

## SPECIAL ARTICLE

# Anti-ribosomal P antibody: a multicenter study in childhood-onset systemic lupus erythematosus patients

CCM Valões<sup>1</sup>, BC Molinari<sup>1</sup>, ACG Pitta<sup>1</sup>, NWS Gormezano<sup>1,2</sup>, SCL Farhat<sup>1</sup>, K Kozu<sup>1</sup>, AME Sallum<sup>1</sup>, S Appenzeller<sup>3</sup>, AP Sakamoto<sup>4</sup>, MT Terreri<sup>4</sup>, RMR Pereira<sup>2</sup>, CS Magalhães<sup>5</sup>, JCOA Ferreira<sup>1</sup>, CM Barbosa<sup>6</sup>, FH Gomes<sup>7</sup>, E Bonfá<sup>2</sup> and CA Silva<sup>1,2</sup>, Brazilian Childhood-onset Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Group

<sup>1</sup>Pediatric Rheumatology Unit, Children's Institute, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo (FMUSP), Brazil; <sup>2</sup>Division of Rheumatology, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo (FMUSP), Brazil; <sup>3</sup>Pediatric Rheumatology Unit, State University of Campinas (UNICAMP), Brazil; <sup>4</sup>Pediatric Rheumatology Unit, Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP), Brazil; <sup>5</sup>Pediatric Rheumatology Division, São Paulo State University (UNESP) – Faculdade de Medicina de Botucatu, Brazil; <sup>6</sup>Pediatric Rheumatology Unit, Hospital Darcy Vargas, Brazil; and <sup>7</sup>Pediatric Rheumatology Unit, Ribeirão Preto Medical School – FMUSP, Brazil

**Objectives:** Anti-ribosomal P protein (anti-P) autoantibodies are highly specific for systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). However, the evaluation of this autoantibody in childhood-onset SLE (cSLE) populations has been limited to a few small series, hampering the interpretation of the clinical and laboratorial associations. Therefore, the objective of this multicenter cohort study was to evaluate demographic, clinical/laboratorial features, and disease damage score in cSLE patients with and without the presence of anti-P antibody. **Methods:** This was a retrospective multicenter study performed in 10 pediatric rheumatology services of São Paulo state, Brazil. Anti-P antibodies were measured by ELISA in 228 cSLE patients. **Results:** Anti-P antibodies were observed in 61/228 (27%) cSLE patients. Frequencies of cumulative lymphadenopathy (29% vs. 15%,  $p = 0.014$ ), acute confusional state (13% vs. 5%,  $p = 0.041$ ), mood disorder (18% vs. 8%,  $p = 0.041$ ), autoimmune hemolytic anemia (34% vs. 15%,  $p = 0.001$ ), as well as presence of anti-Sm (67% vs. 40%,  $p = 0.001$ ), anti-RNP (39% vs. 21%,  $p = 0.012$ ) and anti-Ro/SSA antibodies (43% vs. 25%,  $p = 0.016$ ) were significantly higher in cSLE patients with anti-P antibodies compared to those without these autoantibodies. A multiple regression model revealed that anti-P antibodies were associated with autoimmune hemolytic anemia (odds ratio (OR) = 2.758, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.304–5.833,  $p = 0.008$ ) and anti-Sm antibody (OR = 2.719, 95% CI: 1.365–5.418,  $p = 0.004$ ). The SLICC/ACR damage index was comparable in patients with and without anti-P antibodies ( $p = 0.780$ ). **Conclusions:** The novel association of anti-P antibodies and autoimmune hemolytic anemia was evidenced in cSLE patients and further studies are necessary to determine if anti-P titers may vary with this hematological manifestation. *Lupus* (2017) 26, 484–489.

**Key words:** Systemic lupus erythematosus; anti-ribosomal P protein antibodies; neuropsychiatric lupus; autoimmune hemolytic anemia; childhood

## Introduction

Anti-ribosomal P protein (anti-P) autoantibodies recognize three ribosomal phosphoproteins, called P0, P1, and P2.<sup>1</sup> These autoantibodies are highly specific for systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE).<sup>2,3</sup> Clinical associations reported were

disease activity,<sup>4,5</sup> neuropsychiatric,<sup>4,5</sup> and renal involvements.<sup>3-5</sup>

The prevalence of anti-P in childhood-onset SLE (cSLE) populations varies from<sup>4,6-9</sup> 20% to<sup>10</sup> 42%, a frequency higher than described in adult-onset SLE (aSLE) patients.<sup>3,8-10</sup> However, the evaluation of this autoantibody in cSLE populations has been limited to a few small series,<sup>4,6-10</sup> hampering the interpretation of the clinical and laboratorial associations.

Therefore, the objective of this multicenter cohort study was to evaluate demographic, cumulative clinical/laboratorial features, and disease damage score in cSLE patients with and without the presence of anti-P antibody.

Correspondence to: Clovis Artur Silva, Av. Dr. Eneas Carvalho Aguiar, 647 – Cerqueira César São Paulo – SP, 05403-000 Brazil. Email: clovisaasilva@gmail.com

## Methods

### *Study design and patients*

This was a retrospective multicenter study performed in 10 pediatric rheumatology services of São Paulo state, Brazil, and included 228 cSLE patients that underwent anti-P antibody evaluation. All patients fulfilled the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria,<sup>11</sup> with disease onset before 18 years of age.<sup>12</sup>

An investigator meeting in São Paulo defined the protocol for this study that included clinical and laboratory parameters, as previously described.<sup>13–18</sup> Neuropsychiatric lupus, which includes 19 syndromes according to ACR classification criteria, can be subdivided into peripheral and central nervous system involvement.<sup>19</sup> Antiphospholipid syndrome was diagnosed according to the preliminary criteria for the classification of pediatric antiphospholipid syndrome.<sup>20</sup> High blood pressure was defined as systolic and/or diastolic blood pressures  $\geq 95$ th percentile for gender, age, and height on  $\geq 3$  occasions.<sup>21</sup> Acute kidney injury was determined by sudden increase in serum creatinine above 2 mg/dL or by modified RIFLE (risk, injury, failure, loss of kidney function, and end-stage kidney disease) criteria.<sup>22</sup> Chronic renal disease was defined as structural or function abnormalities of the kidney for  $\geq 3$  months (with or without decreased glomerular filtration rate) or glomerular filtration rate  $< 60$  mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> for  $\geq 3$  months.<sup>23</sup>

The anti-P antibody was measured by ELISA, antinuclear antibodies (ANA) tested by indirect immunofluorescence, anti-dsDNA by indirect immunofluorescence or ELISA, anti-Sm and anti-RNP by passive hemagglutination or ELISA, anti-SSA/Ro and anti-SSB/La by counterimmunoelectrophoresis or ELISA, and anticardiolipin (aCL) IgG and IgM by ELISA, carried out at each center. The cutoff values were defined according to kit manufacturer. Lupus anticoagulant (LA) was detected according to the guidelines of the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis.<sup>24</sup> At last visit, the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/ACR damage index (SLICC-ACR/DI) was evaluated.<sup>25</sup>

### *Statistical analysis*

Descriptive statistics are presented as an absolute number (frequency) for categorical variables and median (minimum and maximum values) for continuous variables. Categorical variables were

assessed by Pearson's chi-squared test or by Fisher test. Continuous variables were analyzed according to Mann–Whitney test. Logistic regression models were performed to identify independent variables associated with the presence of anti-P antibodies. In the multiple model, we used as independent variables those that presented a level 20% of significance in the univariate analysis. Results of the regression model are shown as the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI). We adopted a significance level of 5% in all analyses.

## Results

Anti-P antibody was evidenced in 61/228 (27%). Demographic data, cumulative clinical manifestations, and disease damage score at last visit in c-SLE patients according to presence of anti-P autoantibody are shown in Table 1. Frequencies of cumulative lymphadenopathy (29% vs. 15%,  $p=0.014$ ), acute confusional state (13% vs. 5%,  $p=0.041$ ), mood disorder (18% vs. 8%,  $p=0.041$ ), and autoimmune hemolytic anemia (34% vs. 15%,  $p=0.001$ ) were significantly higher in cSLE patients with anti-P antibodies compared to those without these autoantibodies. The median SLICC/ACR-DI scores were comparable in patients with and without anti-P antibodies ( $p > 0.05$ , Table 1).

Frequencies of anti-Sm (67% vs. 40%,  $p=0.001$ ), anti-RNP (39% vs. 21%,  $p=0.012$ ), and anti-Ro/SSA antibodies (43% vs. 25%,  $p=0.016$ ) were significantly higher in cSLE patients with the presence of anti-P antibodies compared to those without these autoantibodies (Table 2).

A multiple regression model revealed that anti-P antibody was associated with autoimmune hemolytic anemia (OR = 2.758, 95% CI: 1.304–5.833,  $p=0.008$ ) and anti-Sm antibody (OR = 2.719, 95% CI: 1.365–5.418,  $p=0.004$ ) (Table 3).

## Discussion

A novel association of anti-P antibodies and autoimmune hemolytic anemia was identified in cSLE patients. We also confirmed the association of anti-P and anti-Sm antibodies.

The advantages of the present study were as follows: the multicenter study included a large cSLE population; the assessment of 19 standardized neuropsychiatric syndromes was according to ACR classification criteria;<sup>19</sup> and evaluation of

**Table 1** Demographic data, cumulative clinical manifestations, and disease damage score at last visit in 228 cSLE patients according to presence of anti-P autoantibody

Variables	Anti-P positive (n = 61)	Anti-P negative (n = 167)	p
<b>Demographic data</b>			
Age at last visit, years, n = 228	18 (2–25)	17.8 (2–25.3)	0.230
Disease duration, years, n = 228	5 (0.1–23)	6 (0.1–22)	0.447
Female gender, n = 228	54/61 (88)	145/167 (87)	0.733
<b>Constitutional manifestations, n = 228</b>			
Fever, n = 227	39/61 (64)	99/167(59)	0.525
Reticuloendothelial manifestations, n = 228	35/61 (57)	94/166 (57)	0.919
Lymphadenopathy, n = 227	24/61 (39)	44/167 (26)	0.058
Hepatomegaly, n = 228	18/61 (29)	25/166 (15)	0.014
Splenomegaly, n = 227	13/61 (21)	28/167 (17)	0.429
Mucocutaneous involvement, n = 228	7/61 (11)	12/166 (7)	0.306
Rash, n = 228	58/61 (95)	155/167 (93)	0.764
Discoid lupus, n = 228	46/61 (75)	125/167 (75)	0.931
Photosensitivity, n = 228	10/61 (16)	22/167 (13)	0.536
Mucosal ulceration, n = 227	44/61 (72)	116/167 (69)	0.696
Alopecia, n = 227	30/61(49)	65/166 (39)	0.175
Vasculitis, n = 227	32/61 (52)	77/166 (46)	0.417
Musculoskeletal involvement, n = 228	25/61 (41)	47/166 (28)	0.069
Arthritis, n = 228	50/61 (82)	139/167 (83)	0.822
Myositis, n = 227	49/61 (80)	138/167 (83)	0.688
Serositis, n = 227	5/61 (8)	13/166(8)	1.000
Pleuritis, n = 227	26/61 (43)	53/166 (32)	0.134
Pericarditis, n = 227	18/61 (29)	32/166 (19)	0.099
Nephritis, n = 228	15/61 (25)	40/166 (24)	0.939
Arterial hypertension, n = 226	29/61 (47)	81/167 (48)	0.898
Acute renal failure, n = 227	19/61 (31)	51/165 (31)	0.973
Chronic renal failure, n = 227	12/61 (20)	22/166 (13)	0.230
Renal replacement therapy, n = 193	3/61 (5)	8/166 (5)	0.975
Neuropsychiatric involvement, n = 228	5/50 (10)	6/143 (4)	0.157
Central nervous system, n = 228	30/61(49)	90/167(54)	0.528
Acute confusional state, n = 227	29/61 (47)	89/167 (53)	0.442
Aseptic meningitis, n = 227	8/61 (13)	8/166 (5)	0.041
Cerebrovascular disease, n = 225	0/61 (0)	2/166 (1)	1.000
Demyelinating syndrome, n = 227	2/61 (3)	1/164(1)	0.179
Headache, n = 227	0/61 (0)	0/166 (0)	–
Movement disorder chorea, n = 227	19/61 (31)	58/166 (35)	0.593
Myelopathy, n = 227	2/61 (3)	4/166 (2)	0.661
Seizure disorders, n = 228	0/61 (0)	3/166 (2)	0.566
Anxiety disorder, n = 227	8/61 (13)	30/167(18)	0.384
Cognitive dysfunction, n = 227	4/61 (7)	5/166 (3)	0.255
Mood disorder, n = 227	2/61 (3)	8/166 (5)	0.055
Psychosis, n = 226	11/61 (18)	14/166 (8)	0.041
Peripheral nervous system, n = 227	9/61 (15)	19/165 (12)	0.512
Guillain–Barré syndrome, n = 228	3/61(5)	9/166 (5)	1.000
Autonomic disorder, n = 226	0/61(0)	0/167(0)	–
Mononeuropathy, single/multiplex, n = 228	1/61(2)	0/165(0)	0.270
Myasthenia gravis, n = 225	2/61(3)	3/167(2)	0.614
Neuropathy, cranial, n = 228	0/61 (0)	0/164(0)	–
Plexopathy, n = 226	0/61 (0)	1/167(1)	1.000
Polyneuropathy, n = 226	0/61(0)	0/165(0)	–
Visual disturbance, n = 227	0/61(0)	5/165(3)	0.327
Autoimmune thrombosis (APS), n = 222	0/61 (0)	3/166 (2)	0.566
Disease damage score	2/59 (3)	15/163 (9)	0.251
SLICC/ACR-DI at last visit n = 213	0 (0–7)	0 (0–6)	0.780

Results are presented as n (%) or median (range); APS – antiphospholipid syndrome; SLICC/ACR-DI – Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/ACR damage index.

**Table 2** Cumulative hematological abnormalities, laboratory results, and treatments at last visit in 228 cSLE patients according to presence of anti-P autoantibody

Variables	Anti-P positive (n = 61)	Anti-P negative (n = 167)	p
Cumulative hematological abnormalities			
Autoimmune hemolytic anemia, n = 226	21/61 (34)	25/165 (15)	0.001
Leukopenia < 4000/mm <sup>3</sup> , n = 227	21/61 (34)	53/166 (32)	0.722
Lymphopenia < 1500/mm <sup>3</sup> , n = 226	35/60 (58)	81/166 (49)	0.205
Thrombocytopenia < 100,000/mm <sup>3</sup> , n = 227	10/61 (16)	37/166 (22)	0.331
Cumulative autoantibodies			
ANA, n = 225	61/61 (100)	163/164 (99)	1.000
Anti-dsDNA, n = 227	43/61 (70)	112/166 (67)	0.665
Anti-Sm, n = 189	34/51 (67)	55/138 (40)	0.001
Anti-RNP, n = 180	20/51 (39)	27/129 (21)	0.012
Anti SSA/Ro, n = 183	22/51 (43)	33/132 (25)	0.016
Anti SSB/La, n = 183	11/51 (22)	21/132 (16)	0.366
LA, n = 137	3/37 (8)	17/100 (17)	0.191
aCL IgM, n = 150	4/42 (9)	22/108 (20)	0.115
aCL IgG, n = 150	5/44 (11)	23/106 (22)	0.139

Results are presented as n (%).

**Table 3** Independent variables in the multiple regression models associated with anti-P autoantibody in 228 cSLE patients

Independent variables	OR (95% CI)	p
Autoimmune hemolytic anemia, n = 226	2.758 (1.304–5.833)	0.008
Anti-Sm autoantibodies, n = 189	2.719 (1.365–5.418)	0.004

OR – odds ratio; 95% CI – 95% confidence interval.

these autoantibodies was by a method commonly used in clinical practice with high sensitivity and specificity.<sup>3</sup> A limitation of the present report is the fact that it was a retrospective study with missing data.

The frequency of anti-P autoantibodies in cSLE patients observed in the present study was similar to that reported for pediatric SLE populations.<sup>4,7–9,26–30</sup>

An original and important finding of this study was the association with autoimmune hemolytic anemia, suggesting that the anti-P may target erythrocytes. Possible underlying mechanisms include apoptosis, cross-reactivity, and enhanced proinflammatory cytokine production induced by this antibody.<sup>3</sup> However, the clinical relevance of this hematological finding must be confirmed in prospective studies.

Proposed explanations for multiple autoantibody production observed in our cSLE patients may be due to random polyclonal B cell activation, widespread abnormal expansion of a B cell subset and an antigen-driven immune response.

Association between anti-P and anti Sm autoantibodies were also previously reported in both human SLE and in mice.<sup>5,27</sup>

The higher frequency of mood disorders and acute confusional state in anti-P positive patients in the univariate analysis did not remain in multivariate assessment. Anti-P antibody activity fluctuation may account for this discrepancy since the retrospective evaluation of cumulative neuropsychiatric involvement performed herein may hamper the interpretation of attribution for psychiatric and cognitive dysfunction.<sup>29</sup> Indeed, a more appropriate study design indicates that anti-P in cSLE patients is associated with psychosis,<sup>27</sup> anxiety disorders,<sup>4</sup> and cognitive impairment.<sup>29</sup>

In conclusion, the novel association of anti-P antibodies and autoimmune hemolytic anemia was evidenced in cSLE patients and further studies are necessary to determine if anti-P titers may vary with this hematological manifestation.

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Ulysses Doria-Filho for the statistical analysis.

## Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: this work was supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq; grant numbers 301805/2013-0, 303752/2015-7, 301479/2015-1, 305068/2014-8, and 303422/2015-7), the Federico Foundation, and by Núcleo de Apoio à Pesquisa “Saúde da Criança e do Adolescente” da USP (NAP-CriAd).

## Notes

The collaborators of the Brazilian Childhood-onset Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Group are as follows:

1. Marco F. Silva, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
2. Mariana Ferriani, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
3. Roberta C. Gomes, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
4. Victor L. Marques, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
5. Gabriela Blay, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
6. Gabriella E. Lube, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
7. Sandra R. M. Lopes, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
8. João D. Montoni, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
9. Laila P. Coelho, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
10. Luciana S. Henriques, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
11. Glaucia V. Novak, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
12. Juliana B. Brunelli, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
13. Lucia M. A. Campos, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
14. Nadia E. Aikawa, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
15. Adriana A. Jesus, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
16. Antonio C. Pastorino, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
17. Heloisa H. Marques, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
18. Joaquim C. Rodrigues, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
19. Andrea Watanabe, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
20. Benita G. Schvartsman, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
21. Maria H. Vaisbich, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
22. Werther B. Carvalho, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
23. Magda Carneiro-Sampaio, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
24. Vicente Odone-Filho, Children’s Institute, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
25. Juliane A. Paupitz, Division of Rheumatology, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
26. Glauce L. Lima, Division of Rheumatology, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
27. Ana Paula L. Assad, Division of Rheumatology, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
28. Claudio Len, UNIFESP, São Paulo, Brazil
29. Maria O. E. Hilário, UNIFESP, São Paulo, Brazil
30. Andreia S. Lopes, UNIFESP, São Paulo, Brazil
31. Aline Alencar, UNIFESP, São Paulo, Brazil
32. Daniela P. Piotto, UNIFESP, São Paulo, Brazil
33. Giampaolo Faquin, UNIFESP, São Paulo, Brazil
34. Gleice Clemente, UNIFESP, São Paulo, Brazil
35. Octavio A. B. Peracchi, UNIFESP, São Paulo, Brazil
36. Vanessa Bugni, UNIFESP, São Paulo, Brazil
37. Priscila R. Aoki, UNESP, São Paulo, Brazil
38. Juliana O. Sato, UNESP, São Paulo, Brazil
39. Silvana P. Cardin, UNESP, São Paulo, Brazil
40. Taciana A. P. Fernandes, UNESP, São Paulo, Brazil;
41. Andressa Guariento, Irmandade da Santa Casa de Misericórdia de São Paulo, Brazil
42. Eunice Okuda, Irmandade da Santa Casa de Misericórdia de São Paulo, Brazil
43. Maria Carolina dos Santos, Irmandade da Santa Casa de Misericórdia de São Paulo, Brazil
44. Silvana B. Sacchetti, Irmandade da Santa Casa de Misericórdia de São Paulo, Brazil
45. Maraísa Centeville, UNICAMP, São Paulo, Brazil
46. Renata Barbosa, UNICAMP, São Paulo, Brazil
47. Roberto Marini, UNICAMP, São Paulo, Brazil;
48. Paola P. Kahwage, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
49. Gecilmara Pileggi, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
50. Luciana M. Carvalho, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
51. Virginia Ferriani, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, FMUSP, São Paulo, Brazil
52. Jonatas Libório, Hospital Infantil Darcy Vargas, São Paulo, Brazil
53. Luciana T. P. Paulo, Hospital Infantil Darcy Vargas, São Paulo, Brazil
54. Simone Lotufo, Hospital Municipal Infantil Menino Jesus, São Paulo, Brazil
55. Tânia Caroline M. Castro, Hospital Municipal Infantil Menino Jesus, São Paulo, Brazil
56. Valéria C. Ramos, Pontifical Catholic University of Sorocaba, São Paulo, Brazil
57. Luis Eduardo C. Andrade, UNIFESP, São Paulo, Brazil

## References

- 1 Bonfa E, Golombek SJ, Kaufman LD, *et al.* Association between lupus psychosis and anti-ribosomal P protein antibodies. *N Engl J Med* 1987; 317: 265–6.
- 2 Sciascia S, Bertolaccini ML, Roccatello D, *et al.* Autoantibodies involved in neuropsychiatric manifestations associated with systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review. *J Neurol* 2014; 261: 1706–1714.
- 3 Pasoto SG, Viana VS, Bonfa E. The clinical utility of anti-ribosomal P autoantibodies in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol* 2014; 10: 1493–1503.
- 4 Aldar H, Lapa AT, Bellini B, *et al.* Prevalence and clinical significance of anti-ribosomal P antibody in childhood-onset systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 2012; 21: 1225–1231.
- 5 Elkon KB, Bonfa E, Llovet R, Eisenberg RA. Association between anti-Sm and anti-ribosomal P protein autoantibodies in human systemic lupus erythematosus and MRL/lpr mice. *J Immunol* 1989; 143: 1549–1554.
- 6 Press J, Palayew K, Laxer RM, *et al.* Antiribosomal P antibodies in pediatric patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and psychosis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996; 39: 671–676.
- 7 Mostafa GA, Ibrahim DH, Shehab AA, *et al.* The role of measurement of serum autoantibodies in prediction of pediatric neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Neuroimmunol* 2010; 227: 195–201.
- 8 Hoffman IE, Lauwerys BR, De Keyser F, *et al.* Juvenile-onset systemic lupus erythematosus: different clinical and serological pattern than adult-onset systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009; 68: 412–415.
- 9 Pisoni CN, Muñoz SA, Carrizo C, *et al.* Multicentric prevalence study of anti P ribosomal autoantibodies in juvenile onset systemic lupus erythematosus compared with adult onset systemic lupus erythematosus. *Reumatol Clin* 2015; 11: 73–77.
- 10 Reichlin M, Broyles TF, Hubscher O, *et al.* Prevalence of autoantibodies to ribosomal P proteins in juvenile-onset systemic lupus erythematosus compared with the adult disease. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999; 42: 69–75.
- 11 Hochberg MC. Updating the American College of Rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997; 40: 1725.
- 12 Silva CA, Avcin T, Brunner HI. Taxonomy for systemic lupus erythematosus with onset before adulthood. *Arthritis Care Res* 2012; 64: 1787–1793.
- 13 Gomes RC, Silva MF, Kozu K, *et al.* Features of 847 childhood-onset systemic lupus erythematosus patients in three age groups at diagnosis: a Brazilian multicenter study. *Arthritis Care Res* 2016 (in press).
- 14 Ferriani MP, Silva MF, Pereira RM, *et al.* Chronic spontaneous urticaria: a survey of 852 cases of childhood-onset systemic lupus erythematosus. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 2015; 167: 186–192.
- 15 Marques VL, Gormezano NW, Bonfá E, *et al.* Pancreatitis subtypes survey in 852 childhood-onset systemic lupus erythematosus patients. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2016; 62: 328–334.
- 16 Lube GE, Ferriani MP, Campos LM, *et al.* Evans syndrome at childhood-onset systemic lupus erythematosus diagnosis: a large multicenter study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2016; 63: 1238–1243.
- 17 Ferreira JC, Marques HH, Ferriani MP, *et al.* Herpes zoster infection in childhood-onset systemic lupus erythematosus patients: a large multicenter study. *Lupus* 2016; 25: 754–759.
- 18 Silva MF, Ferriani MP, Terreri MT, *et al.* A multicenter study of invasive fungal infections in patients with childhood-onset systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 2015; 42: 2296–2303.
- 19 American College of Rheumatology Ad Hoc Committee on Neuropsychiatric Lupus Syndromes. The American College of Rheumatology nomenclature and case definitions for neuropsychiatric lupus syndromes. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999; 42: 599–608.
- 20 Avcin T, Cimaz R, Rozman B. The Ped-APS registry: the antiphospholipid syndrome in childhood. *Lupus* 2009; 18: 894–989.
- 21 National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents. The fourth report on the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2004; 114: 555–576.
- 22 Akcan-Arikan A, Zappitelli M, Loftis LL, *et al.* Modified RIFLE criteria in critically ill children with acute kidney injury. *Kidney Int* 2007; 71: 1028–1035.
- 23 National Kidney Foundation. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002; 39: S1–266.
- 24 Brandt JT, Triplett DA, Alving B, Scharrer I. Criteria for the diagnosis of lupus anticoagulants: an update. On behalf of the Subcommittee on Lupus Anticoagulant/Antiphospholipid Antibody of the Scientific and Standardisation Committee of the ISTH. *Thromb Haemostasis* 1995; 74: 1185–1190.
- 25 Gladman D, Ginzler E, Goldsmith C, *et al.* The development and initial validation of the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology damage index for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996; 39: 363–369.
- 26 Massardo L, Burgos P, Martínez ME, *et al.* Antiribosomal P protein antibodies in Chilean SLE patients: no association with renal disease. *Lupus* 2002; 11: 379–383.
- 27 Briani C, Lucchetta M, Ghirardello A, *et al.* Neurolyupus is associated with anti-ribosomal P protein antibodies: an inception cohort study. *J Autoimmun* 2009; 32: 79–84.
- 28 Pradhan V, Patwardhan M, Rajadhyaksha A, *et al.* Neuropsychiatric manifestations and associated autoantibodies in systemic lupus erythematosus patients from Western India. *Rheumatol Int* 2015; 35: 541–545.
- 29 Brunner HI, Klein-Gitelman MS, Zelko F, *et al.* Blood-based candidate biomarkers of the presence of neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus in children. *Lupus Sci Med* 2014; 1: e000038.
- 30 Nery FG, Borba EF, Viana VS, *et al.* Prevalence of depressive and anxiety disorders in systemic lupus erythematosus and their association with anti-ribosomal P antibodies. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2008; 32: 695–700.