



# Complex segregation analysis of facial melasma in Brazil: evidence for a genetic susceptibility with a dominant pattern of segregation

Nicole França Holmo<sup>1</sup> · Geovana Brotto Ramos<sup>2</sup> · Heloisa Salomão<sup>2</sup> · Renata Iani Werneck<sup>3</sup> · Marcelo Távora Mira<sup>2</sup> · Luciane Donida Bartoli Miot<sup>1</sup> · Hélio Amante Miot<sup>1</sup>

Received: 11 April 2018 / Revised: 22 August 2018 / Accepted: 27 August 2018 / Published online: 30 August 2018  
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

## Abstract

Despite high prevalence, the etiopathology of melasma is not fully understood. Nevertheless, many factors have been associated with the disease, including: sun exposure, sex steroids hormones, drugs, stress, and pregnancy. The high occurrence within familiars (40–60%) suggests a genetic predisposition to the disease. This study explored, through complex segregation analysis (CSA), the inheritance model that best fit the family segregation pattern of facial melasma when accounting for the main epidemiological risk factors. We evaluated 686 subjects from 67 families, and 260 (38%) of them had facial melasma. The CSA model, adjusted for age, skin phototype, sex, sun exposure at work, hormonal oral contraceptive, and pregnancy, evidenced a genetic component that was best fitted to a dominant pattern of segregation. Melasma results from an interaction between exposure factors (e.g. pregnancy, hormones, and sun exposure) over genetically predisposed individuals.

**Keywords** Melasma · Heredity · Genetics · Inheritance patterns · Pigmentary disorders

## Abbreviations

UNESP	Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho
HOC	Hormonal oral contraception
CSA	Complex segregation analysis
OR	Odds ratio
IC 95%	95% confidence interval

✉ Hélio Amante Miot  
heliomiot@gmail.com

Nicole França Holmo  
nicole\_franca@hotmail.com

Geovana Brotto Ramos  
geovanabramos@hotmail.com

Heloisa Salomão  
helo\_salomao@hotmail.com

Renata Iani Werneck  
renata.iani@pucpr.br

Marcelo Távora Mira  
m.mira@pucpr.br

Luciane Donida Bartoli Miot  
lucianemiot@fmb.unesp.br

## Introduction

Melasma is a common acquired chronic focal hypermelanosis that affects photoexposed areas (especially the face), mainly in women during fertile age, and its incidence seems to have increased in the last decade [1–3]. The occurrence in visible areas and its common recurrence despite treatment lead to a substantial impact on quality of life [4–6].

The etiopathology of melasma is not fully understood; however, many factors have been reported as triggering or aggravating the disease, such as: sun exposure, hormonal oral contraception (HOC), hormone replacement therapy, cosmetics, photosensitising drugs, pregnancy, and stress [7]. The high occurrence within familiars (40–60%) and the association with African ancestry miscegenation suggest a genetic predisposition to the disease [3, 8].

<sup>1</sup> Departamento de Dermatologia e Radioterapia, SN, FMB-Unesp, Campus de Rubião Jr., Botucatu, SP CEP 18618-000, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências da Saúde, Escola de Medicina, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

<sup>3</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Odontologia, Escola de Ciências da Vida, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Complex segregation analysis (CSA) is a primary study within genetic epidemiology to explore the evidence that a genetic component (called ‘*major gene*’) underlies the distribution of a phenotype in a population. It has been used to advance the understanding of the genetic basis of complex (multifactorial) diseases. The CSA is composed of several statistical models that include the epidemiologic risk factors and the different parameters expected for inheritance models with the aim to compare which of them promotes best fit to the sample data. The CSA is indicated to explore multifactorial disorders in which the exposure factors interact with the genetic susceptibility in the development of a phenotype [9]. In this study, we performed a CSA in families with facial melasma.

## Methods

A cross-sectional study of CSA was performed [9] that included patients with melasma from the dermatology clinic at FMB-Unesp (Botucatu, SP, Brazil) who reported some other familiar with the disease. The project was approved by the institutional review board. Patients were personally interviewed. Families in which some component could not be assessed were not included in the study. The variables evaluated were: current melasma, age, sex, use of HOC, pregnancy, working exposure to the sun, and hair/skin color phenotype (I–IV): I—fair skin and light hair; II—fair skin, dark hair; III—light brown skin, dark hair; and IV—dark brown/black skin, curled dark hair.

First, a multivariate logistic regression was performed to assess the association between classic epidemiologic factors and melasma. The effect size was estimated by odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence interval (IC 95%) [10, 11].

All variables that resulted statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the logistic analysis were included in the CSA models, which tested the fit of the data to different models of segregation (stepwise procedure). The performances of the segregation models were compared by the ( $-2\ln L$ ) likelihood ratio. The best fit model to the data should result in the lower likelihood ratio value [12].

In CSA, subjects of genotypes AA, AB, and BB are expected to segregate component ‘A’ to their offspring with the transmission probabilities:  $sAA$ ,  $sAB$ , and  $sBB$ . In a *major gene* model, segregation is assumed to be through a single autosomal *locus* with two alleles. Allele frequencies are denoted  $qA$  and  $1 - qA$ . Residual familial correlations were also considered according to mother/offspring ratio.

First, we carried out a sporadic transmission model (model I) that included only the non-genetic covariates. Next, in addition to the non-genetic covariates, the dependence on phenotypes of preceding relatives (mother–offspring transmission), which is parameterised in terms of familial

correlations (model II), was assessed. To rule out the possibility of significant familial dependency due to unmeasured shared environmental factors (e.g. unprotected sun exposure leisure time or geographic location), our next step was to include a *major gene* effect in the model (models III and IV), with and without familial dependency. Finally, an additional model that includes a *major gene* effect was considered (model V) as a general transmission (non-Mendelian) to benchmark the structure of CSA as a satisfactory model for explaining the data and validating the ‘ $\tau$ ’ transmission parameter [9].

Data were analysed with package SEGREG from S.A.G.E. 6.1.0 (2010): Statistical Analysis for Genetic Epidemiology, <http://darwin.cwru.edu/sage/>.

## Results

We evaluated 686 subjects from 67 families, 260 (38%) of whom had facial melasma. There was a mean of 10.2 subjects and 3.9 cases per family. The mean prevalence of melasma was 37.8% of the sample: 56.4% in women and 16.3% in men. The main epidemiological data are summarised in Table 1. Constitutional elements, such as darker phototypes and female sex; environmental exposure factors, such as sun exposure at work; and hormonal factors, such as HOC and pregnancy; were remarkable.

Table 2 discloses the main results of the CSA. The best fit model (lowest value of  $-2\ln L$ ) predicts the presence of a genetic component (*major gene*), with a dominant pattern of segregation in addition to the epidemiological risk factors, in explaining the disease occurrence.

## Discussion

Skin melanin pigmentation in humans presents a polygenic inheritance and involves a complex cellular interaction of a large contingent of genes and regulatory factors that are not completely understood [13–17]. There is evidence that melanin pigmentation in melasma differs from other acquired pigmentary disorders such as tanning, post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation, lentigines, ephelides, and mastocytosis. There is also involvement of the whole epidermal melanin unit in the process (not just hypertrophic melanocytes), including mastocytes, fibroblasts, and endothelium-derived cytokines, and there are upper dermal abnormalities [16, 18, 19]. Since melasma has no animal models for experimental research, most of the studies on pathophysiology and treatment of melasma are dependent on clinical investigations.

Our results indicated a genetic susceptibility to the development of facial melasma with a dominant pattern of segregation. The *major gene* dominant with residual

**Table 1** Main clinical and epidemiologic data from the sample

	Melasma ( <i>n</i> = 260)	Control ( <i>n</i> = 426)	OR (IC 95%) <sup>a</sup>	<i>p</i> value <sup>a</sup>
Female sex <sup>b</sup>	207 (80)	160 (38)	12.5 (8.0–19.5)	< <b>0.01</b>
Age	44.5 (13.9)	44.6 (20.8)		
Corrected age	–	–	1.1 (1.1–1.2)	< <b>0.01</b>
Pregnancy <sup>b</sup>	173 (67)	103 (24)		
HOC <sup>b</sup>	182 (70)	87 (20)		
Pregnancy × HOC	–	–	14.3 (4.0–50.0)	< <b>0.01</b>
Working outdoor <sup>b</sup>	117 (45)	181 (43)	1.6 (1.1–2.3)	<b>0.03</b>
Skin phototype <sup>b</sup>				<b>0.04</b>
I	20 (8)	49 (12)	1.0 (–)	
II	111 (43)	196 (46)	1.6 (0.8–3.1)	
III	109 (42)	144 (34)	2.1 (1.1–4.1)	
IV	20 (8)	37 (9)	1.6 (0.7–4.0)	

Bold values: *p* < 0.05

Hosmer–Lemeshow test = 0.49; correct classification: 77%; *p* (model) < 0.01

*HOC* hormonal oral contraception, *Corrected age* a parabolic function to linearize the incidence peak of melasma at 30 years-old and the interception at 16 and 65 years-old (data estimated from the sample), *Pregnancy* × *HOC* interaction between the factors

<sup>a</sup>Multivariate adjust; <sup>b</sup>*n* (%); <sup>c</sup>Mean (SD)

familial correlation (model III) and the *major* gene dominant (model IV) resulted in the best fit models (lower  $-2\text{Ln}$  values) compared to sporadic segregation (model I) and familial correlation alone (model II). Since model IV is composed of fewer parameters (without familiar correlation), and the fit index is very close to model III, it resulted the most parsimonious explanation for the phenomenon. Other possible patterns of segregation (e.g. recessive and codominant) were automatically excluded from the analysis by the CSA software stepwise procedure.

These results present limitations inherent in CSA studies. A single-centre research limits the generalisation of results, although increasing the homogeneity of the group reduces possible interference of transcultural variables. Moreover, melasma patients in this study presented epidemiologic characteristics similar to other Brazilian studies [3, 20].

Our results must also be confirmed in patients with extrafacial melasma, men, and other populations with different genetic ancestral components (e.g. Middle Eastern and Far Eastern). The estimated prevalence of the trait within affected families inflated the frequency of the disease (our group: 38%, versus the same population estimate: 22%); nevertheless, that is the only way to study segregation through generations.

CSA is a preliminary study in genetic epidemiology, and identifying a pattern of segregation does not allow for inference of which genes are involved in the process, requiring further investigation, such as linkage analysis or genome-wide type exploration.

## Conclusion

The pattern of segregation of the susceptibility in facial melasma was best fitted to a dominant inheritance model, and exposure factors (e.g. pregnancy or sun exposure) can lead to disease development in genetically predisposed individuals.

**Table 2** Complex segregation analysis from the 67 families with more than one component with facial melasma ( $n = 686$ )

Model	$Q_A$	$\alpha_{AA}$	$\alpha_{AB}$	$\alpha_{BB}$	$\gamma$ Mother/ offspring	$\beta$ Sex	$\beta$ Age	$\beta$ SunWork	$\beta$ Phot	$\beta$ PregOCP	$\tau_{AAB}$	$\tau_{ABB}$	$\tau_{BBB}$	$-2\ln L + C$
I. Sporadic	0	-0.74	$[\alpha AA]$	$[\alpha AA]$	0	3.64	0.08	0.5	0.06	-0.99	-	-	-	21.71
II. FC (MO)	0	-0.74	$[\alpha AA]$	$[\alpha AA]$	0.19	3.58	0.08	0.54	0.06	-0.94	-	-	-	16.82
III. MG and FC														
a. Dominant	0.75	-1.25	$[\alpha AA]$	7.64	0.09	3.88	0.16	0.39	0.02	0.86	0	0.50	1.00	9.37
IV. MG														
a. Dominant	0.75	-1.25	$[\alpha AA]$	7.67	0	3.89	0.16	0.40	0.02	0.86	0	0.50	1.00	9.42
V. General transmission	0.75	-0.19	$[\alpha AA]$	-44.87	0	4.99	0.07	1.15	0.04	-1.47	0.32	1	0.46	-

" $\beta$ " covariable regression coefficients; Sex, female sex; SunWork, sun exposure at work; Phot, skin phototype (I–IV); Preg OCP, pregnancy or oral contraceptive pill use; -, non-relevant parameter in the model; "0", fixed parameter for hypothesis; "[ ]", parameter fixed to the same value as the preceding estimated parameter; " $\gamma$  mother/offspring", regression coefficient associated with familial dependency; " $r$ ",  $\tau_{AAB}$ ,  $\tau_{ABB}$ ,  $\tau_{BBB}$  probabilities of transmitting "a" for individuals AA, AB, and BB, respectively; MG, major gene; FC, familial correlation; MO, mother-offspring; " $Q$ ", frequency of melasma-predisposing allele A; " $\alpha$ ", baseline risk of being affected on a logit scale corresponding to three genotypes: AA ( $\alpha AA$ ), AB ( $\alpha AB$ ) and BB ( $\alpha BB$ ); C = 649, corresponding to twice the logarithm of the likelihood ( $2\ln L$ ) estimated by the best-fitting general transmission model (model V)

**Author contributions** Study design: HAM, NFH, LDB, MM. Data collection and tabulation: HAM, NFH, LDB. Data analysis: HAM, MM, RIW. CSA analysis: GBR, HS, MM, RIW. Paper writing: HAM, NFH, LDB, MM. Final revision and text approval: all authors.

**Funding** There is no funding source.

## Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval** This project was approved by the Unesp medical School Review Board (No. 476.936).

## References

- Ishiy PS, Silva LR, Penha MA, Handel AC, Miot HA (2014) Skin diseases reported by workers from UNESP campus at Rubiao Jr, Botucatu-SP (Brazil). *An Bras Dermatol* 89:529–531
- Miguel LMZ, Jorge MFS, Rocha B, Miot HA (2017) Incidence of skin diseases diagnosed in a public institution: comparison between 2003 and 2014. *An Bras Dermatol* 92:423–425
- Tamega Ade A, Miot LD, Bonfietti C et al (2013) Clinical patterns and epidemiological characteristics of facial melasma in Brazilian women. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 27:151–156
- Freitag FM, Cestari TF, Leopoldo LR, Paludo P, Boza JC (2008) Effect of melasma on quality of life in a sample of women living in southern Brazil. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 22:655–662
- Pollo CF, Miot LDB, Meneguim S, Miot HA (2018) Factors associated with quality of life in facial melasma: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Cosmet Sci* 40:313–316
- Maranzatto CF, Miot HA, Miot LD, Meneguim S (2016) Psychometric analysis and dimensional structure of the Brazilian version of melasma quality of life scale (MELASQoL-BP). *An Bras Dermatol* 91:422–428
- Handel AC, Lima PB, Tonolli VM, Miot LD, Miot HA (2014) Risk factors for facial melasma in women: a case-control study. *Br J Dermatol* 171:588–594
- D'Elia MP, Brandao MC, de Andrade Ramos BR et al (2017) African ancestry is associated with facial melasma in women: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Med Genet* 18:17
- Jarvik GP (1998) Complex segregation analyses: uses and limitations. *Am J Hum Genet* 63:942–946
- Katz MH (2011) *Multivariable analysis: a practical guide for clinicians and public health researchers*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Miot HA (2017) Assessing normality of data in clinical and experimental trials. *J Vasc Bras* 16:88–91
- Norman GR, Streiner DL (2014) *Biostatistics. The bare essentials*, 4th edn. People's Medical Publishing House, Shelton
- Chung BY, Noh TK, Yang SH et al (2014) Gene expression profiling in melasma in Korean women. *Dermatology* 229:333–342
- Kim NH, Choi SH, Lee TR, Lee CH, Lee AY (2014) Cadherin 11, a miR-675 target, induces N-cadherin expression and epithelial–mesenchymal transition in melasma. *J Invest Dermatol* 134:2967–2976
- Miot LD, Miot HA, Poletini J, Silva MG, Marques ME (2010) Morphologic changes and the expression of alpha-melanocyte stimulating hormone and melanocortin-1 receptor in melasma lesions: a comparative study. *Am J Dermatopathol* 32:676–682

16. Passeron T, Picardo M (2018) Melasma, a photoaging disorder. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res* 31:461–465
17. Tamega Ade A, Miot HA, Moco NP et al (2015) Gene and protein expression of oestrogen-beta and progesterone receptors in facial melasma and adjacent healthy skin in women. *Int J Cosmet Sci* 37:222–228
18. Brianezi G, Handel AC, Schmitt JV, Miot LD, Miot HA (2015) Changes in nuclear morphology and chromatin texture of basal keratinocytes in melasma. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 29:809–812
19. Lee AY (2015) Recent progress in melasma pathogenesis. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res* 28:648–660
20. Hexsel D, Lacerda DA, Cavalcante AS et al (2014) Epidemiology of melasma in Brazilian patients: a multicenter study. *Int J Dermatol* 53:440–444