Analysis of the Q indices	131
5.5	Implications	143
6	EXPERT SURVEY	146
6.1	Structure.....	147
6.1.1	Sample of participants	147
6.1.2	Data-collection instrument.....	149
6.2	Data analysis.....	152
6.2.1	Discrepancies and inconsistencies	153
6.2.2	The text-free question.....	155
6.2.3	Principal component analysis (PCA)	158
6.2.4	Kendall Tau-b correlation.....	167
6.2.5	Δ variations; α and β differences; and <i>xcorr</i>	176
6.2.6	Line graphs.....	184

7	THE CHORAL CALCULATOR	188
7.1	Workflow and scope	189
7.2	Algorithm testing.....	192
7.3	Results.....	194
7.4	Margin of error.....	200
8	CONCLUSION.....	206
	REFERENCES	215
	GLOSSARY	232
	APPENDIX A – SHMRG DATASET: OBSERVED, TRANSFORMED, AND DERIVED VARIABLES.....	237
	APPENDIX B – SHMRG SELECTED VARIABLES	278
	APPENDIX C – THE Q INSTRUCTIONS AND VARIABLES	280
	APPENDIX D – THE Q INDICES	283
	APPENDIX E – THE C COMPETENCIES.....	284
	APPENDIX F – THE EXPERT SURVEY TERMS.....	286
	APPENDIX G – THE QUESTIONNAIRE	291
	APPENDIX H – RESPONSES TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE.....	319
	APPENDIX I – NOTE NAMES AND REGIONS STANDARDIZATION	324
	APPENDIX J – CHOIR’S CALCULATED ABILITIES (ABCALC, ABCALCLANG)	327
	APPENDIX K – CALCULATED REHEARSAL DEMAND (REHDEM)	330

1 INTRODUCTION

When a choral conductor – especially a conductor of amateur choirs – moves from country, city, or even rehearsal room, he is very likely also changing choirs. If but he does not move to another place and remains with the same choir for several years, it is almost certain that there will be a turnover in the body of singers and that, as a result, the musical possibilities of the group will undergo several transformations. The choral conductor, therefore, must always be prepared to “play” a new “instrument”, an “instrument” with different sounds, “fingering”,... and ability. Part of such condition is always being able to choose a repertoire which corresponds to the idiom of his “instrument” – a choir in general and his current choir in particular. It is, therefore, mainly the technical-expressive skills of the “instrument”, the choir, and not of the “instrumentalist”, the conductor, which will determine the limit to be respected or challenged for repertoire selection. Moreover, respecting or challenging the limit means being aware of how difficult or easy a choral work is for the group.

In order to facilitate the conductor’s work, it is possible to find repertoire lists organized by difficulty levels in catalogs of publishers, of institutions related to choral music, of composers, and in choral anthologies. However, substantial inconsistency has been observed when relating the classification methods adopted by various sources, as previously pointed out by Mehaffey (2005, p. 84) and as will be seen further in this investigation. It should be noted that few devices provide the choral conductor with an objective and auxiliary means to grade, by difficulty, the repertoire apart from such lists – Mehaffey is a notable exception. On that account, when the conductor does not intend to assemble the entire repertoire from ready-made lists, he is often left to make a subjective classification – which, by its nature, may demand significant time for reflection, as well as a great deal of knowledge and practical experience. Consequently, an awareness of the limit to be respected or challenged in choosing repertoire may be somewhat blurred for young conductors or conducting students.

Given the languages which have emerged in the digital age, the current easiness of access to a virtually infinite profusion of choral repertoire, and the increasingly multiple demands placed on the conductor’s role, it is urgent to develop devices which allow a conductor – especially the less experienced – to evaluate new works for his choir in a faster and more accurate way, while contributing to an effective growth of the group.

This doctoral investigation started in 2021, during the COVID-19 pandemic. In those times, thousands of choirs worldwide – and Brazil was not different – had to reinvent themselves to survive social distancing. Contact with new technological resources became urgent, and many singers and conductors had to learn to deal with tools and software which had not even appeared in the history of choral music until then. Thousands of choirs went on to produce multitrack videos, rediscovering their repertoire and exploring both the new expressive possibilities and the numerous limitations of the new normal – as exemplified by the Academic Choir of UFJF (Sottani; Magalhães; Arriel, 2021). Assuming that the contingencies generated by the pandemic were temporary, there was, on the other hand, the expectation that the knowledge and techniques constructed in such time were perennial.

The fact is that choral singing was – as it should never cease to be – always very fond of the concreteness of the paper with staves and of the bibliography, of the pencil and the eraser, of the chair and the acoustics of the rehearsal room, of the physical contact between singers, conductors, pianists, and voice teachers. Nevertheless, the avalanche of innovations in the current century certainly drives an adaptation, or rather, an aggregation of new perspectives. Therefore, this study contributes to such aggregation by offering a novel methodological resource for conductors, one which introduces technological innovation and holds the potential to yield accurate results.

1.1 Problem, hypothesis, and objective

As already mentioned, few devices are able to assist the conductor – or the editors – with grading the choral repertoire by difficulty and which may be applied directly to choral works apart from the available lists. In addition, throughout the literature review (Chapter 3), it will be explained that most parameters associated with difficulty in the literature are merely alluded to, without explanations of how much or in what manner they contribute to the construction of the sense of difficulty. The discrepancy between the importance attributed to difficulty and the level of depth in the subject points to the need for more thorough exploration of the topic in the context of choral music. Even beyond the musical context, more broadly, Gallego-Durán, Molina-Carmona, and Llorens-Largo (2018) state that difficulty is rarely addressed to as a specific object of study.

The hypothesis is adopted throughout this study that there is an algorithm inherent to the difficulty process, in which the input data structure is based on the compositional elements of a score and the competencies of a choir, while the output data are the mean difficulty level of the work and a predicted rehearsal demand for the group to prepare it. Beyond investigating this hypothesis, **the objective** of this work is to develop a formulation of such algorithm – even if partial – implement and deploy it as a software prototype and test it.

Attentive to the previously referred lack of discussion, the present investigation explores the nature of the difficulty perceived by a choir in relation to a piece and describes part of the logic behind such perception of difficulty, unraveling the input data structure – that is, the parameters – for an algorithm of the difficulty. The study isolates the work-choir relation and highlights compositional elements (Appendix C) and choir's competencies (Appendix E) as parameters of difficulty. For the sake of discussion objectivity, any external factors beyond these two groups of parameters – such as rehearsal room lighting or temperature and everyday setbacks experienced by the singers – are disregarded, although they may certainly and actually influence the sense of difficulty.

Since the investigation intended to yield a product and since such product bears applicability, this study may be categorized as **applied developmental research** (Thomas, 2021, p. 59). The reasoning does justice to the **hypothetico-deductive method** (p. 26-29), and the hypothesis draws primarily on a diffuse choral theory partially codified in Chapter 2 and which has been both cultural and scientific, in Zbikowski's terms (2002, p. 114-117). Hence, the hypothesis may be approached with systematized concepts and tested by analyzing data collected through musical analysis (Chapter 5) and an expert survey (Chapter 6).

Indeed, a prototypical algorithm has been achieved and implemented as a web application, namely, the [Choral Calculator](#). The software is expected to improve the processes of repertoire selection, rehearsal planning, and even concert programming. At the same time, the use of the software may favor the systematic improvement of the musical and vocal abilities of the individual singers and the choir as a whole, as well as their learning assessment. As will be stated in section 5.5, even if a conductor does not trust the algorithm results, the forms of the Choral Calculator still hold pedagogical value as diagnostic snapshots of the choir's current state within a longitudinal assessment process.

1.2 Scope

The [Choral Calculator](#) will under no circumstances replace the conductor in the process of repertoire choice or even in the classification of works by difficulty degrees. Even if an inexperienced conductor uses it, the software will only help in one of the stages of the repertoire selection process. However, it has the potential to optimize and even improve the quality of such process significantly. The conductor will still be the judge of the repertoire in the phase prior to the first rehearsal and, through musical analysis, must be able to appropriate each work chosen in all its intricacies. Here, Picchi's definition of analysis is valid:

In a greater depth, analyzing may mean unraveling a functioning, revealing a creation in its constructive essence, in the most intimate of its mechanism of existence. [...] analyzing is for understanding how something is done, as much as it is for how something works, how it is structured, realized, developed.¹ (Picchi, 2019, p. 59, our translation)

The analysis applied to repertoire choice requires less depth than the analysis applied to interpretation. After all, both sorts of analysis may have performance in mind, but the first case requires a look at feasibility, while the second at communication. However, even deeming such difference, the conductor, in both cases, will need to know compositional resources and analytical techniques. For the less experienced conductor, the Choral Calculator will act as a sort of accelerator of the analysis applied to the repertoire choice.

Picchi (2019, p. 132) proposes a process for the art song² rendition, in which singer and pianist go all the way together, from the initial reading, through the analysis, up to the performance. In the case of choral music, such a detailed path, involving all the participants, would be highly time-consuming if carried out for every piece, might lead to logistical problems, and might unpleasantly highlight gaps in theoretical and practical training among singers, in addition to possible divergences of interest. Consequently, the person ultimately responsible for the analytical-interpretative process should be the conductor, who is to be followed by both the choir and the collaborative pianist or the ensemble of instrumentalists. Nevertheless, the Choral Calculator will not reflect difficulties posed by the work to the

¹ “Em maior profundidade, analisar pode significar desvendar um funcionamento, revelar uma criação em sua essência construtiva, no mais íntimo de seu mecanismo de existência. [...] analisar está para compreender como é feito, tanto quanto está para como funciona, como está estruturado, realizado, desenvolvido.”

² “*Canção de câmara*”, in Portuguese.

conductor, as he is expected to have an in-depth understanding of the work before the first rehearsal.

This study is carried out in the context of Brazilian mixed adult amateur choirs which seek to prepare original *a cappella* repertoire for choir in the soprano-alto-tenor-bass (SATB) formation, a conceptual model of a basic-level category – for explanation, see Chapter 2. The lexeme “choir” refers immediately and simply to a group of people singing, likely distributed among female and male voices. In the case of the basic-level category “choir”, the attributes of a conceptual model might be: voices of individuals of both sexes, especially adults, and only their voices; mandatory distribution into soprano, alto, tenor, and bass; and participation more by the desire than by the obligation to sing. Section 2.1.3 brings a more detailed specification of such conceptual model.

This study also focuses on the repertoire represented by scores in which: the rhythmic figures of sound always indicate defined pitches and durations; the text always involves the formation of syllables with consonants and vowels; the rhythmic figures of sound always correspond to the duration of the vowels and not of the consonants or any other type of sound. Matching such criteria, Felix Mendelssohn’s (Bartholdy, 1877a, b, c, d, e) non-sacred *a cappella* soprano-alto-tenor-bass (SATB) partsongs originally published in cycles were elected as the target repertoire, given their archetypical nature concerning the conceptual model of choir delimited above and in section 2.1.3. For more about the importance of Mendelssohn’s partsongs in the context of this investigation, see section 2.1.4.

All such specification is merely a delimitation proposal and is not intended to summarily exclude from the universal choral repertoire any other so-called “choral” work which a choir may adequately perform. This study does not either exclude further application to other categories of choirs, and it is intended to be continued in order to make its application more straightforward in other contexts.

This author’s background in choral singing was, from the very beginning, equally formed under the leadership of both female and male conductors. These mentors’ perspectives regarding musical practice – careful, meticulous, diligent, expressive, creative, eclectic, intense, responsible, deeply knowledgeable, extraordinarily rich in interpretation, powerful – profoundly and decisively shaped the author’s point of view. Therefore, it is difficult to imagine a choral world without women participating in every stage of production, from

composition to conducting, and inevitably through singing in the choir sections.

In addition to meeting the delimitation criteria, Felix Mendelssohn's partsongs represent an era when adult women finally began to gain visibility as a collective – or rather, to be heard, even if only through singing in mixed choirs. Significant advances would still be necessary over the next 200 years, as they remain necessary nowadays. Even Fanny Mendelssohn, who originated the genre “Songs without words”, herself was encouraged not to publish her works under her own name, upon her brother Felix's recommendation (Taruskin, 2010, p. 183). Nevertheless, the choral repertoire published at the time represents progress concerning the acceptance of a vocal sort of expressiveness which had previously been silenced by society.

1.3 Stages and explanatory notes

Engaging with varied fields such as programming, philosophy of value, musical analysis, vocal technique, and musical cognition, the following stages are reported throughout this dissertation:

- a) Chapter 2: Developing a theory which helps to define the concepts of choral music and of difficulty to be approached, as well as delimiting the investigation.
- b) Chapter 3: Reviewing the literature to examine how the theme of choral repertoire difficulty has been explored.
- c) Chapter 4: Proposing the main methods of data analysis to be applied in the subsequent stages.
- d) Chapter 5: Preparing and analyzing a dataset with information from the scores of the target repertoire to determine: the best compositional parameters (Appendix C) for an analysis applied to repertoire selection and their respective parameters of choir's competencies (Appendix E); and the most representative pieces of such repertoire in terms of degrees of compositional complexity.
- e) Chapter 6: Conducting an expert survey based on the selected compositional parameters and their respective parameters of choir's ability in order to gather material and arguments to test and validate the [Choral Calculator](#) algorithm.
- f) Chapter 7: Outlining the Choral Calculator structure and functioning, as well as testing and validating its algorithm against the expert survey data.

In the interest of transparency and clarification, the following notes must be highlighted:

- a) Over the course of this work, all mentions to “Choral Calculator” should direct the reader to the website www.choralcalculator.com – or its corresponding translations into Spanish (www.calculadoracoral.com) or Portuguese (www.calculadoracoral.com.br).
- b) In this volume, the terms “dissertation” and “thesis” respectively refer to doctoral and master’s degree works, following the usage in the United States³. An exception is the “References” section, where each term is preserved as it appears in the original publication.
- c) Throughout this work, the simple noun “score” will exclusively refer to a musical score and will not be used in any other sense. Additionally, the word “score” will appear as part of the compound noun “z-score”, which should be interpreted as a statistical concept.
- d) The Excel functions referred across this dissertation correspond to the Brazilian Portuguese version of the software.
- e) All VBA scripts and almost all R scripts used across the investigation were written with the assistance of artificial intelligence (ChatGPT, OpenAI), of which the suggestions were analyzed and adjusted by this author according to specific needs. Exception are the R scripts used in section “5.4 Analysis of the Q indices”, which were developed by Prof. Dr. Márcio Luís Moreira de Souza, joint advisor of this work.
- f) Portions of this dissertation were previously published in Portuguese in the author’s papers (Sottani, 2023, 2025) during his doctoral studies, and more is intended to be published in due course.
- g) The expert survey (Chapter 6) was conducted after approval by the Committee for Human Subjects Research at the Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF)⁴, under CAAE 76870323.6.0000.5147, and was approved by the Committee on March 18, 2024, under Review No. 6.709.957.

³ The terminology for academic degrees varies across countries. Most Romance-language countries – e.g., Portuguese-, Spanish-, French-, or Italian-speaking – use cognates of “thesis” to denote “doctoral work”. This aligns with the Brazilian usage of “*tese*” for a doctorate and “*dissertação*” for a master’s degree. The term “thesis” is also commonly used for a doctoral work in most English-speaking countries, particularly in British and Commonwealth contexts.

⁴ <https://www2.ufjf.br/comitedeetica/>

8 CONCLUSION

This study started from the problem of the scarcity of devices to evaluate the degree of difficulty of the choral repertoire apart from ready-made catalogs provided by publishers, institutions, and composers. The problem is that difficulty is one relevant criterion for choosing repertoire, and its evaluation has been mainly undertaken through subjective or non-systematic procedures. The primary objective of this investigation was to build and test a software for aiding the choral conductor in assessing the difficulty of a piece faster and more accurately. Such objective has been accomplished with the deployment of the [Choral Calculator](#).

Chapter 2 introduces the concepts of “vocality” and “chorality” as voice characteristics. Additionally, the term “**chorability**” was coined, standing for a score characteristic determined by: (1) the condition of a musical part to be suitable for a choir section through peculiar accommodations unifying voice line, verbal text, and text-music relation in a single environment; and, (2) at the same time, in the case of polyphony, the condition of the work includes lines which maintain their chorability while performed together with other lines.

Subsequently, a historical overview made a parallel between the beginnings of the mixed adult amateur choirs from the late 18th- to the early 19th-century Europe with the 20th and 21st-century Brazilian secular amateur choral movement. It was demonstrated that, in terms of style, the latter was a new musical branch yielding several excellent choirs seeking the same *a cappella* choral ideal constructed by choirs from the Western Northern Hemisphere throughout the 19th century. General specifications of such choirs were listed, defining the **conceptual model** to which this investigation first applies: adult; mixed; amateur; led by specialized conductors; and seeking excellence in *a cappella* SATB repertoire.

It was argued that, with proper vocal training, the contemporary amateur choirs are able to prepare the same repertoire and sound close to the pioneering groups – which implies that such repertoire bears a pedagogical potential to drive the current choirs to the excellence ideal addressed above. Besides bearing chorability, one genre comprised in such repertoire was described as matching the possibilities of the conceptual model of choir specified: the *a cappella* SATB secular partsong. Given their **archetypical nature**, Mendelssohn’s opuses 41, 48, 59, 88, and 100 (Bartholdy, 1877a, b, c, d, e), were elected as representing the genre and

the style, becoming the **target repertoire** of this investigation.

In addition, Chapter 2 explored the nature of difficulty in choral music aiming at representing the input data partial structure of an algorithm which captures the process through which the sense of difficulty develops in the **work-choir relation**. Hence, a piece is not difficult or easy in itself: it should be analyzed as a function of the abilities and traits of a choir and the choristers. Programming and philosophy of value literature support the idea that the difficulty process may be considered an entity intrinsically containing the referred algorithm. Therefore, the written representation of the algorithm may be used to predict the degree of difficulty of a piece for a choir and the ideal rehearsal time for the choir to prepare the piece.

The concepts of instant, global, and **mean difficulty** were established. For the latter two, basic mathematical formulations were developed following an accumulative model, for which a **predictive model** was proposed as an alternative. In other words, a model which treats the time needed by a choir to prepare a piece as an output rather than an input. It was clarified that such time estimate refers to an ideal timeframe for maintaining the group's interest. Gradual **measurement scales** were established from 0 to 100 degrees for mean difficulty and from 0 to 11 months for rehearsal time demand. Any value above should be considered unfeasible. It means that, while difficulty may be represented within a gradual scale, feasibility is binary, having only two possible values: yes, or not.

In Chapter 3, an exploratory search on the internet confirmed a substantial inconsistency in relating the methods adopted by the various sources for classifying choral repertoire by degree of difficulty, which supported the urgency of developing the subject. A bibliographic search was conducted in academic databases, focusing on criteria for choosing choral repertoire for adult amateur choirs. The selected literature demonstrates that the process of choosing repertoire should be organic and that several criteria beyond the difficulty might and should be adopted, with emphasis on the artistic merit and the pedagogical merit of the works. The bibliography attests that difficulty is **not** the most important criterion of all. Still, several authors give special attention to the difficulty as a criterion, mentioning that a balance is necessary to not overload the choir, either in rehearsal planning or concert programming.

Some authors suggest ways to guide the process of choosing repertoire, such as orientation by: predefined lists; elements of musical structure; existing genres and forms; sonority likely to be achieved; choristers' strengths and weaknesses; diverse traditions of choral practice;

choristers' participation in the choice process; choristers' sociocultural context; and audience's appeal. Several authors offer models or checklists to aid the selection process but not necessarily to assess the degree of difficulty of the works.

A systematic review of the works studied in Chapter 3 confirmed a need for more research on adult amateur choirs concerning the process of choosing repertoire. The review also revealed that the vast majority of works which specify criteria for such process cite difficulty as a criterion. Of these studies, three-quarters relate different parameters to the problem of difficulty. Thus, even if difficulty is not the most important criterion, it is noticed that it is important enough to be addressed in more than half of the selected works.

Ending Chapter 3, a theory-based review unraveled parameters associated with the idea of difficulty by the selected authors. The parameters related to composition were organized according to LaRue's (1992) contributing and combining elements in the following categories: sound; harmony; melody; rhythm; and growth. LaRue addresses such structure by **the acronym SHMRG**, which was also adopted in this investigation. The distribution of the parameters of ability was oriented by Heffernan's (1982) principle of separation between vocal and choral techniques in addition to Davids and LaTour's (2012) order of approaching vocal techniques.

It was noticed that some parameters appear with a wording sometimes more general, sometimes more specific, and that some other parameters appear only in a general way. As a rule, the parameters are only mentioned by the authors, without very detailed explanations about the extent or the manner in which they influence the difficulty process. It was still detected in the literature the absence of also relevant parameters to evaluate the repertoire difficulty, such as "metric" and "harmonic rhythm".

Chapter 4 introduced the **subsequent stages** of the investigation: analysis of the target repertoire; expert survey; algorithm modeling; and algorithm testing. The expert survey and the algorithm modeling would unfold in parallel, both based on the repertoire analysis. Finally, the algorithm would be tested against the expert survey data. Chapter 4 followed presenting the statistical resources which would pervade the data analysis in the subsequent chapters. Having presented classifications of qualitative and quantitative data types, the chapter describes technically the calculation of quartiles, median, outliers, variance, standard deviation and z-score. Next, the importance is detailed of principal component analysis,

heatmaps, and Kendall Tau-b correlation test. Finally, the concepts and basic calculations are explained of interrater reliability within-group index, generalizability coefficient and margin of error.

In order to list parameters for the input data structure of an algorithm of the difficulty, Chapter 5 then analyzed the target repertoire defined in Chapter 2, that is, the five cycles of Mendelssohn's partsongs. The data were collected following LaRue's (1992) SHMRG structure. A worksheet was prepared for gathering data and was named "SHMRG instrument", resulting in the "SHMRG dataset" (Appendix A).

The data gathered were analyzed from the perspective of their **feasibility and effectiveness** in the process of repertoire selection and in the expert survey. Many variables were found to be very time-consuming and were disregarded for the subsequent stages of the investigation. The remaining 74 variables comprised the set of **SHMRG selected variables** (Appendix B) and brought balance to the category "rhythm" in relation to the category "sound" – contrasting the lack of detail about "rhythm" in the literature studied.

Relations observed among the SHMRG selected variables induced their aggregation into 28 **Q variables**, the verbal manifestation of which are the **Q instructions** (Appendix C). Due to their nature, the Q variables implicitly preserve the property of the SHMRG selected variables of balancing the approach to the category "rhythm" compared to "sound". It is worth noting that the mathematical formulation of the Q variables is entirely free of nominal data. When such data are present in the set of the SHMRG selected variables, they are merely counted and thus derived into ratio data. Once data from the SHMRG dataset were combined in the Q variables, they yielded the **Q indices** (Appendix D).

The Q indices passed by a principal component analysis (PCA) in order to yield two-dimensional plots. Analyses of the plots allowed for establishing a relation between most Q variables and tempo. Indeed, tempo was found so connected with many other variables that it would be unnecessary to ask about its complexity separately in a process aiming at the mean difficulty calculation. Therefore, the algorithm will need tempo information input solely for rehearsal demand estimation.

Another finding was that the Q variables relating to voice registers and transitions do not align with each other, nor with tempo, nor is there any other alignment pattern. Which confirmed the need to ask about them separately and not grouping the choral parts or the choir sections

into one question in the process of repertoire difficulty assessment related to voice registers. Once validated, the 28 Q variables were used to deduce 35 corresponding choir's **C competencies** (Appendix E).

Additionally, the repertoire analysis revealed its best three pieces for representing the diversity of complexity profiles, pieces which would be addressed to in the subsequent expert survey. Namely, Op. 59, No. 2, was found to be the piece with greater variability in standard deviation; Op. 41, No. 2, the one with the least variability; and Op. 48, No. 6, the intermediate piece.

Chapter 6 reported the **expert survey** conduction, according to the project approved by the Ethics Committee for Human Subjects Research at the Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF)⁶². The purpose was to record highly qualified choral conductors' perceptions about the difficulty within the relation between a choir and a choral piece. The study resorted to judgment sampling, that is, the participants were individually chosen from the population based on specific criteria (section 6.1.1). The data-collection instrument, i.e., the questionnaire (Appendix G) incorporated the C competencies and the Q instructions as questions, which were organized in three blocks: the first, about a non-identified actual choir which the participant had conducted; the second, about each of the three pieces selected from the target repertoire; and the third, about the relevance of the Q instructions in determining the difficulty degree of a choral piece.

After outlining the expert survey design, Chapter 6 exposed a series of structural problems observed in the questionnaire during data analysis, especially concerning the diversity of scales among the question blocks. Subsequently, the only open-ended question was addressed. In their answers, several participants offered additional elements to be considered in the repertoire selection process. Nevertheless, the analysis emphasized that this investigation focused on technical factors to specifically estimate, in such process, the difficulty of a work for a choir. Thus, more subjective aesthetic or interpretative aspects, while indeed relevant, were intentionally left out due to the **deterministic nature** of the algorithm developed.

In the analysis of the remaining questions of the expert survey, the findings were presented according to each analytical resource utilized, chiefly: PCA; Kendall Tau-b correlation; the

⁶² <https://www2.ufjf.br/comitedeetica/>

median; and line graphs. The very low correlation between each participant's prior knowledge and the other variables indicated that, overall, whether or not the participant was acquainted with the piece did not systematically affect the assignment of difficulty or rehearsal time, contributing to **validating the sample quality**. Even in face of sporadic discrepancies in application of different scales and potential inconsistencies in the questionnaire responses, there was remarkable convergence regarding the difficulty order of the three songs: **Op. 41, No. 2 < Op. 48, No. 6 < Op. 59, No. 2** – which aligns with their complexity order established after the repertoire analysis.

The expert survey responses support such progression in a statistically significant way, indicating that most participants indeed considered the choir abilities when evaluating the demands of each piece, rather than other factors which might be deemed universal. The estimated rehearsal time follows the same pattern, although some correlations showed lower significance due to the open-ended and potentially infinite nature of the scale in minutes. Still, the overall alignment suggests that the conductors consistently interpreted the concepts involved.

Regarding the compositional elements and the choirs' abilities, the correlation analyses revealed an inverse trend: for the most part, when a technical-compositional aspect was well mastered by the choir, the participant tended to assign lower relevance to that aspect in determining the difficulty degree of the work. Some exceptions emerged but, in most cases, they were attributed to sporadic differences in interpretation or to situations in which the conductor, instead of focusing on "difficulty", might have considered "relevance", that is, a criterion to explore the group's potential. Overall, it became clear that there are no fixed weights applicable to all choirs for each compositional element: each variable must be weighted according to the competencies actually present in the group.

The concept of **Δ variations** was established, which indirectly captures the participant's opinion on the inherent complexity of each score, instead of the degree of difficulty which relates the score to the choir. The analysis of the medians of the Δ variations reinforced the perception of an **almost linear increase** in complexity among the three songs. As a result, the analysis validated the selection of the songs for the expert survey, as well as the method adopted to choose them, from the SHMRG instrument through the Q variables, to the PCA of the Q indices. Furthermore, the analysis confirmed the conductors' ability to consistently assess the relative difficulty of the works, validating the methods for selecting the sample of

participants.

Finally, the line graphs made visible both the cases of internal consistency – in which the difficulty and rehearsal time estimates keep a clear proportion for the same participant – and the sporadic discrepancies of specific participants. Overall, the analysis highlighted the conceptual soundness of the proposal to assess the difficulty of a work based on the choir's abilities. In addition, it revealed where future adjustments to the questionnaire might enhance the reliability and clarity of the responses – for instance, by standardizing scales and instructions to minimize interpretative confusion and reduce outliers.

Chapter 7 described the **Choral Calculator** and reported its algorithm testing. After a chronology of the development process, the scope of the application was explained, as well as its functioning was outlined, while divided into the [Main Module](#) and the [Researcher Module](#). The input data structure draws directly on the findings from the repertoire analysis in Chapter 5. The Main Module is grounded in the Q instructions (Appendix C) and the C competencies (Appendix E), and its process returns the mean difficulty degree of a work for a choir and the estimate of the rehearsal time demand for the choir to prepare the work. The Researcher Module, in turn, follows two paths. One is based on the SHMRG selected variables (Appendix B) and returns the Q indices of a work. The other is based on the C competencies and returns the ability degrees of a choir in each Q variable.

The algorithm was then tested against the expert survey data. Out of the several initial models, the **KC1.1 model** was the most aligned with the participants' evaluations about mean difficulty degree and rehearsal demand estimate for the three selected partsongs and their respective choirs. All tests of the initial models and the KC1.1 showed discrepancies for the choirs of two specific participants. Statistical analysis indicated that, when such participants were excluded, the **median of the Δ variations** of the KC1.1 model results matched the median of the Δ variations found for the participants' opinions. In other words, for most of the sample, the distribution of the KC1.1 model results is quite close to the distribution of the participants' opinions in relation to the difficulty of the works and the rehearsal demand.

Specifically regarding rehearsal demand, there were minor divergences in relation to four other participants, given that the model tends to overestimate this aspect. This, however, was considered safer than underestimating and leading to insufficient rehearsal planning. In addition, it was noticed that the tests resorted to the RIAS Kammerchor (Mendelssohn, 2008)

rendition to specify the tempo of the pieces while the expert survey participants did not. While tempo does not impact the mean difficulty calculation, it does impact the rehearsal demand. Therefore, the Choral Calculator is expected to yield more accurate rehearsal demand estimates in real-world since it will resort to the user's decision on tempo.

To assess the reliability of model KC1.1, the **margin of error** was calculated by comparing the mean difficulty between the participants' opinions and the algorithm results. A margin of ± 4.23 points on a scale from 0 to 100 was found for the mean difficulty, which is deemed acceptable given the subjectivity involved. As for rehearsal demand, the margin of error was ± 70 minutes, which may be quite impactful when the set of works in the sample is considered very easy and thus requires less rehearsal time. This variation largely reflects how each participant of the expert survey or user of the Choral Calculator inputs the data, possibly adopting personal criteria which diverge from the listed parameters.

Again, the difference between the Choral Calculator and the participants' evaluations regarding rehearsal demand is **not the result of a miscalculation** by the algorithm but rather stems from the source of the tempo data used at the beginning of the process. Had the participants been asked about the metronome in the expert survey, and their responses used as inputs in the Choral Calculator, the margin of error would very likely have been smaller.

In summary, KC1.1 provides a **statistically reliable result for most situations** and proves suitable for estimating the difficulty and rehearsal time of choral repertoire. The main remaining challenge concerns cases in which participants underestimate or overestimate the choir's competencies in ways not anticipated by the algorithm. Pending issues include: comparing the results of the predictive and accumulative models described in section 2.2.4; defining the range of mean difficulty levels which keep the group's interest, as proposed in section 2.2.5; and formulating the notion of repertoire viability as a whole rather than a single piece in isolation. Tests relating choirs to their actual repertoire and comparing the results with the singers' and conductors' opinion might contribute to such developments.

At the moment of this dissertation completion, the KC1.1 model of the Choral Calculator remains limited to the stylistic scope of the target repertoire. Nevertheless, the software functionalities may be applied to repertoire from other periods, provided the compositional characteristics of a piece are broadly aligned with the repertoire addressed in this study.

Beyond estimating difficulty and rehearsal time demand, the application may serve as: a

structured storage of technical information on works and choirs; a diagnostic instrument for capturing snapshots in longitudinal assessments of a choir's learning progress over time; a consistent framework for formative self-evaluation and reflection by choral conductors; an analytical tool for researchers, teachers, and students in the choral music field; and a source of guidance for composers aiming to enhance the chorability of their repertoire.

This investigation provides solid results, although its practical repercussions are only beginning to be explored. Given its consistency, the lists of SHMRG selected variables, Q instructions and C competencies offered here, together with the public availability of the Choral Calculator, make a significant contribution to the field of choral conducting and may be employed by conductors in the processes of repertoire selection, rehearsal planning, concert programming, and evidence-based assessment of learning.

REFERENCES

- ACADEMIA BRASILEIRA DE MÚSICA. **ABM**: Academia Brasileira de Música, 2023. Sobre a Academia Brasileira de Música: História. Available at: <https://abmusica.org.br/sobre-a-abm/>. Access on: 19 abr. 2023.
- ALAN SIMMONS MUSIC – CHORAL SHEET MUSIC FOR CHOIRS & SCHOOLS. **Alan Simmons Music**, 2022. Explanation of our difficulty ratings. Available at: <https://alansimmonsmusic.com/about-us/difficulty-levels-explained/>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.
- ALMEIDA, Matheus Cruz Paes de. Escolhendo o repertório coral: uma tarefa de regentes? **Revista Música Hodie**, Goiânia, v. 16, n. 2, 2017. DOI 10.5216/mh.v16i2.45212. Available at: <https://revistas.ufg.br/musica/article/view/45212>. Access on: 27 abr. 2023.
- ALWES, Chester L. **A history of western choral music**. New York: Oxford University Press, 2016. v. 2: Romanticism through the Avant-Garde.
- APFELSTADT, Hilary. First things first: selecting repertoire. **Music Educators Journal**, by MENC: The National Association for Music Education, [Reston (VA)], v. 87, n. 1, p. 19-46, 2000. DOI 10.2307/3399672. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3399672>. Access on: 17 Aug. 2020.
- BARROW, Lee G. Programming rehearsals for student success. **Music Educators Journal**, pela MENC: The National Association for Music Education, [Reston (VA)], v. 81, n. 2, p. 26-28, 1994. DOI 10.2307/3398810. Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3398810>. Access on: 4 May 2023.
- BARTHOLDY, Felix Mendelssohn. **Op. 041, Sechs Lieder für Sopran, Alt, Tenor und Bass**: im Freien zu singen (erstes Heft). Leipzig: Breitkopf & Härtel, 1877a. Score. Available at: <https://imslp.org/wiki/Special:ImagefromIndex/28817/oezt>. Access on: 16 Mar. 2023.
- BARTHOLDY, Felix Mendelssohn. **Op. 048, Sechs Lieder für Sopran, Alt, Tenor und Bass**: im Freien zu singen (zweites Heft). Leipzig: Breitkopf & Härtel, 1877b. Score. Available at: <https://imslp.org/wiki/Special:ImagefromIndex/29143/oezt>. Access on: 16 Mar. 2023.
- BARTHOLDY, Felix Mendelssohn. **Op. 059, Sechs Lieder für Sopran, Alt, Tenor und Bass**: im Freien zu singen (drittes Heft). Leipzig: Breitkopf & Härtel, 1877c. Score. Available at: <https://imslp.org/wiki/Special:ImagefromIndex/49819/oezt>. Access on: 16 Mar. 2023.
- BARTHOLDY, Felix Mendelssohn. **Op. 088, Sechs Lieder für Sopran, Alt, Tenor und Bass**: (viertes Heft). Leipzig: Breitkopf & Härtel, 1877d. Score. Available at: <https://imslp.org/wiki/Special:ImagefromIndex/29145/oezt>. Access on: 16 Mar. 2023.
- BARTHOLDY, Felix Mendelssohn. **Op. 100, Vier Lieder für Sopran, Alt, Tenor und Bass**: (fünftes Heft). Leipzig: Breitkopf & Härtel, 1877e. Score. Available at: <https://imslp.org/wiki/Special:ImagefromIndex/29142/oezt>. Access on: 16 Mar. 2023.

BEATTY, Rodger J. (coord.). **Choral Works by Canadian Composers, A Selective Guidelist: Phase 2**, Published Choral Compositions by Ontario Composers. Ontario: The Ontario Music Educators' Association, 2021. Available at: https://cmea.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Choral_Works_Canadian_Composers_Ontario1.pdf. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

BERRY, Wallace. **Structural Functions in Music**. New York (NY, USA): Dover Publications Inc., 1987.

BLEZZARD, Judith. Partsong. *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001. v. 19.

BÖKER-HEIL, Norbert. Lied. I. The polyphonic lied. 1. Introduction. *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001a. v. 14.

BÖKER-HEIL, Norbert. Lied. I. The polyphonic lied. 4. German choral song, 1630–1950. *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001b. v. 14.

BOLT, Gerald Roger. **Choral repertoire selection competency development in undergraduate music education curricula**. Dissertation (Doctor of Education) – Arizona State University, [Tempe (AZ)], 1983. Available at: <https://www.proquest.com/openview/28739bf8fd69b338fa6cad38b9c68444/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y>. Access on: 3 May 2023.

BRADFORD, Gwen. **Achievement**. 1st ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015.

BRASIL. **Lei nº 11.769, de 18 de Agosto de 2008**. Altera a Lei nº 9.394, de 20 de dezembro de 1996, Lei de Diretrizes e Bases da Educação, para dispor sobre a obrigatoriedade do ensino da música na educação básica. Brasília: Presidência da República, 2008. Available at: https://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_Ato2007-2010/2008/Lei/L11769.htm. Access on: 16 mar. 2023.

BRASIL. **Lei Nº 14.063, de 23 de setembro de 2020**. Dispõe sobre o uso de assinaturas eletrônicas [...]. Brasília, DF: Presidência da República, 2020. Available at: https://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_Ato2019-2022/2020/Lei/L14063.htm. Access on: 28 nov. 2023.

BRASIL. **Lei nº 4.024, de 20 de dezembro de 1961**. Fixa as Diretrizes e Bases da Educação Nacional. Brasília: Presidência da República, 1961. Available at: https://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/Leis/L4024.htm. Access on: 16 mar. 2023.

BRASIL. Ministério da Saúde. Conselho Nacional de Saúde. **Resolução CNS no 510, de 07 de abril de 2016**. Brasília, DF: Conselho Nacional de Saúde, 2016. Available at: <https://www.gov.br/conselho-nacional-de-saude/pt-br/aceso-a-informacao/sobre-o-conselho/camaras-tecnicas-e-comissoes/conep/instancia-de-ciencias-humanas-e-sociais/legislacao>. Access on: 20 nov. 2023.

BRASIL. Ministério da Saúde. Conselho Nacional de Saúde. Secretaria-Executiva. Comissão Nacional de Ética em Pesquisa. **Carta Circular nº 1/2021-CONEP/SECNS/MS, de 03 de março de 2021**. Brasília, DF: Conselho Nacional de Saúde, 2021. Available at: <https://www.gov.br/conselho-nacional-de-saude/pt-br/aceso-a-informacao/sobre-o-conselho/camaras-tecnicas-e-comissoes/conep/legislacao/cartas-circulares/carta-circular-no-1-de-3-de-marco-de-2021.pdf/view>. Access on: 20 nov. 2023.

BRASIL. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria Executiva. Departamento de Gestão Interfederativa e Participativa. Secretaria-Executiva do Conselho Nacional de Saúde. Comissão Nacional de Ética em Pesquisa. **Ofício Circular Nº 23/2022/CONEP/SECNS/DGIP/SE/MS, de 17 de outubro de 2022**. Brasília, DF: Conselho Nacional de Saúde, 2022. Available at: <https://www.gov.br/conselho-nacional-de-saude/pt-br/aceso-a-informacao/sobre-o-conselho/camaras-tecnicas-e-comissoes/conep/legislacao/oficios-circulares/oficio-circular-no-23-de-17-de-outubro-de-2022.pdf/view>. Access on: 24 nov. 2023.

BRINSON, Barbara A.; DEMOREST, Steven M. **Choral music: developing successful choral programs (grades 5 to 12)**. 2. ed. Belmont, CA: Schirmer, 2014.

BRONCANO-BERROCAL, Fernando. Difficulty and Knowledge. In: KELP, Christoph (ed.); GRECO, John (ed.). **Virtue Theoretic Epistemology**. 1. ed. [S. l.]: Cambridge University Press, 2020. p. 58-92. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108666404.004>. Access on: 6 Aug. 2024.

BROWN, Cristy Lynn. **A Thematic Catalog of the Choral and Solo Vocal Compositions of Margaret Vardell Sandresky**. Dissertation (Doctor of Musical Arts) – Graduate School at The University of North Carolina, Greensboro, 2021. Available at: https://libres.uncg.edu/ir/uncg/f/Brown_uncg_0154D_13203.pdf. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

BRUNNER, David L. Carefully crafting the choral rehearsal. **Music Educators Journal**, pela MENC: The National Association for Music Education, [Reston (VA)], v. 83, n. 3, p. 37-39, 1996. DOI 10.2307/3398978. Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3398978>. Access on: 4 May 2023.

BRUNNER, David L. Choral program design structure and symmetry. **Music Educators Journal**, pela MENC: The National Association for Music Education, [Reston (VA)], v. 80, n. 6, p. 46-49, 1994. DOI 10.2307/3398713. Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3398713>. Access on: 4 May 2023.

BRUNNER, David L. Choral repertoire: a director's checklist. **Music Educators Journal**, by MENC: The National Association for Music Education, [Reston (VA)], v. 79, n. 1, p. 29-32, 1992. DOI 10.2307/3398573. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3398573>. Access on: 17 Aug. 2020.

BUENO, Ivan Lopes. **Programa progressivo para prática orquestral: orientações técnicas e de repertório para a formação progressiva de orquestras**. Dissertação (Mestre em Música) – Instituto de Artes da Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, 2016. DOI 10.47749/T/UNICAMP.2016.974195. Available at: <http://repositorio.unicamp.br/Acervo/Detalhe/974195>. Access on: 28 ago. 2020.

BULLARD, Alan. **Alan Bullard**: composer, [2022]. Upper voice choral. Available at: <https://www.alanbullard.co.uk/upper-voice-choral/>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

C4 NETWORK. **C4 Network**: A collective of choral collectives, [202-?]. About difficulty levels. Available at: <https://c4net.work/about-difficulty-levels/>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

CAMARGO, Cristina Moura Emboaba da Costa Julião. **Criação e arranjo**: modelos para o repertório de canto coral no Brasil. Dissertação (Mestre em Música) – Departamento de Música da Escola de Comunicações e Artes da Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo (SP), 2010. Available at: <https://www.teses.usp.br/teses/disponiveis/27/27157/tde-04112010-144243/publico/5979961.pdf>. Access on: 25 abr. 2023.

CANFIELD, Jennifer Leigh Kirkland. **Middle and high school choral repertoire**: directors' criteria for selection, quality, and appropriateness. Dissertation (Doctor of Philosophy) – Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama, USA, 2009. Available at: <https://etd.auburn.edu/handle/10415/1814>. Access on: 28 Aug. 2020.

CAREY, Paul. **Paul Carey**, [202-?]. Mixed chorus. Available at: http://www.paulcarey.net/works_%20Mixed_Chorus.htm. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

CHOIR. *In*: MERRIAM-WEBSTER Dictionary. [S. l.]: Merriam-Webster, Incorporated, 2023. Available at: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/choir>. Access on: 3 Mar. 2023.

CHORAL. *In*: MERRIAM-WEBSTER Dictionary. [S. l.]: Merriam-Webster, Incorporated, 2023. Available at: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/choral>. Access on: 3 Mar. 2023.

CHORUS. *In*: MERRIAM-WEBSTER Dictionary. [S. l.]: Merriam-Webster, Incorporated, 2023. Available at: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/chorus>. Access on: 3 Mar. 2023.

CHURCH MUSIC INSTITUTE. **CMI**: Church Music Institute, 2022. Church Music Institute Library. Available at: <https://www.churchmusicinstitute.org/sacred-music-library/overview/>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

CLEMENTE, Louise; FIGUEIREDO, Sérgio Luiz Ferreira de. O estado da arte da pesquisa sobre canto coral no Brasil e os principais temas relacionados à educação musical coral. *In*: ENCONTRO REGIONAL SUL DA ABEM, 16., 2014, Blumenau (SC), **Anais** [...]. Blumenau (SC): Associação Brasileira de Educação Musical, 2014. Available at: http://abemeducacaomusical.com.br/anais_ersul/v1/papers/466/public/466-2469-1-PB.pdf. Access on: 25 abr. 2023.

COLLES, H. C. Leslie, Henry (David). *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001. v. 14.

CONCORDIA PUBLISHING HOUSE. **Concordia Publishing House**, 2022. Music & Worship: Music. Available at: <https://www.cph.org/musicsearch.aspx>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

CONNER, Stef. **Stef Conner**, [202-?]. Choral difficulty levels: Stef's choral difficulty levels explained. Available at: <http://www.stefconner.com/choral-difficulty-levels/>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

CORO. *In*: GRANDE Dicionário Houaiss. [S. l.]: Instituto Antônio Houaiss, [202-?]. Available at: https://houaiss.uol.com.br/houaission/apps/uol_www/vopen/html/inicio.php/651/coro,coró. Access on: 3 mar. 2023.

COWARD, Henry. **A choral technique and interpretation**. London: Novello and Co., Ltd.; New York: H. W. Gray Co., 1914. Available at: <https://archive.org/details/choraltechniquei00cowa/mode/2up>. Access on: 09 Dec. 2022.

CRANE, Andrew. Hunting choral treasure: how conductors find new repertoire. **The Choral Journal**, pela American Choral Directors Association, Oklahoma City (OK), v. 59, n. 4, p. 42-47, 2018. Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26601950>. Access on: 27 Apr. 2023.

CRESWELL, John W.; CRESWELL, David J.. **Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches**. 5. ed. Los Angeles: Sage, 2018.

CROCKER, Richard L. Melisma. *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001. v. 16.

CSIKSZENTMIHALYI, Mihaly. **Flow**: the classic work on how to achieve happiness. London: Rider, 2013.

CYPRESS CHORAL MUSIC. **Cypress Choral Music**, 2022. Considering the market for choral music. Available at: <https://cypresschoral.com/private/considering-the-market-for-choral-music/>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

DAVIDS, Julia; LATOUR, Stephen. **Vocal technique**: a guide for conductors, teachers, and singers. Long Grove (IL): Waveland Press, Inc., 2012.

DAVIS, Clifton Allen. **Developing an annotated guide for collaborative planning of choral concerts based on Sawyer's Eight Stages of the Creative Process**. Dissertation (Doctor of Arts) – The Graduate School of the Ball State University, Muncie (IN), 2016. Available at: https://cardinalscholar.bsu.edu/bitstream/handle/123456789/200547/DavisC_2016-1_BODY.pdf?isAllowed=y&sequence=1. Access on: 25 Apr. 2023.

DEAN, Brandon L. **A repertoire selection rubric for preservice and beginning choral conductors based on criteria of aesthetic and pedagogical merit**. Dissertation (Doctor of Musical Arts) – The College-Conservatory of Music of the University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, 2011. Available at: https://etd.ohiolink.edu/apexprod/rws_etd/send_file/send?accession=ucin1313764499&disposition=inline. Access on: 25 Apr. 2023.

DEVENNEY, David P. Student times: repertory and programming: guidelines for the young conductor. **The Choral Journal**, pela American Choral Directors Association, Oklahoma City (OK), v. 46, n. 6, p. 77-79, 2005. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23556269>. Access on: 25 Apr. 2023.

DIDDLE, Laura Dawn. **The repertoire selection practices and skills of beginning choral music educators**. Dissertation (Doctor of Philosophy) – School of Music of the University of South Carolina, Columbia (SC), 2005. Available at: <https://www.proquest.com/openview/d4a7c9682e8acccc7410ef6a5a17c7ad/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y> . Access on: 28 Apr. 2023.

DISCOVERWORSHIP.COM. **Discover Worship**, 2022. Choral Anthems. Available at: <https://www.discoverworship.com/store/download-products/choral-anthems-2>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

DROGIN, Barry. **Not nice music**, 2022. Catalog. Available at: <http://www.notnicemusic.com/catalog.html>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

EZUST, Emily (org.). **The LiederNet Archive**, 2023a. Opus 41 by Felix Mendelssohn (1809-1847), Sechs Lieder für Sopran, Alt, Tenor und Bass im Freien zu singen. Available at: https://www.lieder.net/lieder/assemble_opus.html?ComposerId=5034&Opus=41. Access on: 10 Apr. 2023.

EZUST, Emily (org.). **The LiederNet Archive**, 2023b. Opus 48 by Felix Mendelssohn (1809-1847), Der erste Frühlingstag - Sechs Lieder im Freien zu singen. Available at: https://www.lieder.net/lieder/assemble_texts.html?SongCycleId=1047. Access on: 10 Apr. 2023.

EZUST, Emily (org.). **The LiederNet Archive**, 2023c. Opus 59 by Felix Mendelssohn (1809-1847), Sechs Lieder im Freien zu singen. Available at: https://www.lieder.net/lieder/assemble_texts.html?SongCycleId=1189. Access on: 10 Apr. 2023.

EZUST, Emily (org.). **The LiederNet Archive**, 2023d. Opus 88 by Felix Mendelssohn (1809-1847), Sechs Lieder für gemischten Chor, opus posth. Available at: https://www.lieder.net/lieder/assemble_opus.html?ComposerId=5034&Opus=posth.%2088. 10 Apr. 2023.

EZUST, Emily (org.). **The LiederNet Archive**, 2023e. Opus 100 by Felix Mendelssohn (1809-1847), Vier Lieder für Sopran, Alt, Tenor und Bass, opus posth. Available at: https://www.lieder.net/lieder/assemble_opus.html?ComposerId=5034&Opus=posth.%20100. 10 Apr. 2023.

EZUST, Emily (org.). **The LiederNet Archive**, 2023f. Berg und Thal will ich durchstreifen. Available at: https://www.lieder.net/lieder/get_text.html?TextId=24364. Access on: 10 Apr. 2023.

FÁVERO, Luiz Paulo; BELFIORE, Patrícia. **Manual de análise de dados: estatística e modelagem multivariada com Excel®, SPSS® e Stata®**. 1. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Elsevier, 2017.

FERNANDES, Ângelo José. **O regente e a construção da sonoridade coral:** uma metodologia de preparo vocal para coros. Tese (Doutor em Música) – Instituto de Artes da Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, 2009. DOI 10.47749/T/UNICAMP.2009.445387. Available at: <http://repositorio.unicamp.br/Acervo/Detalhe/445387>. Access on: 28 ago. 2020.

FLORIDA VOCAL ASSOCIATION. **Florida Vocal Association:** A component organization of the Florida Music Education Association, [2020?]. Available at: <https://fva.net/mpa/music-list/>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

FORBES, Guy W. The repertoire selection practices of high school choral directors. **Journal of Research in Music Education**, by MENC: The National Association for Music Education, [Reston (VA)], v. 49, n. 2, p. 102-121, 2001. DOI 10.2307/3345863. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3345863>. Access on: 17 Aug. 2020.

GABBAY, D.; SIEKMANN, J. Algorithms in cognition, informatics and logic: A position manifesto. **Logic Journal of IGPL**, v. 18, n. 6, p. 763-768, 1 dez. 2010. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/jigpal/jzq004>. Available at: <https://academic.oup.com/jigpal/article/18/6/763/801119>. Access on: 8 Aug. 2024.

GALLEGO-DURÁN, Francisco J.; MOLINA-CARMONA, Rafael; LLORENS-LARGO, Faraón. Measuring the difficulty of activities for adaptive learning. **Universal Access in the Information Society**, v. 17, n. 2, p. 335-348, 1 jun. 2018. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-017-0552-x>. Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10209-017-0552-x>. Access on: 6 Aug. 2024.

GEROW, Maurice. Criteria for choral program building. **Music Educators Journal**, pela MENC: The National Association for Music Education, [Reston (VA)], v. 47, n. 6, p. 69-73, 1961. DOI 10.2307/3389438. Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3389438>. Access on: 4 May 2023.

GEROW, Maurice. Criteria of choral concert program building as related to an analysis of the elements of musical structure. **Journal of Research in Music Education**, pela MENC: The National Association for Music Education, [Reston (VA)], v. 12, n. 2, p. 165-171, 1964. DOI 10.2307/3343657. Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3343657>. Access on: 4 May 2023.

GOFFEY, Andrew. Algorithm. In: FULLER, Matthew (ed.). **Software Studies**. [S. l.]: The MIT Press, 2008. p. 15-20. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/7725.003.0004>. Available at: <https://direct.mit.edu/books/edited-volume/1924/chapter-abstract/52839/Algorithm?redirectedFrom=fulltext>. Access on: 8 Aug. 2024.

GRAPHITE PUBLISHING. **Graphite** notable, elevated, 2022. Choral. Available at: <https://graphitepublishing.com/product-tag/choral/>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

GROUT, Donald Jay; PALISCA, Claude V. **A history of western music**. New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 1988.

GUO, Lina. **University choral rehearsals in China and Canada**: a comparative case study. Project (Master of Education) – Department of Curriculum and Instruction of the University of Victoria, Victoria (BC), 2009. Available at: <http://dspace.library.uvic.ca/handle/1828/1786>. Access on: 4 May 2023.

HAIR Jr., Joseph F. *et al.* **Análise multivariada de dados**. Trad.: Adonai Schlup Sant'Anna. 6. ed. Porto Alegre: Bookman, 2009.

HALLE. *In*: **ENCYCLOPEDIA Britannica**. [S. l.]: The Editors of Encyclopaedia Britannica, [202-]. Available at: <https://www.britannica.com/place/Halle>. Access on: 3 Mar. 2023.

HARDESTER, Jane Skinner *et al.* **Suggested guidelines for the junior college choral program as prepared by the ACDA committee on junior college choral problems**. University of California, Los Angeles, 1968. Available at: <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED020723>. Access on: 2 May 2023.

HARDIN-SIMMONS UNIVERSITY, SMITH MUSIC LIBRARY. **Choral Music Guide**. [S. l.]: [s. n.], 2014. Available at: https://library.hsutx.edu/music/Choral_Music_Guide.pdf. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

HAYNES, Bruce. **The end of early music**: a period performer's history of music for the twenty-first century. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.

HEFFERNAN, Charles W. **Choral music**: technique and artistry. Englewood Cliffs (NJ, USA): Prentice-Hall Inc., 1982.

HOLMES, William C. A cappella [alla cappella]. *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001. v. 1.

HYMAN, Brent A. **Choral repertoire selection experiences required of undergraduate choral music education majors at selected Indiana universities**. Thesis (Master of Music) – Ball State University, Muncie (IN), 2009. Available at: https://cardinalsolar.bsu.edu/bitstream/handle/123456789/193587/Bhyman_2009-1_BODY.pdf?sequence=1. Access on: 13 Dec. 2022.

IGAYARA, Susana Cecília. Discutindo o repertório coral. *In*: ENCONTRO ANUAL DA ASSOCIAÇÃO BRASILEIRA DE EDUCAÇÃO MUSICAL, XVI., and CONGRESSO REGIONAL DA INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR MUSIC EDUCATION, 2007, [Campo Grande], **Anais** [...]. Campo Grande (MS): Editora UFMS, 2007.

IMSLP – THE INTERNATIONAL MUSIC SCORE LIBRARY PROJECT. **IMSLP**: Petrucci Music Library, 2023a. Op. 41, 6 Lieder (Mendelssohn, Felix). Available at: [https://imslp.org/wiki/6_Lieder,_Op.41_\(Mendelssohn,_Felix\)](https://imslp.org/wiki/6_Lieder,_Op.41_(Mendelssohn,_Felix)). Access on: 03 Oct. 2023.

IMSLP – THE INTERNATIONAL MUSIC SCORE LIBRARY PROJECT. **IMSLP**: Petrucci Music Library, 2023b. Op. 48, 6 Lieder (Mendelssohn, Felix). Available at: [https://imslp.org/wiki/6_Lieder,_Op.48_\(Mendelssohn,_Felix\)](https://imslp.org/wiki/6_Lieder,_Op.48_(Mendelssohn,_Felix)). Access on: 03 Oct. 2023.

IMSLP – THE INTERNATIONAL MUSIC SCORE LIBRARY PROJECT. **IMSLP**: Petrucci Music Library, 2023c. Op. 59, 6 Lieder (Mendelssohn, Felix). Available at: [https://imslp.org/wiki/6_Lieder,_Op.59_\(Mendelssohn,_Felix\)](https://imslp.org/wiki/6_Lieder,_Op.59_(Mendelssohn,_Felix)). Access on: 03 Oct. 2023.

IMSLP – THE INTERNATIONAL MUSIC SCORE LIBRARY PROJECT. **IMSLP**: Petrucci Music Library, 2023d. Op. 88, 6 Lieder (Mendelssohn, Felix). Available at: [https://imslp.org/wiki/6_Lieder,_Op.88_\(Mendelssohn,_Felix\)](https://imslp.org/wiki/6_Lieder,_Op.88_(Mendelssohn,_Felix)). Access on: 03 Oct. 2023.

IMSLP – THE INTERNATIONAL MUSIC SCORE LIBRARY PROJECT. **IMSLP**: Petrucci Music Library, 2023e. Op. 100, 5 Lieder (Mendelssohn, Felix). Available at: [https://imslp.org/wiki/4_Lieder,_Op.100_\(Mendelssohn,_Felix\)](https://imslp.org/wiki/4_Lieder,_Op.100_(Mendelssohn,_Felix)). Access on: 03 Oct. 2023.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY. **Indiana University Bloomington**, 2022. Virtual Choral Library. Available at: <https://libraries.indiana.edu/virtual-choral-library>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

JACINTO, Maicon Pereira; MOURA, Paulo Celso. A técnica vocal e sua relação com o repertório coral: um levantamento do período de 2010 a 2020 e sugestões de exercícios vocais. **Revista Música**, [s. l.], v. 22, n. 1, p. 17-48, 2022. DOI 10.11606/rm.v22i1.195737. Available at: <https://www.revistas.usp.br/revistamusica/article/view/195737>. Access on: 28 abr. 2023.

JORDAN, James. **The Choral Warm-Up**: method, procedures, planning, and core vocal exercises. Chicago: GIA Publications, Inc., 2005.

JORDAN, Robert G. Democratic approaches for the choral ensemble: repertoire choice and rehearsal design. **The Choral Journal**, pela American Choral Directors Association, Oklahoma City (OK), v. 63, n. 1, p. 13 (excerpt), 2022. Available at: <https://www.proquest.com/openview/230d37c0c8e52b282e2916c7fe3d78a0/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=47671>. Access on: 4 May 2023.

KITCHIN, Rob. Thinking critically about and researching algorithms. **Information, Communication & Society**, v. 20, n. 1, p. 14-29, 2 jan. 2017. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2016.1154087>. Available at: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1369118X.2016.1154087>. Access on: 8 Aug. 2024.

KOSTKA, Stephan; PAYNE, Dorothy. **Tonal Harmony**: with an Introduction to Twentieth-Century Music. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2008.

KOWALSKI, R. Algorithm = logic + control. **Communications of the ACM**, v. 22, n. 7, p. 424-436, 1979. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1145/359131.359136>. Available at: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/359131.359136>. Access on: 8 Aug. 2024.

KRIEGSTEIN, Hasko von. On being difficult: towards an account of the nature of difficulty. **Philosophical Studies**, [s. l.] v. 176, n. 1, p. 45-64, 2019. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11098-017-1005-3>. Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11098-017-1005-3>. Access on: 31 Oct. 2024.

LAGE, Cláudio Fernandes. **Escrita e classificação de repertório para sopros à luz da tabela de parâmetros técnicos**. Dissertação (Mestre em Música) – Escola de Música da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte, 2012. Available at: <https://repositorio.ufmg.br/handle/1843/AAGS-AUGNET>. Access on: 28 ago. 2020.

LAPRADE, Paul. Two-year college: choral repertoire and standards in the two-year college (Part One): ACDA and R&S in 1968. **The Choral Journal**, pela American Choral Directors Association, Oklahoma City (OK), v. 49, n. 12, p. 55-58, 2009. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23560130>. Access on: 2 May 2023.

LAPRADE, Paul. Two-year college: choral repertoire and standards in the two-year college (Part Two): the most frequently asked question. **The Choral Journal**, pela American Choral Directors Association, Oklahoma City (OK), v. 50, n. 8, p. 43-50, 2010. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23560347>. Access on: 2 May 2023.

LARUE, Jan. **Guidelines for style analysis**. 2. ed. Warren (MI): Harmonie Park Press, 1992.

LEMOES JÚNIOR, W. O ensino do canto orfeônico na escola secundária brasileira (décadas de 1930 e 1940). **Revista HISTEDBR On-line**, Campinas, SP, v. 11, n. 42, p. 279–295, 2012. DOI 10.20396/rho.v11i42.8639880. Available at: <https://periodicos.sbu.unicamp.br/ojs/index.php/histedbr/article/view/8639880>. Access on: 3 mar. 2023.

LEVI JUNIOR, Robert Michael. **The relationship of selected variables to successful choral programs**. Dissertation (Doctor of Philosophy) – The Graduate School of the State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo (NY), 1985. Available at: <https://www.proquest.com/openview/224ff0b6524842dc462af146f7c8a689/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y>. Access on: 5 May 2023.

LEXEME. In: CAMBRIDGE Dictionary. [S. l.]: Cambridge University Press & Assessment, 2023. Available at: <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/lexeme>. Access on: 28 Feb. 2023.

LIED. In: MERRIAM-WEBSTER Dictionary. [S. l.]: Merriam-Webster, Incorporated, 2023. Available at: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/lie>. Access on: 13 Mar. 2023.

LIKERT, R. A technique for the measurement of attitudes. In: WOODWORTH, R. S. (ed.). **Archives of Psychology**, New York, v. 22, n. 140, p. 5-55, 1932.

MAESTAS, Cherie. Expert Surveys as a Measurement Tool: Challenges and New Frontiers. In: ATKESON, Lonna Rae; ALVAREZ, R. Michael (ed.). **The Oxford Handbook of Polling and Survey Methods**. [S. l.]: Oxford Academic, 2016. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190213299.013.13>. Access on: 24 Nov. 2023.

MARTINS, J.T. 2015. Processo compositivo da vocalidade poética na dança-teatro Flor das Águas. **Cena**, n. 16, 2015. DOI 10.22456/2236-3254.52171. Available at: <https://seer.ufrgs.br/index.php/cena/article/view/52171>. Access on: 3 mar. 2023.

MAYHALL, Bruce. The quest for high-quality repertoire. **The Choral Journal**, pela American Choral Directors Association, Oklahoma City (OK), v. 35, n. 2, p. 9-15, 1994. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23550506>. Access on: 27 Apr. 2023.

MÉGEVAND, M.; BAUMGÄRTEL, T. S.; FALEIRO, T. J. R. Coralidade. **Urdimento - Revista de Estudos em Artes Cênicas**, Florianópolis, v. 1, n. 20, p. 37-39, 2018. DOI 10.5965/1414573101202013037. Available at: <https://www.revistas.udesc.br/index.php/urdimento/article/view/1414573101202013037>. Access on: 3 mar. 2023.

MEHAFFEY, Matthew W. Introduction to the repertoire resource guides. In: BUCHANAN, Heather J. (org.); MEHAFFEY, Matthew W. (org.). **Teaching music through performance in choir**. Chicago: GIA Publications, Inc., 2005. v. 1, p. 83-86.

MELISMATIC STYLE. In: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001. v. 16.

MENDELSSOHN: Choral Works. Composer: Felix Mendelssohn. Choir: RIAS Kammerchor. Conductor: Hans-Christoph Rademann. Harmonia Mundi S.A., 2008. Online album (66'). Available at: <https://open.spotify.com/album/3MjxxLUNxBQZkK7r9xFptw>. Access on: 10 Apr. 2023.

MESQUITA, Marcos. **r ô t a : Aspectos da Articulação Temporal na Música Instrumental do Século XX**. Dissertação (Mestre em Artes) – Institute of Arts at the State University of Campinas, Campinas (SP, Brazil), 1995.

MIDI Manufacturers Association Incorporated. **MIDI 1.0 Detailed Specification**: Document Version 4.2.1, Revised February 1996. Los Angeles (CA): The MIDI Manufacturers Association, 2020. Available at: <https://www.midi.org/specifications/midi1-specifications/midi-1-0-core-specifications/midi-1-0-detailed-specification-2>. Access on: 28 June 2023.

MIGUEL, Fábio; PEDROZO, Willian Gomes; PANELLI, Felipe Pillis; PEREZ, Felipe Rodrigues Ferreira; SILVA, Helen; TINEO, Emerson Pereira; SANTOS, André Laitano dos; GONÇALVES, Agnelson; JACINTO, Maicon Pereira; AMARAL, Regina Célia do. A pesquisa sobre canto coral nos anais da ANPPOM no período de 2015 a 2019 na subárea Educação Musical. In: CONGRESSO DA ASSOCIAÇÃO NACIONAL DE PESQUISA E PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM MÚSICA, 30., 2020, Manaus (AM), **Anais [...]**. Manaus (AM): Associação Nacional de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Música, 2020a. Available at: <http://anppom-congressos.org.br/index.php/30anppom/30CongrAnppom/paper/viewFile/118/61>. Access on: 25 abr. 2023.

MIGUEL, Fábio; PEDROZO, Willian Gomes; TINEO, Emerson Pereira; PANELLI, Felipe Pillis; PEREZ, Felipe Rodrigues Ferreira; SANTOS, André dos; JACINTO, Maicon Pereira; AMARAL, Regina Célia Corso Marcondes do. Questões acerca do repertório no contexto coral adulto e juvenil. **Revista Vórtex**, [s. l.], v. 8, n. 2, p. 12, 2020b. DOI 10.33871/23179937.2020.8.2.12. Available at: <https://periodicos.unespar.edu.br/index.php/vortex/article/view/3817>. Access on: 26 abr. 2023.

MILLIKEN, Sandra. **Sandra Milliken**: composer conductor adjudicator, 2015. Catalogue: choral music compositions. Available at: <https://www.sandramilliken.com.au/music-catalog/>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

NAIPE. *In*: GRANDE Dicionário Houaiss. [S. l.]: Instituto Antônio Houaiss, [202-?]. Available at: https://houaiss.uol.com.br/houaission/apps/uol_www/vopen/html/inicio.php/97d/naipe. Access on: 3 mar. 2023.

NIX, John (2007). Vocology and the selection of choral repertoire. **Australian Voice**, [s. l.] v. 13, n. 1, p. 36-42, 2007. DOI 10.1375/auv.13.1.36. Available at: <https://www.sciencegate.app/document/10.1375/auv.13.1.36>. Access on: 28 Apr. 2023.

NOBLE, Jason. Choral practice and research at the beginning of the 21st century. *In*: GUDMUNDSDOTTIR, Helga R. (ed.); BEYNON, Carol (ed.); LUDKE, Karen M. (ed.); COHEN, Annabel J. (ed.). **The Routledge Companion to Interdisciplinary Studies in Singing**: Volume II – Education. 1. ed. New York (NY): Taylor and Francis, 2020. Chapter 24: introduction and section “II. Preparation and Administration”, subsection “(c) Repertoire selection and Concert Programming”. Available at: <https://www.perlego.com/book/1571872/the-routledge-companion-to-interdisciplinary-studies-in-singing-volume-ii-education-pdf>. Access on: 22 Sept. 2022.

OSORIO, J.; JORDÁN, L.. Dossier Voces y vocalidades en música popular. **Contrapulso - Revista Latinoamericana De Estudios En Música Popular**, v. 3, n. 2, p. 3-5, 2021. DOI 10.53689/cp.v3i2.135. Available at: <https://contrapulso.uahurtado.cl/index.php/cp/article/view/135>. Access on: 3 marzo 2023.

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS. **Oxford University Press**: Academic, 2022. Choral. Available at: <https://global.oup.com/academic/category/arts-and-humanities/sheet-music/choral/?type=listing&lang=en&cc=us>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

PART. *In*: CAMBRIDGE Dictionary. [S. l.]: Cambridge University Press & Assessment, 2023. Available at: <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/part>. Access on: 3 Mar. 2023.

PART. *In*: MERRIAM-WEBSTER Dictionary. [S. l.]: Merriam-Webster, Incorporated, 2023. Available at: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/part>. Access on: 3 Mar. 2023.

PAVANE PUBLISHING. **Pavane Publishing**, 2022. Octavo. Available at: <http://www.pavanepublishing.com/site/192/>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

PEASGOOD, Emily; VARVARIGOU, Maria. How can musical repertoire be composed, arranged and selected to support the values of the adult community choir? *In*: INTERNATIONAL SEMINAR OF THE ISME COMMISSION ON COMMUNITY MUSIC ACTIVITY, 15., 2016, Edinburgh, Scotland, **Proceedings of the [...]**, p. 236. Edinburgh, Scotland: International Society for Music Education, 2016. Available at: <https://edoc.ku.de/id/eprint/19765/>. Access on: 28 Apr. 2023.

PICCHI, Achille. **Canção de câmara brasileira**: teoria, análise, realização. Rio de Janeiro: Autografia, 2019.

PRUETER, Priscilla Battini. **O ensaio coral sob a perspectiva da performance musical:** abordagens metodológicas, planejamento e aplicação de técnicas e estratégias junto a corais amadores. Dissertação (Mestre em Música) – Programa de Pós-Graduação em Música da Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, 2010. Available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/1884/29367>. Access on: 4 maio 2023.

RAGUSA, G.. A coralidade e o mundo das parthénoi na poesia mélica de Safo. **Aletria: Revista De Estudos De Literatura**, v. 29, n. 4, p. 85-111, 2019. DOI 10.17851/2317-2096.29.4.85-111. Available at: <https://periodicos.ufmg.br/index.php/aletria/article/view/22158>. Access on: 3 Mar. 2023.

REAMES, Rebecca R. High school choral directors' description of appropriate literature for beginning high school choirs. **Journal of Research in Music Education**, by MENC: The National Association for Music Education, [Reston (VA)], v. 49, n. 2, p. 122-135, 2001. DOI 10.2307/3345864. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3345864>. Access on: 17 Aug. 2020.

RICHMOND, John W. Selecting choral repertoire as pre-curriculum: “planned serendipity”. **The Choral Journal**, by the American Choral Directors Association, Oklahoma City (OK), v. 30, n. 10, p. 23-30, 1990. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23547865>. Access on: 17 Aug. 2020.

RIKARD, Jessica. Feeding the choirs: the beginner's recipe guide to selecting repertoire. **The Choral Journal**, pela American Choral Directors Association, Oklahoma City (OK), v. 56, n. 1, p. 69-71, 2015. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24580585>. Access on: 28 Apr. 2023.

SAMPIERI, Roberto Hernández; COLLADO, Carlos Fernández; LUCIO, María del Pilar Baptista. **Metodologia de pesquisa**. 5ª ed. Trad.: Daisy Vaz de Moraes. Porto Alegre: Penso, 2013.

SAMS, Eric. Lied. IV. The Romantic lied. 1. Intellectual, social and musical sources. *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001. v. 14.

SANTA BARBARA MUSIC PUBLISHING, INC. **Santa Barbara Music Publishing, Inc.:** Dedicated to Nurturing the Choral Art. [2022]. Advanced Catalog Search. Available at: <https://sbmp.com/search.php>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

SANTOS, E. N. dos. O canto fúnebre em “o enterro das bonecas”: oralidade e performance na literatura arrieteana. **REVEXT - Revista De Extensão Da Universidade Estadual De Alagoas - UNEAL**, v. 6, n. 2, p. 1-10, 2021. DOI 10.48179/revext.v6i2.299. Available at: <https://periodicosuneal.emnuvens.com.br/revext/article/view/299>. Access on: 03 mar. 2023.

SCHNIPKE, Richard L.. **Gwyneth Walker:** an annotated bio-bibliography of selected works for mixed chorus. Document (Doctor of Musical Arts) – Graduate School of The Ohio State University, [Columbus, Ohio,] 2008. Available at: <https://www.gwynethwalker.com/pdf/schndiss.pdf>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

SECTION. *In*: CAMBRIDGE Dictionary. [S. l.]: Cambridge University Press & Assessment, 2023. Available at: <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/section>. Access on: 3 Mar. 2023.

SECTION. *In*: MERRIAM-WEBSTER Dictionary. [S. l.]: Merriam-Webster, Incorporated, 2023. Available at: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/section>. Access on: 3 Mar. 2023.

SELAH PUBLISHING CO., INC.. **Selah**: Publishing Co., 2022. Choral. Available at: <https://www.selahpub.com/Choral/DifficultyListing.html>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

SEMANA de Arte Moderna. *In*: ENCICLOPÉDIA Itaú Cultural de Arte e Cultura Brasileira. [S. l.]: Itaú Cultural, 2023. Available at: <http://enciclopedia.itaucultural.org.br/evento84382/semana-de-arte-moderna>. Access on: 7 mar. 2023.

SERASA. **Serasa**, [2023]. Serasa premium. Available at: <https://www.serasa.com.br/premium/>. Access on: 28 nov. 2023.

SILVA, José Paulo da. **Manual de Harmonia**. 2. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Carlos Wehes & Cia., 1937.

SILVA, Luiz Eduardo; FIGUEIREDO, Sérgio Luiz Ferreira. Prática coral: um panorama das publicações de anais de encontros e congressos da ABEM e ANPPOM dos últimos dez anos (2003-2013). *In*: CONGRESSO NACIONAL DA ASSOCIAÇÃO BRASILEIRA DE EDUCAÇÃO MUSICAL, 22., 2015, Natal (RN), **Anais [...]**. Natal (RN): Associação Brasileira de Educação Musical, 2015. Available at: http://abemeducacaomusical.com.br/anais_congresso/v1/papers/1092/public/1092-4501-1-PB.pdf. Access on: 25 abr. 2023.

SING-AKADEMIE ZU BERLIN. **Sing-Akademie zu Berlin**, [2023a]. Über uns: Sing-Akademie zu Berlin. Available at: <https://www.sing-akademie.de/198-0-Ueber-uns.html>. Access on: 13 Mar. 2023.

SING-AKADEMIE ZU BERLIN. **Sing-Akademie zu Berlin**, [2023b]. Geschichte: 1791-1800. Available at: <https://www.sing-akademie.de/41-0-1791-1800.html>. Access on: 13 Mar. 2023.

SING-AKADEMIE ZU BERLIN. **Sing-Akademie zu Berlin**, [2023c]. Geschichte: 1800-1832. Available at: <https://www.sing-akademie.de/42-0-1800-1832.html>. Access on: 13 Mar. 2023.

SMITH, James G. Chorus (i) [choir]. 1. Antiquity and the Middle Ages. *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001a. v. 5.

SMITH, James G. Chorus (i) [choir]. 2. The Renaissance. *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001b. v.5.

SOTELO, Dario. Tabela de parâmetros técnicos e musicais. *In*: JARDIM, Marcelo (org.). **Pequeno guia prático para o regente de banda**. Rio de Janeiro: FUNARTE, [2008?]. v. 1, p. 36-50. Available at: <https://www.gov.br/funarte/pt-br/areas-artisticas/musica-2/projeto-bandas-de-musica/partituras/pequeno-guia-pratico-para-o-regente-de-banda>. Access on: 28 ago. 2020.

SOTTANI, Willsterman. Dificuldade como critério de escolha de repertório para coro adulto amador: tendências da literatura. **Revista Música**, [S. l.], v. 23, n. 1, p. 121-161, 2023. DOI 10.11606/rm.v23i1.212187. Available at: <https://www.revistas.usp.br/revistamusica/article/view/212187>. Access on: 2 out. 2023.

SOTTANI, Willsterman. Lógica Parcial de um Algoritmo da Dificuldade: Repertório a Cappella Soprano-Contralto-Tenor-Baixo Para Coros Adultos Amadores. **Percepta - Revista de Cognição Musical**, [S. l.], v. 12, n. 1, p. 180–205, 2025. DOI 10.34018/2318-891X.12(1)180-205. Available at: <https://www.abcogmus.com/journals/index.php/percepta/article/view/216>. Access on: 24 fev. 2025.

SOTTANI, Willsterman; MAGALHÃES, Guilherme Pereira de; ARRIEL, Vinícius. O Coro Acadêmico da UFJF: estratégias e recursos pedagógicos para o ensino remoto. **Anais [...]**. *In*: CONGRESSO DA ASSOCIAÇÃO NACIONAL DE PESQUISA E PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM MÚSICA, 31., João Pessoa (PB), **Anais [...]**. João Pessoa (PB): Associação Nacional de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Música, 2021. Available at: <https://anppom.org.br/congressos/anais/v31/>. Access on: 1 abr. 2022.

SOUZA, J.. Sobre as várias histórias da educação musical no Brasil. **Revista da ABEM**, Londrina, v. 22, n. 33, p. 109-120, July-Dec. 2014. Available at: <http://www.abemeducacaomusical.com.br/revistas/revistaabem/index.php/revistaabem/article/view/476>. Access on: 03 mar. 2023.

SPICER, Paul. **Britten Choral Guide**: with Repertoire Notes. Lichfield: Britten-Pears Foundation, [2011]. Available at: <https://www.boosey.com/downloads/brittenchoralenglish.pdf>. Also available at: <https://www.halleonard.com/Britten/>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

TACUCHIAN, Ricardo. Convergências e urdiduras. *In*: VILLA-LOBOS, Heitor. **Guia prático para a educação artística e musical, 1º volume**: Separata. Texts and research by: Manoel Aranha do Corrêa do Lago; Sérgio Barboza; Maria Clara Barbosa. Rio de Janeiro: ABM, Funarte, 2009. p. 8-13.

TARUSKIN, Richard. **The Oxford history of western music**. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010. v. 3: Music in the Nineteenth Century.

THOMAS, C. George. **Research Methodology and Scientific Writing**. 2. ed. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, Anne Books Pvt. Ltd., 2021. DOI <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64865-7>

TINEO, Emerson Pereira. Repertório coral: da escolha ao desenvolvimento junto ao coro – relato de experiência intitulada “Laboratório Coral” com uma turma de regência coral da ETEC de Artes de São Paulo. *In*: CONGRESSO DA ASSOCIAÇÃO BRASILEIRA DE EDUCAÇÃO MUSICAL, 24., 2019, Campo Grande (MS), **Anais** [...]. Campo Grande (MS): Associação Brasileira de Educação Musical, 2019. Available at: <http://abem-submissoes.com.br/index.php/xxivcongresso/2019/paper/viewFile/258/211>. Access on: 27 abr. 2023.

TODD, R. Larry. Mendelssohn(-Bartholdy), (Jacob Ludwig) Felix. *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001. v. 16.

TODD, R. Larry. **Mendelssohn: a life in music**. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780195110432.001.0001>. Available at: <https://academic.oup.com/book/49321>. Access on: 31 Oct. 2024.

TROTT, Don. Essential choral repertoire for the undergraduate choral music major. **The Choral Journal**, pela American Choral Directors Association, Oklahoma City (OK), v. 44, n. 8, p. 46-48, 2004. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23554901>. Access on: 02 May 2023.

TURCOTT, Amber. **Choral music education: a survey of research 1996-2002**. Thesis (Master of Arts) – College of Visual and Performing Arts of the University of South Florida, Tampa (FL), 2003. Available at: <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/etd/1497/>. Access on: 26 Apr. 2023.

UFJF. Comitê de Ética em Pesquisa com Seres Humanos. **Termo de Consentimento Livre e Esclarecido: Modelo**. Juiz de Fora, MG: UFJF, 2022. Available at: <https://www2.ufjf.br/comitedeetica/documentos-submissao/>. Access on: 20 nov. 2023.

ULRICH, Jerry. Conductor’s guide to successful rehearsals. **Music Educators Journal**, pela MENC: The National Association for Music Education, [Reston (VA)], v. 79, n. 7, p. 34-68, 1993. DOI 10.2307/3398614. Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3398614>. Access on: 4 May 2023.

UNIRIO, INSTITUTO VILLA-LOBOS. **Instituto Villa-Lobos**, [2023a]. Histórico do Instituto Villa-Lobos. Available at: <http://www.unirio.br/cla/ivl/historio-do-instituto-villa-lobos>. Access on: 10 dez. 2022.

UNIRIO, INSTITUTO VILLA-LOBOS. **Instituto Villa-Lobos**, [2023b]. Cursos. Available at: <http://www.unirio.br/cla/ivl/cursos>. Access on: 10 dez. 2022.

WEBB, Guy B. Repertoire & Standards Committee reports: youth and student activities. **The Choral Journal**, pela American Choral Directors Association, Oklahoma City (OK), v. 31, n. 3, p. 51-53, 1990. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23547846>. Access on: 03 May 2023.

WEBB, Jeffrey L. Promoting vocal health in the choral rehearsal. **Music Educators Journal**, pela MENC: The National Association for Music Education, [Reston (VA)], v. 93, n. 5, p. 26-31, 2007. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4488983>. Access on: 4 May 2023.

WEST, Ewan. Liedertafel. *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001. v. 14.

WOLFE, Joe. UNSW: **Music acoustics**, [2005?]. Note names, MIDI numbers and frequencies. Available at: <https://newt.phys.unsw.edu.au/jw/notes.html>. Access on: 09 April 2023.

YALE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY. **Yale Library**, 2021. Choral Conducting: Pedagogy: A guide to resources on choral music for graduate choral conducting students: Choral Conducting in Schools. Available at: <https://guides.library.yale.edu/c.php?g=296041&p=1973482>. Access on: 12 Dec. 2022.

YOHIO, Joshua G. **Selecting high quality and effective choral literature for a choral ensemble**. Report (Master degree) – The School of Music, Theater, and Dance of the College of Arts And Sciences of the Kansas State University, Manhattan (KS), 2012. Available at: <https://krex.k-state.edu/handle/2097/14199>. Access on: 27 Apr. 2023.

YOUNG, Percy M. Chorus (i) [choir]. 4. From the mid-18th century to the later 19th. *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001a. v. 5.

YOUNG, Percy M. Festival. 3. Choral festivals in England, Germany and Austria, c1650–c1900. *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001b. v. 8.

YOUNG, Percy M. Festival. 4. Commemorative festivals, c1750–c1900. *In*: SADIE, Stanley (ed.); TYRRELL, John (ed.). **The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians**. 2. ed. London: Macmillan Publishers Limited, 2001c. v. 8.

ZAMER, Craig; FENTON, Kevin. College and university choirs: internet resources: a director's guide to finding quality choral repertoire. **The Choral Journal**, pela American Choral Directors Association, Oklahoma City (OK), v. 46, n. 8, p. 43-45, 2006. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23556155>. Access on: 3 May 2023.

ZBIKOWSKI, L. M. **Conceptualizing music**: cognitive structure, theory, and analysis. New York: Oxford, 2002.